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|  | Tuesday March 5, 2013 | Page 6165 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Upon commencing at 9:00 a.m. |  |
| 3 | THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Could we come to |  |
| 4 | order? We have a Bipole day ahead of us today, |  |
| 5 | with witnesses presenting on a couple of different |  |
| 6 | themes around the location, or the southern end of |  |
| 7 | the Bipole line. |  |
| 8 | Before we turn to the Coalition, the |  |
| 9 | secretary has a number of documents to place on |  |
| 10 | the record. |  |
| 11 | MS. JOHNSON: These are all the |  |
| 12 | documents that have been received since we went |  |
| 13 | into the adjournment. Many of them were referred |  |
| 14 | to yesterday. |  |
| 15 | So CEC 06 is the letter to Mr. Bedford |  |
| 16 | regarding the topics we're talking about today, |  |
| 17 | the rerouting of the line to Dorsey. |  |
| 18 | CEC 07 is the response to Mr. Meronek |  |
| 19 | regarding the motion. |  |
| 20 | MCWS number 2 is the letter to |  |
| 21 | Mr. Sargeant regarding the line rerouting. |  |
| 22 | MH 103 is the list of undertakings |  |
| 23 | from December 14th. |  |
| 24 | MH 104 is the response to the letter |  |
| 25 | from Mr. Sargeant regarding the Dorsey converter. |  |


| 1 | MH 105 is the letter to the department | Page 6166 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | with additional TAC comments. |  |
| 3 | MH 106 is the response to undertaking |  |
| 4 | regarding forest fragmentation. |  |
| 5 | MH 107 is the enhanced assessment of |  |
| 6 | the adjusted final preferred route for moose. |  |
| 7 | MH 108 is the letter to the department |  |
| 8 | regarding the mitigation measures. |  |
| 9 | MH 110 is the supplemental |  |
| 10 | environmental assessment on route adjustments |  |
| 11 | presentation from yesterday. |  |
| 12 | MH 111 is the EACP presentation. |  |
| 13 | MH 112 is the culture and heritage |  |
| 14 | presentation. |  |
| 15 | MH 113 is the moose and caribou |  |
| 16 | presentation. |  |
| 17 | MH 114 is the chart comparing the |  |
| 18 | VECs. |  |
| 19 | And MH 109 is the actual supplemental |  |
| 20 | report. |  |
| 21 | That's it for now. |  |
| 22 | (EXHIBIT CEC 06: Letter to |  |
| 23 | Mr. Bedford re rerouting of line to |  |
| 24 | Dorsey) |  |
| 25 |  |  |


| 1 | (EXHIBIT CEC 07: Response to | Page 6167 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Mr. Meronek re motion) |  |
| 3 |  |  |
| 4 | (EXHIBIT MCWS 2: Letter to |  |
| 5 | Mr. Sargeant re line rerouting) |  |
| 6 |  |  |
| 7 | (EXHIBIT MH 103: List of undertakings |  |
| 8 | from December 14th) |  |
| 9 |  |  |
| 10 | (EXHIBIT MH 104: Response to letter |  |
| 11 | from Mr. Sargeant re Dorsey converter) |  |
| 12 |  |  |
| 13 | (EXHIBIT MH 105: Letter to department |  |
| 14 | with additional TAC comments) |  |
| 15 |  |  |
| 16 | (EXHIBIT MH 106: Response to |  |
| 17 | undertaking re forest fragmentation) |  |
| 18 |  |  |
| 19 | (EXHIBIT MH 107: Enhanced assessment |  |
| 20 | of adjusted final preferred route for |  |
| 21 | moose) |  |
| 22 |  |  |
| 23 | (EXHIBIT MH 108: Letter to department |  |
| 24 | re mitigation measures) |  |
| 25 |  |  |



| 1 | Lawson from Albany, New York. To his left is | Page 6169 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Mr. Art Derry. You all know Karen Friesen, who is |  |
| 3 | our tech person. And on her left is Mr. Dennis |  |
| 4 | Woodford. And they are ready to be sworn in. |  |
| 5 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. |  |
| 6 | MR. DERRY: Art Derry. |  |
| 7 | Art Derry: Sworn. |  |
| 8 | MR. LAWSON: Graham Lawson. |  |
| 9 | Graham Lawson: Sworn. |  |
| 10 | MR. WOODFORD: Dennis Woodford. |  |
| 11 | Dennis Woodford: Sworn. |  |
| 12 | THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead. |  |
| 13 | MR. MERONEK: Mr. Chairman, I have a |  |
| 14 | couple of preliminary matters before we get into |  |
| 15 | the evidence. |  |
| 16 | First of all, yesterday I submitted |  |
| 17 | some slides that are going to be used today. And |  |
| 18 | I also incorporated in my letter some minor |  |
| 19 | changes to the reports. And perhaps that letter |  |
| 20 | should be marked as an exhibit, my letter of |  |
| 21 | March 4 of yesterday. |  |
| 22 | Secondly, if I am a little cranky this |  |
| 23 | morning it's because at approximately 6:29 last |  |
| 24 | night, an e-mail from Shannon Johnson was sent |  |
| 25 | which attached two reports in the form of rebuttal |  |


|  |  | Page 6170 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | "Hi, Please see attached Manitoba |  |
| 3 | Hydro's rebuttal to recent evidence |  |
| 4 | filed by the Bipole III Coalition. |  |
| 5 | Regards, Shannon." |  |
| 6 | The "Hi" and the "Regards" clearly did not assuage |  |
| 7 | my upset. It wasn't until about 8:00 o'clock last |  |
| 8 | night that I was able to sit down with my experts |  |
| 9 | and go over this. We went into the wee hours of |  |
| 10 | the morning. |  |
| 11 | I had on several occasions asked |  |
| 12 | Hydro, through the Commission, to make sure that |  |
| 13 | if they were going to submit rebuttal evidence, |  |
| 14 | that it was done in a timely fashion, so that what |  |
| 15 | I call kitty by the door, gun and run conduct, |  |
| 16 | would be avoided. And this is what I call it. |  |
| 17 | It's inscrutable in my mind that we would get this |  |
| 18 | evidence at such a late hour, without any |  |
| 19 | opportunity to assess it and to prepare. I can't |  |
| 20 | fathom why it wasn't provided earlier. This is |  |
| 21 | too important a hearing, the subject matter is too |  |
| 22 | important to have conduct be embraced of this |  |
| 23 | nature. |  |


| 1 | to ask for that. We too, like the Commission, | Page 6171 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | want this to be over in the scheduled time. We |  |
| 3 | want to be responsible about this. So what we're |  |
| 4 | going to do today is present our evidence. I'm |  |
| 5 | going to ask questions of the panel, to the best |  |
| 6 | of our ability, on what has been presented last |  |
| 7 | night. But I am going to ask the indulgence of |  |
| 8 | the Commission, that if at the end of the |  |
| 9 | presentation on Thursday I need some time to |  |
| 10 | confer with my experts and to be able to properly |  |
| 11 | cross-examine, and perhaps even have clarification |  |
| 12 | from two of the witnesses -- Mr. Lawson will be |  |
| 13 | gone -- then I'm going to seek that ability to do |  |
| 14 | so either this week or early next week. But I |  |
| 15 | will hedge my bets, as it were, but I just want to |  |
| 16 | put the Commission on notice that we feel very |  |
| 17 | hard done by with respect to this late, |  |
| 18 | substantial evidence that's come in. But we are |  |
| 19 | prepared to proceed. Thank you. |  |
| 20 | THE CHAIRMAN: I'd like to take a |  |
| 21 | couple of minutes to address that. |  |
| 22 | Mr. Bedford, do you have any comments |  |
| 23 | on behalf of the proponent in this regard? |  |
| 24 | MR. BEDFORD: I can remind everyone in |  |
| 25 | the room that the date to which we committed to |  |


|  | file the rebuttal was today, March 5th. I did | Page 6172 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | that a month ago. So Mr. Meronek presumably knew |  |
| 3 | that. We have had two weeks since receipt of his |  |
| 4 | clients' reports to prepare the rebuttals, and I'm |  |
| 5 | pleased to say that we actually improved upon the |  |
| 6 | deadline to which I personally committed, which |  |
| 7 | was today. They were distributed last night. |  |
| 8 | Now, I'm sympathetic to the challenge |  |
| 9 | that any advocate, including Mr. Meronek, has |  |
| 10 | under circumstances like this to get documents, to |  |
| 11 | go through them, to sit down with his own client |  |
| 12 | and his own expert witnesses to go through them. |  |
| 13 | Which is why I was anxious, notwithstanding that |  |
| 14 | it was very short time for him, that he have them |  |
| 15 | before today so that he would have some |  |
| 16 | opportunity to go through them with his client. |  |
| 17 | To repeat, we had two weeks from |  |
| 18 | receipt of his. I could go through a litany of |  |
| 19 | challenges that we have had on our side to deal |  |
| 20 | with his expert reports, which included my primary |  |
| 21 | expert being unavailable to us until this last |  |
| 22 | weekend. And that would be Mr. Mazur. |  |
| 23 | I'm also sympathetic to anyone that |  |
| 24 | had to be up working on this material after |  |
| 25 | midnight last night, because I was up for several |  |

hours after midnight last night working on this material. So I am also quite sympathetic to Mr. Meronek if he too was having to do that. But Mr. Meronek is more experienced in the profession than I am. He's been practising longer than I have. He's a litigator. I have spent many years as a litigator, and I simply say to younger lawyers, it just goes with the territory. When you're in a hearing wherein you're in a trial, the reality is that you just have to work long hours. And inevitably, human nature and condition being what it is, you end up getting significant material at a late time.

Now, I have no objections whatsoever.
In fact, I rather anticipated that the writers of the rebuttal would be produced a week from today, Tuesday, March 12th, and Mr. Meronek would be cross-examining them on that material. So practically speaking, he has a whole week to prepare his cross-examination on that material if he chooses. No objections if he wants to put forward questions this Thursday on the rebuttal material as well. So all arguably that we're missing is he would have preferred, I do understand that, several more days to have

Dr. Lawson, Mr. Derry, Mr. Woodford, Ms. Friesen, go through the material.

That's what we're short here, is their opportunity to thoroughly work and weave in their reaction to that material in their presentations today.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

Mr. Williams?

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, just a couple of brief comments. In terms of the prejudice to the interests of the Bipole III Coalition, Mr. Meronek can take care of that himself. From our client's perspective, we're concerned about the prejudice to the process. And we have got an important piece of evidence today, new evidence put on the record last night. And with respect, new evidence that's not very well cited in certain circumstances. It's difficult to tell which load forecasts Manitoba Hydro is relying upon. It's difficult to tell where their numbers are coming from.

And so certainly our client is of the view that Mr. Meronek would be well within his rights to seek an adjournment. His proposal, as I understand it, is to leave open the opportunity of

|  | calling back his witnesses when they have had an | Page 6175 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | opportunity to review and to assimilate Manitoba |  |
| 3 | Hydro's information, and also to understand |  |
| 4 | through cross-examination where they are coming up |  |
| 5 | with these estimates. |  |
| 6 | And from our client's perspective, |  |
| 7 | that's an eminently reasonable position, and also |  |
| 8 | one that is best designed to assist the process. |  |
| 9 | If this evidence is important, and our client |  |
| 10 | certainly believes it is, then from our client's |  |
| 11 | perspective, if Mr. Meronek feels that he can't |  |
| 12 | adequately address these issues through |  |
| 13 | cross-examination, that that opportunity of |  |
| 14 | bringing back these witnesses should be offered to |  |
| 15 | him. |  |
| 16 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Any other |  |
| 17 | comments? We're going to recess for about five |  |
| 18 | minutes so the panel can discuss this. |  |
| 19 | (Hearing recessed at 9:12 a.m. and |  |
| 20 | reconvened at 9:16 a.m.) |  |
| 21 | THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Meronek, I'm aware |  |
| 22 | that you had requested that Hydro submit its |  |
| 23 | rebuttal at an earlier time, but I am also aware |  |
| 24 | that we had said no, that we felt that the |  |
| 25 | two-week period was reasonable. If that |  |

prejudices your evidence at all, we will certainly entertain allowing you some time later this week or early next week, or one evening this week or next week to bring back your witnesses, if you need to.

MR. MERONEK: We appreciate that.

THE CHAIRMAN: So proceed, please.
MR. MERONEK: Mr. Derry, I'd like to start with you. I know that I had submitted a CV of your background and experience way back when, but if you could just indicate in your own words to the Commission what your background experience is and how it relates to this particular hearing?

MR. DERRY: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, staff, participants, Manitoba Hydro staff, and those in attendance. I will go over my name again. My name is Art Derry, a retired employee of Manitoba Hydro. I have been a professional engineer since 1960, two years after graduating from the University of Manitoba in 1958. And no need to worry, I'm not going to take up the whole morning covering my career, which it appears that it hasn't come to an end yet.

I will go over what $I$ call my short
form CV. So in 1958, I had a Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering from the University of Manitoba. In 1960/61, I attended the General Electric Power Systems engineering course, it was an eight month course starting in September of 1960, ending in end of April '61. I was sent there by my employer at that time, Saskatchewan Power Corporation.
So from 1958 to 1967, I was employed by Saskatchewan Power Corporation. I started out as an engineer in charge of transmission planning, and I spent about three or four years at that, and then $I$ became the engineer in charge of generation planning. So I have the whole part of planning process.
In fact, in 1960, I took part in
studies with Manitoba Hydro on the first
interconnection between Manitoba and Saskatchewan.
Those studies took place in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania at the Westinghouse Electric Corporation.
In 1967, I left Saskatchewan Power
Corporation to come to Winnipeg, back to my
home -- I come from Pine Falls. And I was employed by Atomic Energy of Canada from '67 to

|  | 1972 as an HVDC engineer on the Nelson River | Page 6178 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Bipoles I and II transmission project. |  |
| 3 | For those who may wonder about the |  |
| 4 | involvement of Atomic Energy of Canada on the |  |
| 5 | Nelson River project, the Federal Government |  |
| 6 | provided funding for the first phase of Bipole I |  |
| 7 | and the two lines that were built from the north |  |
| 8 | to the south. So they had to have control of the |  |
| 9 | project. And at that time, Teshmont Consultants |  |
| 10 | were formed, which involved the Templeton |  |
| 11 | Engineering, Shawinigan Engineering, and Montreal |  |
| 12 | Engineering. And Teshmont is still here at this |  |
| 13 | time. In fact, I guess they are a subsidiary of |  |
| 14 | Manitoba Hydro, I take it. |  |
| 15 | During that time with Atomic Energy, I |  |
| 16 | attended studies in England on the Bipole I |  |
| 17 | project to do with the synchronous condenser |  |
| 18 | sizing and filters, et cetera. The project was |  |
| 19 | coming towards an end around 1970, the lines were |  |
| 20 | built. And at that time Hydro offered, just a |  |
| 21 | small group we had in Winnipeg here to look after |  |
| 22 | the project, there were engineers and other |  |
| 23 | people, Hydro offered jobs to those people so |  |
| 24 | they'd still be with the project. So we were |  |
| 25 | seconded for a couple of years while they sorted |  |


|  | out our pensions, et cetera. And I went over to | Page 6179 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Hydro in 1970 as an HVDC planning engineer. |  |
| 3 | And my first project with Hydro was to |  |
| 4 | prepare a recommendation for the northern Bipole |  |
| 5 | II HVDC terminal location in the north. That |  |
| 6 | study resulted the recommendation that we go |  |
| 7 | through Henday rather than build the station like |  |
| 8 | they did at Dorsey where they put Bipoles I and II |  |
| 9 | together. I did the north part, I had nothing to |  |
| 10 | do with the south. My recommendation was to go to |  |
| 11 | Henday, and it wasn't taken too well by the |  |
| 12 | operating staff. I can tell you they wanted to |  |
| 13 | have it so they can have one operating place. But |  |
| 14 | we did win out. And it was a good choice to this |  |
| 15 | day. And Bipole III location is also going to be |  |
| 16 | located at another location, not at Henday, it's |  |
| 17 | going to be up by Conawapa. |  |
| 18 | After that, I became manager of |  |
| 19 | generation planning because I did have experience |  |
| 20 | in Saskatchewan. And my responsibilities included |  |
| 21 | the recommendation of inservice dates, first for |  |
| 22 | Long Spruce and secondly for Limestone. |  |
| 23 | Now, Limestone was started but the |  |
| 24 | load growth dropped off. At that time, we had |  |
| 25 | load growth at 6, 7 percent. Load growth dropped |  |


|  |  | Page 6180 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | off, a decision was made that we would stop |  |
| 2 | Limestone. We had just done the coffer-dam, so we |  |
| 3 | flooded it. So come 1984, we went out -- I should |  |
| 4 | say before that we had a 500, I worked 500 kVAC |  |
| 5 | interconnection with Northern States Power. And I |  |
| 6 | was involved in the contract negotiations on the |  |
| 7 | diversity exchange. That was the -- I guess it's |  |
| 8 | the contract that really made the line pay for |  |
| 9 | itself, to start it for both the utilities. And I |  |
| 10 | don't know if you know what diversity exchange is, |  |
| 11 | winter time when we have our peak days, they would |  |
| 12 | supply us capacity. In the summer time when they |  |
| 13 | had their peak, we would return their favour. |  |
| 14 | MR. MERONEK: Mr. Derry, if I could |  |
| 15 | ask you to just bring the mic a little closer to |  |
| 16 | you? |  |
| 17 | MR. DERRY: Okay. So under my |  |
| 18 | generation planning, we negotiated the power sales |  |
| 19 | as well. And with the sale to Northern States |  |
| 20 | Power of 500 megawatts that was negotiated in |  |
| 21 | 1984, we were able to restart Limestone. And that |  |
| 22 | was a 500 megawatt sale. I worked on that |  |
| 23 | contract for that and I also participated. At |  |
| 24 | that time, we had to have hearings with the |  |
| 25 | National Energy Board and I participated as an |  |

expert witness on that project.

So during the period of the $' 70$ s and '80s, many significant decisions of new generation and the 500 kV interconnection to the Northern States Power were made. I must say I was proud to play a role when $I$ was with Hydro on decisions that are still providing benefits to consumers of Manitoba.

That's my CV. Before I start my presentation, I want to make the following point. Planning is not a rocket science, it's just common sense, and let us all remember this as we proceed with reviewing the various locations, options, route selections, to minimize the effects of Bipole III on the agricultural community in Southern Manitoba, as well as keeping in the forefront the need for improved reliability of the Manitoba Hydro system to a catastrophic failure of Dorsey Converter Station and northern transmission line corridor.

Okay. The report subject is up on the screen right now. I will read it out. It's location options for Bipole converter stations near Winnipeg, part one, Art Derry P Eng.

I'm going to go over the outline of

|  | the presentation. The presentation will provide | Page 6182 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | options of converter station locations at nine |  |
| 3 | routes to achieve the same results or better to |  |
| 4 | the agricultural community, as well as offering a |  |
| 5 | more reliable system at a much lower overall cost |  |
| 6 | than that of either the CEC or Manitoba Hydro. It |  |
| 7 | will include discussion on the options, including |  |
| 8 | configuration of the lines to various station |  |
| 9 | locations, the timing, the cost, and the |  |
| 10 | reliability issues. They will discuss all |  |
| 11 | proposed routes with the prime function of |  |
| 12 | minimizing the effect on the Southern Manitoba |  |
| 13 | agriculture community, plus the reliability. |  |
| 14 | The main concern from a reliability |  |
| 15 | perspective is that both HVDC lines come into the |  |
| 16 | Dorsey station. And if Dorsey goes out for a |  |
| 17 | catastrophic event, then the heavily populated and |  |
| 18 | industrial areas of Winnipeg and Southern Manitoba |  |
| 19 | will suffer severe power outages. |  |
| 20 | The first consideration will be a |  |
| 21 | comparison of the CEC Inquiry Manitoba Hydro |  |
| 22 | Coalition route proposals. It will be followed by |  |
|  | the proposed converter locations of Bipole II and |  |
|  | Bipole III offered by the CEC, Manitoba Hydro. |  |
| 25 | And this report includes a discussion of the |  |

reliability effect of such locations.
Now, the slide is up there. There
will be five options. The first one is the status quo. Next, relocate Bipole II at Riel and build Bipole III at Dorsey, CEC inquiry; locate the converter station for Bipole III at Riel, a Manitoba Hydro proposal; relocate a new converter station for Bipole II at Riel, the recommended proposal of this report; and build a converter station of Bipole III in the vicinity of LaVerendrye, recommended proposal.

The effect of corridor outages on the existing Bipole I and II transmission lines will be examined during the shoulder months, which are the months of March, April and November, and the off peak months of May through to October. The capital cost of all the options will be provided, as well as the capital costs for underground cable proposals, the carrying charges for the Manitoba Hydro option C, the CEC option B, part of it which is the relocation of Bipole II to Riel, and the recommended option, which is the relocation of Bipole II; and finally the conclusions will be provided.

So, first we'll look at whether the
line routes could be terminated that would provide the least effect of the overhead lines from the perspective of the landowners.

This figure 1.1 indicates the various routes that we're going to be talking about. The green line you should know, you've seen it enough, I'm sure, over the last two months. That's the Hydro proposal. It is some -- I'll go over -- the red line is the CEC Inquiry, which would go straight across Westburne to Dorsey. The red line and then the purple line goes down to LaVerendrye Bipole III location that we're suggesting is a report recommendation. And there's another line that was brought up to you by Mr. Ennis at some of the hearings, and that goes from the Long Plains First Nations reserve, follows the 230 kV line that goes through Brandon. And then goes down a route, that light green route that was put up by Hydro, was never used. And then picks up on another 230 kV line that goes into LaVerendrye. So we're following routes that are already there. It would mean you just have to extend that at the right-of-way.

Okay. Now if we look at the CEC proposed route, it's about 95 kilometres to the

Dorsey station, the red line. The Hydro preferred route that goes in the green is 250 kilometres, or 230, I'm not sure. The Coalition proposed route would come within 40 kilometres of the Bipoles I and II lines, and then head in a northeast direction to LaVerendrye, and the total of that would be about 105 kilometres. And then Mr. Ennis's route would be about 110.

So preparing the routes, of course, the shortest, from a reliability perspective, the shortest is the CEC, and from a reliability perspective, no effect of reducing the deficit for Dorsey outage, such as equivalent to the Hydro proposal where they would refurbish Bipole II at Dorsey. In other words, if you had a dozen eggs at Dorsey, and you took six out and moved them over to Riel, and you came back and put another six in at Dorsey, so you end up with the same problem with having all your eggs in one basket.

The Coalition alternative, on the other hand, from Westburne is equivalent to the Hydro Riel option from a reliability viewpoint. However, from the perspective of landowners, it would reduce the line length for 125 kilometres resulting in less line being affected. It will

|  | also have the advantage of utilizing underground | Page 6186 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | cable. From the point where it leaves Westburne |  |
| 3 | route to go southeast to LaVerendrye, resulting in |  |
| 4 | over 40 kilometres of overhead lines, reducing the |  |
| 5 | total to LaVerendrye to 190 kilometres of overhead |  |
| 6 | lines. |  |
| 7 | Mr. Woodford and Dr. Lawson will |  |
| 8 | discuss this alternative further in their |  |
| 9 | presentations, the cable route. Mr. Ennis's |  |
| 10 | alternative would reduce the line length by about |  |
| 11 | 120 kilometres. It could be overhead lines |  |
| 12 | following the extended right-of-ways that I |  |
| 13 | discussed earlier. |  |
| 14 | I'm going to use this just to get our |  |
| 15 | bearings, so everybody knows when we talk about |  |
| 16 | Dorsey, Riel and the possible station near |  |
| 17 | LaVerendrye. So on the top, on the top left-hand |  |
| 18 | side you will see the Dorsey station. Of course |  |
| 19 | there is 3,800 megawatts right now of conversion |  |
| 20 | capacity there. |  |
| 21 | The Riel site, we are suggesting that |  |
| 22 | the Bipole II be -- a new Bipole II be built at |  |
| 23 | Riel, and it would be located at Riel. |  |
| 24 | And the third option is to put a |  |
| 25 | converter station over near the LaVerendrye |  |


|  | station | Page 6187 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | On this map there, or whatever it is, |  |
| 3 | a drawing, you'll see at the bottom a brown line |  |
| 4 | that is a south corridor, which is an existing |  |
| 5 | undeveloped right-of-way. There is no lines on |  |
| 6 | there. It's been there for years. |  |
| 7 | November 22nd, 2012, a Hydro witness |  |
| 8 | commented in reference to a question to the |  |
| 9 | Chairman -- the question was, what about |  |
| 10 | LaVerendrye -- that it wasn't feasible to build |  |
| 11 | Bipole III at this location because they would |  |
| 12 | have to build five or six Hydro 230 kV lines to go |  |
| 13 | back from LaVerendrye over to Riel. I disagree |  |
| 14 | with that conclusion. It would take one 500 kV |  |
| 15 | line. And the right-of-way is there for it right |  |
| 16 | now, because that right-of-way was put in for a |  |
| 17 | 500 kV line that was going to interconnect back |  |
| 18 | into Dorsey in the earlier years. And it never |  |
| 19 | got put in because Bipole III was never built on |  |
| 20 | the east side of Lake Winnipeg and terminated at |  |
| 21 | Riel. |  |
| 22 | And there is further evidence that |  |
| 23 | there is plans to put a 500 kV line on that |  |
| 24 | right-of-way in that Hydro capital venture |  |
| 25 | forecast. And Mr. Woodford will talk further |  |

about this in his report as well. In fact, there's plans to go all the way back to Dorsey station with 500 kV . You don't see a right-of-way for it there now, but they would have to get a right-of-way.
Now, just to cover off, should Bipole III, in the recommended plan, Bipole II would come first and then Bipole III. In other words, Bipole III will be delayed if we build a new converter station at Riel for Bipole II. But should that not happen, should Bipole III precede the relocation at Bipole II at Riel, then that 500 kV line would be required to go back to Riel. This is an interesting figure, it comes from a Hydro report, page 34. The report is titled "Ultimate HVDC Development in Manitoba," authored by Mr. P. Lang and Mr. R. W. Mazur, signed by Mr. G. Neufeld, and distributed to many divisions, as well as to Mr. Tymofichuk, being one of the executives.
Now, let's see what this figure tells
us. Let's start at the bottom. It shows from zero to minus 2000. The existing system, it shows how much deficits are starting in 2010. You will note that they missed the five on 2050, but we
know what that is. We go up to 2020. So with the existing system, we have a deficit, and we know we have had that, and I'll show another figure shortly, it's a Hydro figure. Again, you have seen it before. But if we -- if they do, or they are doing the Riel sectionalization, and I don't know whether it's finished or not, then they reduce the deficit from about 300 megawatts. Because they can import more power from the U.S. by having that sectionalization.

They show on there a Bipole III of a thousand megawatts. I think at one time they were thinking of only putting half a Bipole in. So I guess when they wrote the report, they had put that on there. But you can see now, if we relocate Bipole II from Dorsey to Riel, we go into the surplus position, just as well as if we built Bipole II, 2000 megawatts. And I think the dotted line is very accurate there, because Bipole I is it 1800 megawatts, of course, and Bipole II is 2000. So depending on which one you lost. So then on top of that, if you read at the top, it shows what would happen if you had three Bipoles, in other words Bipole I at Dorsey, Bipole II relocated -- they don't say where and

|  | they don't say when on this table, I'm going | Page 6190 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | cover that -- and Bipole III, of course. And now |  |
| 3 | we have quite a big surplus. And I'll be showing |  |
| 4 | you later that that surplus could last at Hydro's |  |
| 5 | forecast rate of growth of 83 megawatts per year |  |
| 6 | to about 2050. It will add 25 years to that |  |
| 7 | 2020 -- 2025 I should say. |  |
| 8 | I guess I think I have made the point, |  |
| 9 | that top line is three Bipoles, three different |  |
| 10 | locations. |  |
| 11 | Okay. I have just come back to the |  |
| 12 | options again, I can go through them quickly |  |
| 13 | again. Status quo; relocate Bipole II at Riel; |  |
| 14 | build Bipole III at Dorsey, CEC Inquiry; locate |  |
| 15 | the converter station for Bipole III at Riel, |  |
| 16 | Manitoba Hydro proposal. And remember, these A's, |  |
| 17 | B's and C's, because I am going to put the figures |  |
| 18 | to that later. D is relocate a new converter |  |
| 19 | station for Bipole at Riel; and E, build a |  |
| 20 | converter station for Bipole III in the vicinity |  |
| 21 | of LaVerendrye. |  |
| 22 | You've seen this one before. And now |  |
| 23 | I'm going to start off by looking at the status |  |
| 24 | quo sort of thing. You can see by 2017, Hydro had |  |
| 25 | projected a 1500-megawatt. By the way, this is |  |


|  | for the loss of Dorsey, in the peak period, | Page 6191 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | winter period. And I define the peak as happening |  |
| 3 | somewhere between the month of December, January |  |
| 4 | or February. You can have a peak in either one of |  |
| 5 | those months, usually it's January more than |  |
| 6 | often. So I guess we can go to the next slide. |  |
| 7 | The next slide is the one that we have |  |
| 8 | prepared using the 2012 load forecast. I don't |  |
| 9 | know what forecast they used on that last figure, |  |
| 10 | but using the 2012 forecast, we don't come out |  |
| 11 | with very much different answers. You can see the |  |
| 12 | deficit starting in 2013/14, it goes from about |  |
| 13 | 1050, 1100, up to 2000 megawatts come 2025, much |  |
| 14 | the same as the other. If we look at 17/18, |  |
| 15 | 2017/18, I think is 1400 megawatts instead of |  |
| 16 | 1500. We're not going to argue about that. |  |
| 17 | MR. MERONEK: Mr. Derry, just go back |  |
| 18 | to the last slide. Could you explain -- |  |
| 19 | MR. DERRY: I'm sorry, I didn't cover |  |
| 20 | off the blue. There's two colours there. The red |  |
| 21 | forecast is the expected forecast of Manitoba |  |
| 22 | Hydro, and the blue one is -- says 10 percent |  |
| 23 | probability forecast. It's really the load |  |
| 24 | forecast -- the load forecast that they have come |  |
| 25 | up with. And I was interested in this. I wanted |  |


|  | to see what the difference would be Because I | Page 6192 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | don't really think they are going to beat that |  |
| 3 | expected forecast, and I'll tell you why. From |  |
| 4 | 1992 to 2012, the average load growth was |  |
| 5 | 44 megawatts per year. And this forecast that |  |
| 6 | they are putting out now from 2012 to 2032 is |  |
| 7 | 83 megawatts per year, twice the amount. |  |
| 8 | Okay. Now I'm going to talk about |  |
| 9 | the -- so, if we didn't do anything, just stay at |  |
| 10 | the status quo, those are the outage deficits that |  |
| 11 | we would suffer for a catastrophic outage at |  |
| 12 | Dorsey. And in the Teshmont reports, it has a one |  |
| 13 | in 200 year return period. It happened once every |  |
| 14 | 200 years is the way I like to say it. So to have |  |
| 15 | such a failure, we agree something should be done |  |
| 16 | to cure the Dorsey problem. |  |
| 17 | Okay. Now we'll go to the -- this |  |
| 18 | first figure is figure 1.3(b), and that refers to |  |
| 19 | the CEC Inquiry. So what I've done here is I |  |
| 20 | said, okay, we had the figure 1.3 but it didn't |  |
| 21 | have any locations on it. It just talked about |  |
| 22 | Bipole II relocation, it talked about Bipole III, |  |
| 23 | and it didn't have any timing to it. So I put |  |
| 24 | some times on these things. So if we look at the |  |
| 25 | CEC Inquiry, we have a good idea of moving Bipole |  |

II from Dorsey to Riel. Because you see, you get there, you relocate Bipole II to Riel. And that that is good, and this has been documented by Hydro that any of these, Bipole III or Bipole II relocated are only good until 2025. I extended that line to show where you'd start going into deficit again.

Now, the problem becomes one that you have Bipoles I and III at Dorsey, so what are you going to do in 2025, because you've got a deficit? And looking at the report, the 2010 report, the key findings, and you'll find them quoted in my report, but I'm just going to make a short comment on them. You will see they have suggested a high voltage. They don't say 500 , but they say a high voltage AC transmission line north/south for additional -- will be required for additional north/south transmission beyond 2025.

And the second point was that splitting Dorsey Bipoles I and II shall be considered to reduce the power costs of 3800 megawatts.

So I have assumed to be able to have something comparable to what you're going to see in the recommended alternative, something has to

|  | be done, you have to add 2000 megawatts to get | Page 6194 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | back up to that top line. And if you remember |  |
| 3 | Mr. Mazur's presentation, he compared the |  |
| 4 | 2000-megawatt AC line to the DC line, for the line |  |
| 5 | lengths of 1300 megawatts, and it came out to be |  |
| 6 | \$4.18 billion, much more than the DC. |  |
| 7 | So this is what I have suggested they |  |
| 8 | would have to do. Now, if they didn't do that, |  |
| 9 | what else are they going to do? Are they going to |  |
| 10 | add 2000 megawatts of gas turbines? They have to |  |
| 11 | do something to be comparable, to get to that top |  |
| 12 | line that we have in figure 1.3 before, which we |  |
| 13 | are going to recommend as the report's |  |
| 14 | recommendation. |  |
| 15 | This ends up much -- identical, except |  |
| 16 | what we have now is we have Bipole III at Riel, |  |
| 17 | Bipoles I and II are still at Dorsey, so we end up |  |
| 18 | with the same problem. So I said again, well, |  |
| 19 | we've got to do something to get that same level |  |
| 20 | of reliability. |  |
| 21 | Now, this is a recommended |  |
| 22 | alternative. It ends up with, like I said in the |  |
| 23 | first case on figure 3, 1-3, there are three |  |
| 24 | distinct locations for the Bipoles. You would |  |
| 25 | relocate Bipole II to Riel first. That's 2017, we |  |

never put the date on there, but that's where that starts. But come 2025, then we're there if you want, they build Bipole III at LaVerendrye. And we have a system now that has three distinct Bipole locations. So you could lose one and you'd still have two. It's not just like losing Dorsey, then you'd lose two of them.

Now, if -- I think I mentioned later too -- if we don't pick up on the idea of relocating Riel, Bipole II to Riel, and just refurbish Bipole II at Dorsey, like Hydro is recommending, it's going to be another 40 years before you get a chance to redo it. There's a window of opportunity there now. If you don't take that window of opportunity, you're going to just keep refurbishing. And it's not just replacing the thyristor valves which Hydro has used in costing, there are other components that have to be replaced. And Mr. Woodford will talk about the condition of, is it better to build a new converter station or to refurbish?
And this one, this slide that's up here now, this recommendation will be shown to be the lowest cost and provide less effect on the agricultural communities in Southern Manitoba.

| 1 | So what does all this mean? Next | Page 6196 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | slide? What the analysis means, okay, we're not |  |
| 3 | saying stop the route selection for Bipole III, it |  |
| 4 | should not be stopped, it should be finalized. |  |
| 5 | And the location to where it goes should be |  |
| 6 | finalized. We're only talking about an eight year |  |
| 7 | span between 2017 and 2025, where we could have |  |
| 8 | some corridor problems. But I will cover these |  |
| 9 | off, and the ones that we have had to date and the |  |
| 10 | cost of those also. |  |
| 11 | So the location of Bipole II should be |  |
| 12 | at Riel we are saying for 2017. If you look at |  |
| 13 | the capital costs that I have in my report, you'll |  |
| 14 | see that Bipole II is 27 to 31 percent of Bipole |  |
| 15 | III in service costs. The reason there's two |  |
| 16 | numbers there, we don't know whether they are |  |
| 17 | going LCC or VSC, voltage source converters or |  |
| 18 | line commutated converters. They haven't made |  |
| 19 | their mind up yet. |  |
| 20 | One of the costs that you hear all the |  |
| 21 | time is 3.28 , which is the voltage source |  |
| 22 | converters. And if they did go line commutated |  |
| 23 | converters, it's more like 3.98 billion, both of |  |
| 24 | those are billions. So then we say the location |  |
| 25 | for Bipole III should be at or near LaVerendrye by |  |

2025. All the above minimizes the effect on the Southern Manitoba agricultural community.

And I thought it would be nice to know how much they have spent on Bipole III up to now. I got this out of the financial forecast that Hydro provides to the Public Utilities Board. And up to the end of 2011 was actual, 2012 was estimated, and I found it to be 194 million, about 6 percent of the Bipole II total cost of 3.28 billion.

Now, moving Bipole II to Riel doesn't do anything for the transmission. We've still just got two transmission lines. For Bipole III we provide another transmission line. And in all the reports that I've seen from Hydro, they never did an analysis of what $I$ call the shoulder months, or the off-peak months, of how much the deficit would be for the outages. Now, that's what I'm going to present here now that I've done.

So if you look at the figure here, that is the per unit for each month of peak of January, that's how much the load drops off. So if you looked at what I call the shoulder months, starting in March, April and November, those three months I call the shoulder months, they are about

THE CHAIRMAN: Could you just define what shedding is, please?
MR. DERRY: Load shedding, it's sending a signal to a breaker that will open up the line, that they will take the load that's on that line off. Okay.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thanks.
MR. MERONEK: Sorry, Mr. Derry, is another word for that interruptible?
MR. DERRY: Interruptible, it could be, but that's not done the same way, this is done by a frequency relay.
Now, what I was going to say is, in the case of Dorsey we were looking at things that had a one in 200 probability with a duration of months, and Hydro's moved that up to three years. Anyway, so we're in agreement we have to do something there. This one is more like weeks, this type of outage. Because all you have is a transmission line. And if you have enough equipment on spare parts and everything else, in fact, the 1996 outage of the corridor, within one week they had that full amount of power again going, because they could parallel the lines. So we're talking weeks here, we're not talking


|  | mont | Page 6201 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | I'm going to quantify some of the |  |
| 3 | events that have happened, only one in Hydro, I'm |  |
| 4 | going to tell what you it cost us later. |  |
| 5 | I guess that's here. |  |
| 6 | Cost of outages, this was the request |  |
| 7 | at the Public Utilities Board in this last hearing |  |
| 8 | by the PUB for Manitoba Hydro to quantify what the |  |
| 9 | loss was during that 1996 wind storm. That loss |  |
| 10 | is made up of lost revenues for export sales and |  |
| 11 | capital equipment that had to be replaced. Their |  |
| 12 | estimate came out to \$11.1 million. There was |  |
| 13 | another storm, I guess a winter, Dorsey storm in |  |
| 14 | 2011, and that estimate is $\$ 6.6$ million. |  |
| 15 | Now, if you take the \$3.28 billion, |  |
| 16 | and take the annual carrying charges on it, which |  |
| 17 | was interest depreciation of about 9.1 percent |  |
| 18 | used by the PUB, you would come out to a carrying |  |
| 19 | charge of \$322 million per year. So are you going |  |
| 20 | to spend \$322 million to cover something that |  |
| 21 | costs 11 or 6? |  |
| 22 | Next slide. These are the capital |  |
| 23 | costs that we used in the report. The 1.2 billion |  |
| 24 | is a figure that came out of the IRs from Manitoba |  |
| 25 | Hydro. If you read the report, you'll see the |  |


| 1 | reference to it. So with Bipole II at Riel -- | Page 6202 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | we'll go through each one separately -- CEC |  |
| 3 | alternative suggests -- you said moving Bipole II, |  |
|  | but the thing you would do is build a new Bipole |  |
| 5 | II at Riel, 1.2 billion. Replacing the Dorsey |  |
| 6 | Bipole II with Bipole III at Dorsey would be 3.14 |  |
| 7 | for a total of 4 -- I'm sorry, the north/south for |  |
| 8 | compatibility, or comparison to what we have with |  |
| 9 | alternative D and E is 4.18 billion, which came |  |
| 10 | from Hydro. So we had 8.52 billion for that |  |
| 11 | alternative. |  |
| 12 | Now with the Manitoba Hydro alterative |  |
| 13 | we have the 3.28 billion in costs. And in the |  |
| 14 | report in appendix 1, you'll find the cost of |  |
| 15 | . 54 billion, which includes the valves, new |  |
| 16 | transformers, replacement of transformers, moving |  |
| 17 | reactors and so forth. It's greater than Hydro's |  |
| 18 | estimate of -- I think their estimate was 1.1 -- |  |
| 19 | 186 billion for each location, the north and south |  |
| 20 | location or something like that. |  |
| 21 | Now, if you go to the Coalition |  |
| 22 | alternative we used the 1.2 again, and locating |  |
| 23 | Bipole III near LaVerendrye, we have a cost of |  |
| 24 | 3.17 or 4.37. |  |
| 25 | Now, we made a comparison of the |  |


|  | capital costs, underground cable versus overhead | Page 6203 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | lines, and all these costs are in millions of |  |
| 3 | dollars. With Bipole III cable to LaVerendrye, |  |
| 4 | which is 65 kilometres, we came up with |  |
| 5 | \$292.5 million. Bipole III overhead to Riel, |  |
| 6 | credit 190 million of the overhead to conductor. |  |
| 7 | (POWER OUTAGE) |  |
| 8 | MR. DERRY: Going over this again |  |
| 9 | starting with A, which is the LaVerendrye site |  |
| 10 | it's Bipole III cable costs 292.5, all millions of |  |
| 11 | dollars, and then a credit, because you are now |  |
| 12 | doing away with 190 kilometres of overhead line, |  |
| 13 | which is at a million dollars per kilometre, 190. |  |
| 14 | So the difference is about $\$ 102.5$ million, which |  |
| 15 | is about 3.1 percent of the Bipole III cost. |  |
| 16 | And with Riel situation, you have to |  |
| 17 | put a line from Dorsey over to Riel. And if you |  |
| 18 | assume it was cable, 50 kilometres, we have a cost |  |
| 19 | of 225 million, and a credit there of 170 |  |
| 20 | kilometres of line, because in the Hydro |  |
| 21 | alternative that they submitted in the |  |
| 22 | January 28th letter, it had a hundred kilometres |  |
| 23 | in the north part that came off around St. |  |
| 24 | Ambroise or somewhere, goes across and came back |  |
| 25 | down to Riel. And they had 70 kilometres on the |  |


|  | southern route, that brown route I showed you | Page 6204 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | before, so that's a credit of 170. So it's about |  |
| 3 | \$55 million more, or 4.6 per cent of Bipole II |  |
| 4 | costs, which is 1.2 billion. |  |
| 5 | Okay. Now this is an interesting one |  |
| 6 | here too. I have talked about the carrying |  |
| 7 | charges before. So the 322 you have seen, which |  |
| 8 | is the voltage source converters, and the LCC |  |
| 9 | alternative would come to 385. In that 322, I |  |
| 10 | have given a credit because Bipole III will reduce |  |
| 11 | the losses on the system by $\$ 26$ million, so that's |  |
| 12 | in the 3.22 . |  |
| 13 | Now if you put Bipole II at Riel at a |  |
| 14 | cost of 1.2 billion, you're looking at carrying |  |
| 15 | charges of 109 million a year in that same period, |  |
| 16 | between 2017 to 2025. I guess if we have any |  |
| 17 | economists in the crowd, if you take the |  |
| 18 | difference of the 322 minus the 109, which I think |  |
| 19 | is 213 billion a year, and you take that present |  |
| 20 | value of those eight years at 6.1 percent, which |  |
| 21 | is Hydro's interest rate or discount rate, that |  |
| 22 | comes out to $\$ 1.3$ billion in savings, more than |  |
| 23 | the cost of relocation of Bipole II. |  |
| 24 | Okay, next slide. Okay, just go back |  |
| 25 | again to go over, before we give you the |  |

conclusions. The recommendation, of course, is to move Bipole II and build a new one at Riel, put Bipole III in the southwest corner of Winnipeg. Just because we show it there doesn't mean it has to go at that location. It's up to Hydro to pick that spot, but it's at that corner somewhere. So that is the recommended location of this report, recommendation to this report for the location. So the conclusions, again, are that it's the least cost alternative to relocate a new Bipole II at Riel for 2017, locate Bipole III at LaVerendrye by 2025.

And the last slide, just go back so you can see the routes that were suggested.

And that concludes my part one.
MR. MERONEK: Thank you. With the indulgence of the Commission, I would now ask Mr. Derry some questions with respect to the rebuttal.

Mr. Derry, do you have the rebuttal evidence of Manitoba Hydro that was filed last night?

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Meronek, ironically the panel has not received copies of this rebuttal. We are anticipating getting them by

|  | noon. I'm not quite sure why. | Page 6206 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. MERONEK: Then why don't I go |  |
| 3 | through with all the presentations, and then we |  |
| 4 | can pick up after that, when you have had the |  |
| 5 | copies that you can follow along with. |  |
| 6 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, that might be |  |
| 7 | helpful. |  |
| 8 | MR. DERRY: We're going to do it |  |
| 9 | later? |  |
| 10 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. |  |
| 11 | MR. MERONEK: We'll move over to you, |  |
| 12 | Mr. Woodford. And I would ask that you bring the |  |
| 13 | mic close to you so that we can all hear what you |  |
| 14 | have to say. |  |
| 15 | MR. WOODFORD: Mr. Chairman, |  |
| 16 | Commissioners, ladies and gentlemen, my name is |  |
| 17 | Dennis Woodford, as I said earlier. On my CV, I |  |
| 18 | graduated in 1966 and joined a company called then |  |
| 19 | English Electric, which was the manufacturer and |  |
| 20 | supplier of Nelson River Bipole I. And then |  |
| 21 | during that period of time until 1970, when I |  |
| 22 | worked with -- I worked on that project, from the |  |
| 23 | supplier's point of view, and did some work on |  |
| 24 | Bipole I in the United Kingdom. |  |
| 25 | 1970, I moved to Canada, went to the |  |

University of Manitoba, got a masters degree. 1972, I joined Manitoba Hydro in system planning and continued working on Bipole I, but this time from the owner's perspective.

I also worked on AC transmission
interconnections. And over through the 1970s worked with Mr. Derry in establishing the 500 kV AC transmission line to Minneapolis from Dorsey. My side of it was the technical side. Mr. Derry's was the important side where the money and contracts was involved. I also worked on the studies for Bipole II.

In 1986, I was appointed executive director of the Manitoba High Voltage DC Research Centre. And that had been established because it was felt back in about 1980, that since there was such a heavy reliance on the DC transmission to bring power into Manitoba with Bipole I and Bipole II now in operation, we needed a research centre to help improve any reliability issues.

And so during the 15 years $I$ served as executive director of this independent research centre, from which we received quite a few contracts from Manitoba Hydro, we did look at quite a few issues that related to reliability of

| 1 | the Nelson River. To recount two, one was wall | Page 6208 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | bushing flashovers, that was studied to a great |  |
| 3 | extent and resolved with Manitoba Hydro. Another |  |
| 4 | one, which never was properly resolved, and that |  |
| 5 | was what we called anomalous flashovers. I won't |  |
| 6 | go into detail on that but we spent over 12 years |  |
| 7 | trying to study that and find out what occurred. |  |
| 8 | In about 2000, Manitoba Hydro assumed |  |
| 9 | responsibility for the Manitoba HVDC Research |  |
| 10 | Centre. It was taken over and eventually |  |
| 11 | incorporated into what is now Manitoba Hydro |  |
| 12 | International. I, having served 15 years as |  |
| 13 | executive director, it was time for younger and |  |
| 14 | smarter people to take over. So with Hydro's |  |
| 15 | blessing, I worked with them until the new |  |
| 16 | director was in place, and started my own |  |
| 17 | consulting company in electric power transmission, |  |
| 18 | particularly difficult situations of transmission. |  |
| 19 | And that was started in 2001. |  |
| 20 | And in that 12 -year period that has |  |
| 21 | existed, we have undertaken over 300 projects |  |
| 22 | around the world involving AC and DC transmission, |  |
| 23 | from a large network grid we are working at the |  |
| 24 | moment with Teshmont Consultants, which is the |  |
| 25 | Atlantic wind connection, 7000 megawatts DC grid, |  |

with cables undersea and underground cables on the West Coast and the East Coast of United States -that project is in progress, or at least it is developing, it's not built yet -- down to small projects, say down in Arizona, where someone is putting up a 20-megawatt solar generator.

We have worked all over the world with those 300 projects. So we have had quite a bit of experience. We do the very difficult work which many people need done in transmission, AC and DC studies.

That is a basic summary of my experience. Carry on?

MR. MERONEK: Yes, sir.
MR. WOODFORD: Thank you. Here we have a graph that was published in August 2010, in a CIGRE paper prepared by Manitoba Hydro high voltage DC transmission engineers. CIGRE is an international learned society based in Paris, that's probably the most exotic or most important learned society in this area in the world. Manitoba Hydro contributes significantly to this organization.

And in this paper entitled -- I forget what it's entitled, but discussed -- that's paper
B4-101 -- it was about the replacing, or refurbishing and developing the Nelson River transmission, DC transmission systems. And this particular graph published out of, reproduced out of that paper, shows that as time progresses, with a DC converter station, that you have to spend money refurbishing it. It would be nice to build a DC converter station and have it last 40 years and do nothing, just like you would buy a wonderful car like a Rolls Royce and expect to have no maintenance for 40 years. However, as you can see, you are maintaining it all the way along. And after about, as you get to 30 years, you've got to start to put big money in and replace a lot of equipment.
And this is the window of opportunity that Mr. Derry pointed out. And this is an opportunity now, instead of spending that money, putting some of it into building a completely new converter station which we are suggesting be located at Riel.
Now, here is a bird's eye view from Google Earth of Dorsey converter station as it is today. It's very interesting in that Bipole I, 1800 megawatts, look how big it is. That was the

|  | one I worked on in the 1960s with the supplier | Page 6211 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | the equipment. Now, look at Bipole II, |  |
| 3 | 2000 megawatts, and it is much smaller. If they |  |
| 4 | went to voltage source converters for a |  |
| 5 | 2000-megawatt converter station, it would be half |  |
| 6 | or a third the size of Bipole II. So things are |  |
| 7 | shrinking. |  |
| 8 | If we completely took Bipole II out of |  |
| 9 | commission, or decommissioned it, there would be a |  |
| 10 | big empty hole there. But I wouldn't suggest |  |
| 11 | doing that. I would say leave the buildings |  |
| 12 | there, and perhaps even leave the converters |  |
| 13 | there. But I'll explain that later. |  |
| 14 | So, in moving a Bipole such as Bipole |  |
| 15 | II to another location, there's a great example in |  |
| 16 | the City of Los Angeles where there's a |  |
| 17 | 3100-megawatt DC link coming into Los Angeles from |  |
| 18 | the north, from the Columbia River. And at the |  |
| 19 | Sylmar converter station, during its |  |
| 20 | development -- it was originally developed about |  |
| 21 | the same time as Bipole I -- they have done some |  |
| 22 | refurbishment and they have expanded its capacity, |  |
| 23 | and they ended up in the late 1990s with aging two |  |
| 24 | converters that they had put in, and operating in |  |
| 25 | parallel. You can see the original one, Sylmar |  |

West, 2000 megawatts, big station, all the
technology. Then they expanded it by
1100 megawatts and put in Sylmar East. And by early 2000s, they figured that these converter stations were aging and were going to cost a lot of money to replace the bits and pieces. And so it was decided to completely replace them.

And the way they did that was -- if we go to the next slide -- they reduced the operation down to 2000 megawatts by just having the Sylmar West station in operation. And that was the 2000 megawatts. So they suffered a loss of transmission capacity because they opened up the line to the 1100 megawatts Sylmar East station. And that's the one they refinished. And you'll see how much smaller it is. And what they were able to do is they tore out the insides, all the valves, the converter transformers, the controls and all the bits and pieces, out of that small converter station and put in it -- go to the next slide -- a 3100-megawatt converter station, with all the new equipment in it. All that was old was the building itself.

They then decommissioned the operation of the Sylmar West station. You can see there's
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | operating functionally well at 3100 megawatts. |  |
| 3 | And the reason I bring this up is that |  |
| 4 | this was an example of being able to put in new |  |
| 5 | converters in a different location or a different |  |
| 6 | situation, similar but not the same, of course, as |  |
| 7 | what we're talking about with Bipole II being |  |
| 8 | taken over to Riel. But it did not require much |  |
| 9 | down time at all to the City of Los Angeles. |  |
| 10 | And in fact, it would be a good idea |  |
| 11 | to go down and talk to the folks at Los Angeles |  |
| 12 | Department of Water and Power and find out exactly |  |
| 13 | how much down time they had, if any, in this |  |
| 14 | complete reconstruction with a new converter at |  |
| 15 | Sylmar station. Because Manitoba Hydro has |  |
| 16 | emphasized several times in their representations |  |
| 17 | to you that to do this relocation of Bipole II at |  |
| 18 | Riel is going to require extensive down time, and |  |
| 19 | all sorts of problems and difficulties and |  |
| 20 | challenges. And I know there are challenges. I |  |
| 21 | don't disagree with that. But with good |  |
| 22 | engineering, as was able to be accomplished in the |  |
| 23 | City of Los Angeles, that this can be really |  |
|  | minimized. And if they wish to find more |  |
| 25 | information on this situation, they can go to the |  |
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| 2 | kV line coming out of Dorsey. |  |
| 3 | So 500 kV double circuit with Bipole |  |
| 4 | III between Riel and Dorsey seems to have been an |  |
| 5 | issue that they are considering. And we know from |  |
| 6 | the representations made by Manitoba Hydro that |  |
| 7 | this is under consideration. And as Mr. Derry |  |
| 8 | pointed out, there is the right-of-way that could |  |
| 9 | go all the way from Riel, south of Winnipeg, up |  |
| 10 | past -- near LaVerendrye and presumably on up to |  |
| 11 | Dorsey, as they had shown there. And that would |  |
| 12 | be with Bipole III, it seems, for this |  |
| 13 | representation. It's only a representation, but |  |
| 14 | it's something that has been considered by |  |
| 15 | Manitoba Hydro, and it could be done, of course, |  |
| 16 | as a consequence. |  |
| 17 | Again, this is just a picture to show |  |
| 18 | how we would put Bipole II over at Riel, but we |  |
| 19 | would leave Bipole III there. You know, Bipole II |  |
| 20 | at Dorsey, don't decommission it for a while |  |
| 21 | anyway. And this is quite important because, as |  |
| 22 | is rightly being considered, there could be some |  |
| 23 | issues associated with building a new converter |  |
| 24 | like that. If you've got Bipole II that is still |  |
| 25 | functionable, heavens, just switch out the one, |  |



1 recommend that we put Bipole, the line from Dorsey
to Riel as an underground cable down the 500 kV AC right-of-way, which is only less than 50
kilometres. And then if we did, we wouldn't lose any towers due to environmental issues, we might get some cable faults. And although I have got Bipole II at Dorsey decommissioned there, why not leave it in for a while, operational, just keep it there? Don't use it unless you have to. And then if you do lose that line or lose the other Bipole, until you bring in Bipole III anyway, you can bring in, start up, fire up the old Bipole II at Dorsey and off you go. These are issues that I'm presenting to you that haven't been really studied. They haven't really been presented, and they need to be.
Now, if we do convert or transfer

Bipole II away from Dorsey as we have proposed, we do solve the problem of Bipole II going out and Bipole I going out simultaneously. I know when we were building Nelson River Bipole I and II at Dorsey, some of the technicians that were working out at Dorsey station were saying, you know, this is pretty close to a runway, one of the runways from the airport. And if a Boeing 747 came
roaring in and crashing down, it will take out the whole station. So we recognized way back in the '70s that this could happen. But by moving it, as has been widely proposed to move Bipole II and separate it away from Dorsey, and if the Boeing 747 crashed into Dorsey, then it would only take out Bipole I. I'm just bringing that up as a fact that we discussed that way back 30,40 years ago. Now, that leaves the transmission lines, which Mr. Derry has discussed before. When they go down -- here is the 1996 towers that went down. And for some reason or other -- this is out of the chapter II of the EIS, these pictures -they've got 1991 written on them, but I guess that's a mistake.

And as a consequence of this, we're suggesting that, as Mr. Derry said, if we had good inventory and we had good restoration practice in place, this outage will not be very long.

Now, we have heard six to eight weeks. This of course is a worst case scenario. Why do we always have to have worse case scenarios presented to us? When we have had an actual situation that took $I$ think five years, you said a week, but $I$ think it was five days when Bipole I

|  | and II were back up and running So there is a | Page 6219 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | worst case, which may be six to eight weeks, but |  |
| 3 | there is also a reasonable case. Where is this |  |
| 4 | reasonable case presented? We don't see that in |  |
| 5 | the submissions from Manitoba Hydro. And I'd like |  |
| 6 | to see some reasonable cases. I'm proposing to |  |
| 7 | you that with good inventory and a good |  |
| 8 | restoration practice, we can minimize the |  |
| 9 | possibility of this worst case scenario happening, |  |
| 10 | not the six to eight weeks. |  |
| 11 | And one of the things I would like to |  |
| 12 | also raise is, will severe wind occur when the |  |
| 13 | Bipole lines are going through the forest? There |  |
| 14 | have been some weather studies done, and I have |  |
| 15 | read, I think I have read all of them. But I ask |  |
| 16 | the question, in the forest, there is turbulence |  |
| 17 | created near, you know, within the first 30, 40 |  |
| 18 | metres above the ground because of the trees. How |  |
| 19 | does this turbulence, as it comes across to the |  |
| 20 | lines, how does it impact the wind on the |  |
| 21 | conductors, which is what caused problems to pull |  |
| 22 | the towers over. On the prairies, there's nothing |  |
| 23 | to turbulate the air. And so, of course, we see |  |
| 24 | this thing happening. I would like to know the |  |
| 25 | answer to this. I don't know it. It's not in the |  |
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| 2 | forest, lines going through the forest, do the |  |
| 3 | trees turbulate the air enough to cause -- or to |  |
| 4 | limit the lines coming down? The forces on the |  |
| 5 | transmission lines, maybe Manitoba Hydro can |  |
| 6 | present this at some stage, or someone can. |  |
| 7 | Next slide. Icing, big problem. |  |
| 8 | Here's a picture of an insulator. Studies done on |  |
| 9 | insulators show that as long as we're not near the |  |
| 10 | sea, the ice tends to be reasonably good |  |
| 11 | insulator, and it may hold full voltage. But on |  |
| 12 | the DC lines we can easily remedy that if we don't |  |
| 13 | trust full voltage on the insulators, and lower |  |
| 14 | the voltages, as we do when we have the fire under |  |
| 15 | the line, we have to lower the voltage of the DC |  |
| 16 | conductors so that the ionized air from the fires |  |
| 17 | doesn't cause a flashover to ground at the high |  |
| 18 | voltage. And so we could probably minimize any |  |
| 19 | flashovers occurring, at least on the DC line from |  |
| 20 | the insulators icing up. |  |
| 21 | Let's go to the next slide. Looking |  |
| 22 | at some recent icing conditions, and I can only |  |
| 23 | bring these two up because that's all I could find |  |
| 24 | on the weather station on the internet. For the |  |
| 25 | October 5th terrible ice storm in Southeast |  |


| 1 | Manitoba, the temperatures on October 5th, as is | Page 6221 |
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| 2 | shown there, maximum was 1.9 degrees Celsius, |  |
| 3 | minimum was minus 0.1 degrees Celsius in |  |
| 4 | Steinbach. |  |
| 5 | There was a severe ice storm in 2009, |  |
| 6 | February 2009, that went from Saskatchewan right |  |
| 7 | across into Manitoba and took out transmission, |  |
| 8 | probably more in Saskatchewan than Manitoba. But |  |
| 9 | in Brandon, the temperatures there as shown for |  |
| 10 | that day, maximum . 8 degrees Celsius, minimum |  |
| 11 | minus 5.6-degrees Celsius. |  |
| 12 | The reason why I'm putting this up -- |  |
| 13 | let's move to the next slide -- as a consequence |  |
| 14 | of the tremendous disaster in 1998 in Quebec and |  |
| 15 | the United States, well at least the United |  |
| 16 | States, with a terrible ice storm. In fact, this |  |
| 17 | is considered to be the most devastating natural |  |
| 18 | disaster in Canadian history, an institute was |  |
| 19 | formed in Boston known as the Institute for |  |
| 20 | Catastrophic Loss Reduction. And the first study |  |
| 21 | was the Hydro Quebec and Northern U.S. ice storm. |  |
| 22 | And this is a chart from that first study. And it |  |
| 23 | shows that icing, freezing rain which causes icing |  |
|  | is very much a function of altitude. And this is |  |
| 25 | a problem, of course, for airplanes which can be |  |

1 quite high up, and they can ice at very low
temperatures, minus 20, minus 30 degrees, if they are high enough. But in Manitoba, where we're less than 3,000 metres above sea level, freezing rain will happen around 0 -degrees Celsius, which is indicated by the two studies I have just showed you, the two reports I have just showed you about the two ice storms, which is around 0 degrees Celsius. Now, why is this important? Let's go to the next slide. Contrary to what is written in one of the Manitoba Hydro presentations, when you're in these ice storm conditions, the conductors still get warm if they have current going through them. You can't put current through a conductor, no matter what the ambient temperature is, without it getting a little warmer than the ambient. And this is based on calculations presented in IEEE standard 738, where conductor temperatures above ambient are presented. And using these calculations from the standard, taking into account Bipole I and Bipole II, assuming we can load the phases of the poles up to 2000 amps, and there's two conductors in each pole, each conductor should heat up at an ambient temperature of 0 -degrees Celsius. And in

|  | this case, we had an 80 kilometre an hour wind. | Page 6223 |
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| 2 | That was the temperature -- and no sun shining, of |  |
| 3 | course -- that was the temperature rise above |  |
| 4 | ambient that would happen in the conductors. So |  |
| 5 | if we had 2000 amps, we've got 9 degrees of heat |  |
| 6 | above ambient. If the atmospheric temperature, if |  |
| 7 | the ambient temperature is around 0-degrees, then |  |
| 8 | any freezing rain hitting those slightly warm |  |
| 9 | conductors is not going to stick. Okay. It's |  |
| 10 | going to just drip off. |  |
| 11 | The problem is with freezing rain, if |  |
| 12 | it hits a cold surface like a road or a tree |  |
| 13 | that's been in the winter for a long time and is |  |
| 14 | cold, that freezing rain turns to ice, and sticks. |  |
| 15 | And accumulates. It's heavy, and it pulls down |  |
| 16 | trees, pulls down conductors, and does devastation |  |
| 17 | as we know. |  |
| 18 | And the secret is to prevent the ice |  |
| 19 | from happening in the first place. And this is |  |
| 20 | possible with Bipole I and Bipole II, from |  |
| 21 | preventing the icing from happening on the main |  |
| 22 | conductors. |  |
| 23 | Now, you'll still get icing on the |  |
| 24 | shield while it goes overhead, a little steel wire |  |
| 25 | about three-eighths of an inch or half an inch in |  |

diameter, and you'll still get icing on the insulators, and you will still get icing on the towers. But they should be designed to handle that. In fact, in the Hydro Quebec storm of 1998, what they did after that was that Hydro Quebec developed a device, or a power, which had two functions. One function was to generate DC current that they could push down this 735 kV AC lines, which they have over there, and melt the ice. It's harder melting the ice than it is to keep it off in the first place. And then we're going to use a DC converter to do that. That DC converter, when there was no ice storms, can be switched and operated in a different mode and provide AC voltage control. And that is in operation in Quebec today as a means of, if they ever get another ice storm like they had in '98, at least be able to keep the ice off some of the critical 735 kV AC transmission lines. And they wouldn't keep the ice off the shield wires, and they wouldn't keep off the insulators, and they wouldn't keep the ice off the towers, they would stand up, or they should stand up -- otherwise they are being designed inadequately. So it's getting the ice off the conductors that's the key
factor for icing on these high voltage lines. Converter controls, there has been concern about all these controls if we change Bipole II over to Riel. That's going to be a bit of a headache, and that will be. But the Bipole I and Bipole II controls are analogue controls, and these are 30 years old. When I was at the university in the early '60s, we used analogue electronics in our laboratory work, didn't do any digital stuff. But today everything is done digitally and there are many projects of DC transmission systems that have these old analogue controls, and they are replacing them with digital controls. And when they do that, they'll have more flexibility and be able to do things that they can't normally dream of with the analogue controls. As long as we have a good communication system in place, which we would do with fibre optic cables underground -- well, they can do it any way they want.
Resonance is raised as an issue.

Resonance is a sustained oscillation of DC line voltage and current on the DC side of the system, due to various reasons.

And this is raised as a big concern,
Converter controls, there has been
and particularly with this real long line all the way down south of Winnipeg and over to Ste. Annes and back into Riel. They have a problem with the long distance. If we shorten the line significantly, like we're trying to do, and putting in a bit of cable as well, it will change that resonance situation. And this need to be studied. And I think this problem can be remedied and studied and fixed without too much expense, notwithstanding the fact that Manitoba Hydro is concerned about the expense that might occur in the remedy of the resonance situation.
Again, that has to be studied, and I'd like to see the studies to show that this is what the remedy would be.
And here is the case that Manitoba Hydro has presented if we -- Bipole II located at Riel. You see that -- let's see if I can get this working again.
Okay. So they have this great big line way up north, coming all the way down here. I think it's greater than a hundred kilometres, but nonetheless -- my recommendation, again, it's just my opinion, put a cable in. Because if we can delay Bipole III, as Mr. Derry has pointed
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out, we have got $\$ 322$ million carrying charges a year we are saving. And delaying even a year, that $\$ 322$ million could be used in -- we could pay for that cable, and other things as well.
Now, whether this line is DC, I don't think it should be DC. That should be 500 kV AC all the way from here to here, when it comes time to build it. This is an arguable point, but that's my position. And that 30 kilometre, the 50 kilometre line along the 500 kV right-of-way, which would be cable, would be my preferred opinion, but maybe I'm a voice crying in the wilderness on that one.
And here again is just a reproduction of that, the future. Putting in Bipole III, we would come in the short route, so we don't go all the way around the province to get to Riel. And the 500 -- the issue here I think is the 500 kV line to here. Is that a single line? My feeling is that that -- and I'm pretty sure Manitoba Hydro would view that a single line 500 kV from here to here would be completely inadequate and that we'd need to extend the 500 kV line up to Dorsey.
If perchance that Bipole III could be delayed, we have Bipole II feeding into Riel, here
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we've got an advantage and a benefit to Manitoba Hydro, because we learned in the rebuttal that we got late last night that they are really concerned about the area, Winnipeg area transmission with a heavy load west to east, and any power injected in at LaVerendrye would exacerbate that. But if we go ahead and put in Bipole II in the east at Riel, then we are counteracting that flow, and it would help resolve that issue until Bipole III comes in.
And then I would like to see the studies of what would happen if we put these three converter stations in the three different locations, with a 500 kV ring right around Winnipeg, and what would that impact have on the Winnipeg area transmission loading west to east? That would be a study I would like to see. So conclusions, Bipole II inverter could be located at Riel with little disruption of power. And if you folks don't believe me, I suggest you go and talk to the City of Los Angeles and find out what they did. Three inverters at three different locations around Winnipeg, that will increase reliability. That's just plain common sense, as Mr. Derry suggested, was important in this matter.

Ice storms can be managed effectively as it impacts the Bipole I and II DC transmission lines. And really, if you have a severe ice storm where you are going to endanger those lines, you have got real troubles in this province. Because you begin to lose your AC system. And that outage that we saw before will last a long time, as we saw down in Southeast Manitoba in October.
(POWER OUTAGE)
(Proceedings recessed at 10:50 a.m. and reconvened at 11:05 a.m.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Can we come back to order? We have a third presentation by the Bipole Coalition. Mr. Meronek?

MR. MERONEK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Dr. Lawson, could you please indicate to the Commission what your professional background is?

MR. LAWSON: Yes. Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, ladies and gentlemen, as far as professional qualifications are concerned, I have a BSs in Physics from the University of Edinburgh in Scotland, and a Ph.D. in electrical engineering, actually it was a study on high voltage DC cables that $I$ did for my $P h . D$. at the university of South Hampton. And I'm a chartered

```
engineer, U.K. chartered engineer, and I'm a fellow of the Institute of Engineering Technology. This used to be the Institute of Electrical Engineers, but all of the institutions have merged in the U.K. and now we call them the FIET, engineering technology.
I am pleased to be in Winnipeg, it is my first time. I do have distant relations here. The Sinclairs came from Scotland many, many years ago. My sister has met them, but I haven't, so this is an opportunity to make contact.
Actually, I'm lucky to be here.
Because at Immigration when I mentioned Bipole III, they almost turned me around, sent me back home. Just a joke.
As far as my professional experience is concerned, my 40 years engineering experience is divided approximately in two, two periods of about 20 years. First 20 years I worked with Prysmian, it was Pirelli in my time, now it's Prysmian. I joined Prysmian in 1970, and spent 20 years with them. I joined as an international engineer, a person who was willing to travel. And so during that period I worked in Brazil for three years, in Italy for three years, and I was
```

actually the vice-president in charge of research development and engineering, which is a planned technology in North America. In these days the company was called Pirelli Cables North America. So I was responsible for plants in Canada, in Montreal, in Prescot, Ontario and a plant in Surrey British Columbia was subsequently moved down to South Carolina, and also several plants in the U.S.
As far as my experience is concerned, I have worked with local engineers. I worked with three different groups of Winnipeg engineers. I have worked with Teshmont on a DC project in the Philippines. I worked with Dennis's company, Electranix, a project in Malaysia in 2007, and also with Dennis on the MAPP project, that is the Mid Atlantic Power Pathway, which was going to have a DC connection across the Chesapeake. That didn't happen yet so we're still waiting for that one.
Other than that, $I$ have spent almost a solid year in Malaysia at three different cycles of the same project. The first cycle was probably the most exciting. We went through the complete gamut of studies and surveys of specifications, of
the bid process, of selection of the contractor, which was ABB. ABB actually started to make cable for the project. This was in 1997, when there was the currency crash in Southeast Asia, where the Malaysian ringgit went from 2.5 to the dollar to 4 to the dollar, that killed the project. So that was ABB with 30 kilometres of cable, not knowing what to do with it.
I spent a lot of time at the plant, at ABB's plant, several months, following the development work, following the type testing, the qualification testing, and also the manufacturing of that 30 kilometre length.
And I did something similar for the Neptune project. I actually think of this as my project. I was in it from the start in 2002, and worked in the Halden plant of Norway, again during the development of a 500 kV DC cable. And that didn't work out, so we changed suppliers. We changed from Nexans in Halden, Norway to Prysmian in Naples, Italy. And they were very successful. And the project was brought in under budget and on time in 2007.
Neptune is interesting because it does have probably the largest land cable at that time.

It was about 23 kilometres in length. So that was good.

Again, I witnessed all of the testing
in Naples, but I also witnessed the testing of the land AC cables in Prysmian's plant in France, and also in Delft, Prysmian's plant in Holland. So that was quite an interesting experience for me.

In total I worked on 32 submarine cable projects, and a large number also of land cable projects since becoming a consultant.

So that basically is my qualifications and background.

MR. MERONEK: Perhaps, now you can go through your presentation, sir.

MR. LAWSON: All right. So I thought we'd like some nice pictures to have a look at, starting, on the right you have a 500 kV DC termination. And if you look at the little guys at the bottom, you can see just how high that is. It's about seven metres long. And the length really depends on the conditions. So it can vary quite significantly according to the weather conditions. And it depends on how many millimeters of $k V$ they require, and that determines the total length.

|  | And middle top is the transition | Page 6234 |
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| 2 | station of the Basslink project. And this is in |  |
| 3 | Australia, this is between Tasmania and Victoria |  |
| 4 | on the mainland. And I actually worked in the |  |
| 5 | project with Tasmania Hydro, but never managed to |  |
| 6 | get to Australia. I got to New Zealand three |  |
| 7 | times, but Australia never. And I worked on the |  |
| 8 | middle bottom picture is the Middletown, Norwalk |  |
| 9 | project, 345 kV project in Connecticut. This is |  |
| 10 | probably one of the longest cable projects of its |  |
| 11 | type in the world, about 20 kilometres, double |  |
| 12 | circuit. And this is a trench being infilled. |  |
| 13 | And the picture on the left is a |  |
| 14 | picture of the Norway to the Netherlands 450 kV |  |
| 15 | project. The double cable arrangement there was |  |
| 16 | relatively unsuccessful. It could only be laid in |  |
| 17 | very shallow water. And the deep water was two |  |
| 18 | separate cables. And you don't blame me for this, |  |
| 19 | because I think it was the utility's idea to do it |  |
| 20 | this way. |  |
| 21 | So next slide. This is a nice drawing |  |
|  | of mass impregnated cable, 500 kV . So you can see |  |
| 23 | the various layers. What I want to bring your |  |
| 24 | attention to is that the land cable will be very |  |
| 25 | similar up until the polyethylene sheath, number |  |

1 seven if you can see that. And we have to bear in mind when we're talking, particularly about splices, and I think that we have a question here. Splices for submarine cables needed to be flexible in order to lay the cables, particularly if you're laying the cables in deep water. And since there's relatively little experience on land compared with the experience that there is submarine cables, DC submarine cables, something like 4500 kilometres already installed. And about half of that is in the voltage range 400 to 500 kV. So we need flexible splices. And these flexible splices are really just a reconstitution of the cable itself. And they are something like 5 metres in length. And since we had been dealing mainly with submarine cables, when there is a relatively big land cable project, you tend to have the same splices. The industry hasn't yet got to the point where it's developing rapidly fitting splices, which are larger than the diameter of the cable. And this could be a good idea from the point of view of land cable splices, they are not available as yet. I mean, even in the Skagerrak four cable, which is the 90 kilometre cable that you mentioned which is going

|  | in, in Denmark, the splices will continue to be | Page 6236 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | five metres long. And this is also the case in |  |
| 3 | the project in Neptune project in Long Island. |  |
| 4 | So that's why we have problems with |  |
| 5 | times of splicing times. |  |
| 6 | Now, I have said for the splicing |  |
| 7 | time, I said four days, and Manitoba Hydro had |  |
| 8 | said five. Well, you probably will get the answer |  |
| 9 | five if you ask the suppliers. And that is |  |
| 10 | because they are thinking in terms of splicing |  |
| 11 | submarine cables. If you see the armour wire and |  |
| 12 | everything else outside item 7, all these have to |  |
| 13 | be dealt with as well. So for a submarine cable |  |
| 14 | it is fairly typical to say five days. This isn't |  |
| 15 | the case for a land cable without the armour. And |  |
| 16 | that's why I dropped from five to four. And not |  |
| 17 | only so in the case of the underground cable that |  |
| 18 | we're talking about here, we're talking about two |  |
| 19 | cables. And two cables are fairly close together. |  |
| 20 | And so when you're doing the splicing, you can |  |
| 21 | actually work on the two cables more or less at |  |
| 22 | the same time. So your 10 days for two cables, if |  |
| 23 | they are in close proximity, will come to |  |
| 24 | something like eight. So that was the reason why |  |
| 25 | there's this discrepancy. Your five is good, but |  |


|  |  | Page 6237 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Next slide. Just some of the main |  |
| 3 | cable links, in service or being installed at |  |
| 4 | present. Just to give you a flavour of the extent |  |
| 5 | to which these cables are being utilized. |  |
| 6 | The Fennoskan 2, unfortunately I |  |
| 7 | haven't put Fennoskan 1 in there, but I did want |  |
| 8 | to say something about Fennoskan 1 between Sweden |  |
| 9 | and Finland is quite important. Because at that |  |
| 10 | time, 1994 I think, the production capacity of the |  |
| 11 | manufacturers was about 120 kilometres a year, |  |
| 12 | quite small. So in order to have the Fennoskan |  |
| 13 | installed, it would have taken two years for one |  |
| 14 | supplier. But APP and Nexans got together and |  |
| 15 | they supplied half of the cable each, so they were |  |
| 16 | able to do it in much shorter a time. |  |
| 17 | This is relevant also to our |  |
| 18 | situation. I think we can consider the |  |
| 19 | possibility to have more than one manufacturer |  |
| 20 | doing this work. |  |
| 21 | Western Link U.K., third from the |  |
| 22 | bottom is very interesting. You'll see the |  |
| 23 | voltage, this is the first ever voltage at 600 kV . |  |
| 24 | Now, the interesting thing is that it's a similar |  |
| 25 | cable design but the material is slightly |  |

different. Instead of paper tapes impregnated with a viscous fluid, they have paper polypropylene laminate tapes. In fact, the laminate is good because it prevents the mass impregnated liquid, fluid draining much more so than standard paper cable. So you can operate at a much higher temperature, much higher loads of stress. So you have got 600 and you've got two cables with 2000 megawatts.
This is going between Wales and
Scotland. It is a project which has been undertaken by Prysmian. The Strait of Belle Isle is a project which has just been announced recently, fairly recently. It has gone to Nexans. And this is interesting too because it's a Bipole, and you see three cables in it, and you see a spare. And Manitoba Hydro has expressed interest to have a spare for the land cable. This is a very difficult situation. And you have icebergs and things to worry about. That's why they have this spare. It's a very difficult route as well. That's why they have the spare. And in practice, very, very few of these projects -- and, in fact, if you look at all of the projects that are in service today, very, very few have spare
cables. And some do, I admit, but very few.

This is the same with land cables.

You find very few installations on land with spare cables. Because -- well, from the point of view of the spare cable for a submarine installation, particularly in Belle Isle Strait, it doesn't make sense. Because if there's damage, it will take a long time to have the ship available and so on to do the repair. But it's not true with the land cable. If you have damage on the land cable, you generally have spare cable available, so you can make a repair and use the spare cable to do that.

So I really don't think that a third cable in our case is necessary. The reliability of these cables is such, and it's proven by the amount of activity that's going on, the reliability of the mass impregnated DC cable is very, very good.

The other thing I should say here concerns the nominal life. We have been saying for generations that nominal life of these cables is 40 years. But at least in two instances when the cable was removed and tested, both chemically and electrically, there was zero deterioration after 30 years in service. The reason that these

|  | cables were removed, they were no longer capable | Page 6240 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | of supplying the power required. They were too |  |
| 3 | small in other words. So they were replaced by |  |
| 4 | bigger cables. But deterioration doesn't happen. |  |
| 5 | So when we say nominal life of 40 years, that |  |
| 6 | really is nominal. And I don't think the cable |  |
| 7 | industry will say more at the moment than it's |  |
| 8 | nominal, but we expect it to be much longer than |  |
| 9 | that. But if you ask them how much longer, they |  |
| 10 | won't say. Presumably they don't know. There's |  |
| 11 | no indication of deterioration, so it could go on |  |
| 12 | for quite a long time. |  |
| 13 | Next slide. These are the standard |  |
| 14 | cable design parameters. The maximum conductor |  |
| 15 | temperature is very low at 55. This is because if |  |
| 16 | you have it higher than 55, there's a danger that |  |
| 17 | the compound will drain, the voids will be formed |  |
| 18 | and you will get deterioration. That's never |  |
| 19 | happened in practice if you keep the temperature |  |
| 20 | at 55. The interesting thing with the western |  |
| 21 | link, with the new laminate tapes, you can go up |  |
| 22 | higher, probably to 60, 65, rather than to 55, so |  |
| 23 | you get much more power. |  |
| 24 | I think we know the rest of the data, |  |
| 25 | probably not worth saying very much about it. |  |


| 1 | The ground temperature is favourable | Page 6241 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | at 14, maximum ground temperature burial depth. |  |
| 3 | If you go to tropical countries, you're dealing |  |
| 4 | with 30,32 degrees. And of course that cuts in |  |
| 5 | significantly to your power transmission. But |  |
| 6 | here 55 is good, especially with a 14-degree |  |
| 7 | ambient. |  |
| 8 | Next slide. So we have two |  |
| 9 | possibilities. Either two cables per pole in |  |
| 10 | separate trenches, or one cable per pole in single |  |
| 11 | trench. Obviously we opted for B because of the |  |
| 12 | difficulties of splicing, the time needed to |  |
| 13 | splice basically. |  |
| 14 | Next slide. And these are the |  |
| 15 | trenches that we may have to consider, at least at |  |
| 16 | some stage. The one on the left is Long Island, |  |
| 17 | and they are putting in the first cable. Because |  |
| 18 | there are two cables go in there, they have a |  |
| 19 | return cable as well, low voltage return cable, as |  |
| 20 | well as the high voltage DC cable. |  |
| 21 | The trench at the right is quite |  |
| 22 | interesting. Because in this case, the Baltic |  |
| 23 | cable which goes between Sweden and Germany, at |  |
| 24 | that stage ABB did not want to have the risk of a |  |
| 25 | splice between the submarine cable and the land |  |

1 cable. So what they did was they pulled the
submarine cable five and a half kilometres in this
trench that you see a section of here. So talking
about pulling cable into trenches, everything is
possible. That's five and a half kilometres. So
if you can get five and a half kilometres of cable
to your trench, you can pull it in.
Next slide. This is Europacabel,
which was published just recently. The emphasis
now at the lower voltages is in cross linked
polymer, DC polymer dimetrics. So at the present
stage of development, you can have 320 kV , but not
500 kV . It may take between three and five years
for the industry to fully qualify this kind of
cable for 500 kV operation. But in this booklet,
the interesting thing for me was that they also
comment on cost. And with this type of design,
they are costing the ratio between the equivalent
overhead line. And this cable is three to one
according to Europacabel, all of the cable
industries in Europe. And a lot of this kind of
cable is actually going in at the moment in --
well, submarine and land, but the land sections
are quite significant, between 45 kilometres and
75 kilometres, and this is a Bipole touching in
that case.

This is your neighbour, I think,

Alberta Energy. They did studies some years back and their report is on line. Among other things, they did a study of underground transmission cables AC/DC. And for the DC, the 500 kV 2000-megawatt design that they produced and costed is shown in this slide. And the cost for that is, I think it's in the next slide. Next slide, please? This is back to the Neptune with the land cables, the big reels being delivered by barge to the shore on Long Island.

Next slide. This is the question of a spare cable. Neptune does not have a spare cable installed, Neptune has a spare cable on reel. This is a thousand metres of cable on this reel in two layers, and they have limited to a thousand because it's for long-term storage, just in case there's any change of geometry of the cables. There won't be, but they are keeping it to a thousand for that reason. You could actually have much more on the reel. And of course it was transported on land. Looking at the background, this is in Italy, it is not in Long Island. It was transported in Long Island, though, because

| 1 | the installation was fairly near to the Wantagh Page 6244 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Parkway on Long Island. The only problem they had |
| 3 | was they had to transport it during the night, |
| 4 | when the road was closed for that purpose. |
| 5 | Next slide. These are the costs. The |
| 6 | first one was actually based on budgetary pricing, |
| 7 | which I had for the Neptune project land section. |
| 8 | I'm not saying that that is the actual price that |
| 10 | we ended up with, that was a budgetary price |
| 11 | escalation there and obviously took the price of |
| 12 | the cable and doubled and so on, did the best I |
| 24 | can use the data which are also in the report, and |
| 13 | could. Came out with 4.35 for route kilometre. |
| 14 | Estimate two was supplied by a supplier |
| 15 | informally, because it's very difficult for myself |
| 16 | to go to a supplier, who are very busy, and say, I |
| 17 | want you to tell me how much this cable is. They |

4.5 million per route kilometre. So I was feeling quite happy with
these figures. What makes things difficult is your spare cable that you insist upon, and as I have said, $I$ don't think it's necessary. Next slide. This is how you can get in real difficulties with costing. Because if you take this Inelfe, that's the link which is going in between France and Spain, it is also a 2000 megawatt link, four cables each Bipole into separate trenches. But if you take the figures and you just lump in the tunnel cost with the civil works, you come out with 6 million USD. So without knowing what's going on there, you can say, well, that should be 6 million for the link. Next slide. This is the transition station in Victoria. That's the Basslink project, 400 kV. That's the picture on the front page, front slide. Next slide, please? Nothing against overhead lines, that's a beautiful picture. In fact, historically, cables were only used when there was some problem. Either the land was of extreme beauty, scenic beauty that they didn't want overhead lines, so use cable, or in cities or in offshore islands where you couldn't use


|  | questions based on that. Now, we have copies of | Page 6247 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | it, we received them during the break. But we |  |
| 3 | haven't had a chance to read them. If you want to |  |
| 4 | take us through it. I mean, not take us through |  |
| 5 | the whole thing, but as you go question to |  |
| 6 | question, if you can highlight it? If that works |  |
| 7 | for you, that would work for us I think. |  |
| 8 | MR. MERONEK: That's what I intend to |  |
| 9 | do, sir. |  |
| 10 | THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Let's try that. |  |
| 11 | If we get thoroughly confused, it won't be new. |  |
| 12 | MR. MERONEK: You mean more thoroughly |  |
| 13 | confused. |  |
| 14 | THE CHAIRMAN: Better way of putting |  |
| 15 | it. |  |
| 16 | MR. MERONEK: Back to you, Mr. Derry. |  |
| 17 | Have you got the rebuttal evidence in front of |  |
| 18 | you? |  |
| 19 | MR. DERRY: I have it in front of me. |  |
| 20 | MR. MERONEK: I wish I could say the |  |
| 21 | same. Here we go. |  |
| 22 | Now, in the brief time that you have |  |
| 23 | been able to analyze the rebuttal, I want to |  |
| 24 | direct you to certain statements made in the |  |
| 25 | rebuttal and get your comments. |  |


| 1 | Firstly, on page one, under the | Page 6248 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | heading report part one recommends, the last |  |
| 3 | sentence of that paragraph states: |  |
| 4 | "Manitoba Hydro disagrees with these |  |
| 5 | assumptions..." |  |
| 6 | And it relates to your risk assessment for the |  |
| 7 | loss of the Bipole transmission lines based on |  |
| 8 | your analysis of alternate supply of load. It |  |
| 9 | says: |  |
| 10 | "Manitoba Hydro disagrees with these |  |
| 11 | assumptions as the assumptions could result in |  |
| 12 | extensive periods where significant load would be |  |
| 13 | exposed to rotating blackouts during the extremely |  |
| 14 | cold Manitoba winter months." |  |
| 15 | Can you comment on that observation or |  |
| 16 | statement by Manitoba Hydro? |  |
| 17 | MR. DERRY: First I want to talk about |  |
| 18 | the 1200-megawatt increase and the import |  |
| 19 | capability. This has been documented in my |  |
| 20 | report. |  |
| 21 | If you look at page 1-5, and you'll |  |
| 22 | find out where I picked that figure up. |  |
| 23 | Secondly, I have looked at the |  |
| 24 | shoulder months, I have not looked at the peak |  |
| 25 | months, and neither has Manitoba Hydro. They |  |

haven't given you -- like I guess we have in a way, we have looked at the peak months. But normally in the peak months you don't have conditions that would take out the Bipole corridor. When you have a peak, you usually have a high pressure area with minus 40-degree temperatures, and you may have some winds in some cases. But I have assumed that normally there wouldn't be a problem. And I would like Hydro to tell me if they have ever had a problem in the last 40 years during the winter period with the corridor?

I have looked at the shoulder months, and I can go back to the slides if you want. And I have concluded that because of the short time period, and with the 1200 megawatts of import capability and the load shedding, that we could live through that period, which may be two weeks, or a few weeks longer. I don't know about the six to eight weeks that Hydro has come up with. So I don't think there's a problem. That's my opinion.

MR. MERONEK: Secondly, just going
further down in the next paragraph, it states
that -- it's the whole issue of you having inputted \$4.18 billion for a north/south 500 kV AC
line by 2025. And that's the assumption you made as to what Manitoba Hydro intends to do. Manitoba Hydro indicates that its development plans do not indicate such a proposal.

MR. DERRY: In this overhead I have assumed the 500 kV AC , and this is the line that they are questioning. They said that it's not been put in the plan. Of course, it hasn't been put in the plan, but it does appear in that 2010, the 2010 report that they have put out.

So something has to be done in 2025 to make it comparable or compatible to the case in the report where we have three separate locations, where we have Bipole I, Dorsey, Bipole II at Riel, and Bipole III at LaVerendrye. And that takes us out to 2050 before we go into a deficit. In this case they are stopping at 2025 and aren't telling us what they are going to do. They say that will be looked at later. But why would you go do that when you can solve the problem now by relocating Bipole II to Riel and building Bipole III at LaVerendrye?

So some way there's got to be a cost put in, or something. I'm not actually using the cost in my -- in my analysis you will see later, I

|  | have only looked at the analysis of Bipole II | Page 6251 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | coming into 17, compared to the relocation of |  |
| 3 | Bipole II, and that's where you'll get the saving |  |
| 4 | of $\$ 1.3$ billion, which we paid for relocating |  |
| 5 | Bipole II. So we don't have this problem where we |  |
| 6 | have to look at some solution later, we've done |  |
| 7 | it. |  |
| 8 | MR. MERONEK: Just for the record, you |  |
| 9 | are referencing figure 1-3(b). |  |
| 10 | Just over on page 2, in the second |  |
| 11 | paragraph, third bullet, there's an indication, |  |
| 12 | just repeating the testimony of November 22 of |  |
| 13 | 2012, that LaVerendrye for a variety of reasons is |  |
| 14 | unacceptable as a termination point for Bipole |  |
| 15 | III. Can you comment on that, sir? |  |
| 16 | MR. DERRY: I think I did talk to |  |
| 17 | this. The witness suggested that he would need |  |
| 18 | five or six 230 kV lines to interconnect |  |
| 19 | LaVerendrye to Riel. And Mr. Woodford also |  |
| 20 | covered it. We know that there are plans to put a |  |
| 21 | $500 \mathrm{kV} \mathrm{AC} \mathrm{line} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{there} \mathrm{one} \mathrm{way} \mathrm{or} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{other}$, |  |
|  | it will go from Riel to LaVerendrye, back up to |  |
| 23 | Dorsey. So I don't accept the 230 kV , he said |  |
|  | five or six 230 kV lines. If anything is going to |  |
| 25 | be done, it will be the 500 kV AC line. |  |


| 1 | MR. MERONEK: Over on page 3, Manitoba | Page 6252 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Hydro has replicated your appendix 3 with visions |  |
| 3 | in green. Have you had an opportunity to digest |  |
| 4 | that particular revised appendix 3 and make sense |  |
| 5 | of it from your perspective? |  |
| 6 | MR. DERRY: Yes, I have. If you look |  |
| 7 | at the table and look at the green additions that |  |
| 8 | Hydro put in, I knew when I used the cost for |  |
| 9 | Laverendrye that there would be some other costs |  |
| 10 | and I didn't have them. I'm not experienced in |  |
| 11 | doing switching station design and stuff. So |  |
| 12 | fine, Hydro has added in 117 million for AC |  |
| 13 | station, 6 million for termination, and the line |  |
| 14 | of 84 million. I can't argue with the cost or |  |
| 15 | their costs, so that's fine. So it does increase |  |
| 16 | the cost of a Bipole III. And I'll talk more to |  |
| 17 | it on the next page, on 4 I think. And let me |  |
| 18 | look at the -- well, what they have done in the |  |
| 19 | case of Riel, they have come up with a cost of |  |
| 20 | 370 million for their cable recommended by |  |
| 21 | Mr. Woodford, from Dorsey to Riel. I think we had |  |
| 22 | a cost of 225 million in our overhead. So some |  |
| 23 | way they have got a higher cost, and I don't know |  |
| 24 | why. |  |
| 25 | MR. MERONEK: Do you know where the |  |

$\$ 1.631$ billion comes from in terms of the subtotal in 2017?

MR. DERRY: Yeah, if you add up those costs for Riel with the 370, and you come very close, I think I got 16.1 or something. I don't know. I had problems with it.

MR. MERONEK: All right. Over on page
4, Manitoba Hydro is pointing out that there have been a couple of instances where a north/south 500 kV line has been referenced. One is in your report, and then one is in the -- I'm looking at paragraph 4, and that's the Manitoba Hydro report that you have entitled "Ultimate HVDC Development Manitoba." And the report, this response says that the report doesn't specify the nature of the line, the cost or the time frame. Can you comment on that?

MR. DERRY: Can you give it to me again? I didn't get the start, where you are starting?

MR. MERONEK: It's in the fourth paragraph starting with in the second instance.

MR. DERRY: Yes, I have got that, thanks.

MR. MERONEK: Do you have any
comments?

MR. DERRY: The only comment I have is that we're looking at reliability here. We're not looking at bringing power from new stations in the north. And if you did put in a double circuit 500 kV line to make it comparable to the alternative D and E that the report recommends, then you would use that cost of 4.18 billion that comes out of the presentation by Mr. Mazur. You have to use something because you've got to make them comparable. In the case where they put the costs in on the other side, they have not put any costs in for -- like $I$ had in the table where I put 4.18 billion in the alternative of the CEC and the alternative Manitoba Hydro.

MR. MERONEK: Manitoba Hydro goes on in its rebuttal to, the next paragraph, to talk about the possibility of a 500 kV export tie line which would increase the import capability as a possible solution. Do you have any comment on that?

MR. DERRY: Yeah. With an additional 500 kV AC line to the United States, you will be able to import more than the 900 megawatts, or whatever they use in the calculations for the
deficits. But there's a cost to this as well.
They don't get that for nothing. And whether or not they would turn around and say, oh, but we get a reliability benefit out of this of 1100 megawatts, and that's worth X dollars per kilowatt, they may try to do that later. So that's a cost and it's not showing up in this. And we're not talking, like I said before, we're not talking about any new generations. In fact, Hydro said that themselves. They say this is a reliability project, just reliability. And to have the same reliability as you'd have in $D$ and E, you'd have to do something in the $C$ and $B$ cases that I have shown before. There's got to be something done, there's got to be a cost in there.
MR. MERONEK: Do you have any comments on your table 1 that's been revised by Manitoba Hydro on page 4?
MR. DERRY: Yeah. The one comment is
a typing error, the 3.37 should have been
referring to Bipole II -- Bipole III near
LaVerendrye should be down under the Coalition D
and E case. And the other comment I have, in the
table I had, I had 1.2 billion for the CEC
alternative, and 1.2 billion for the Coalition

| 1 | alternative. All of a sudden they've got 1.43 for |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | the CEC alternative and 1.63 for the D and E |
| 3 | alternative. And I know the difference. It's |
| 4 | they are using cable instead of overhead, in the |
| 5 | case of CEC, some cable, and in the case of the D |
| 6 | and E it's underground. So let's be consistent. |
| 7 | don't think has been brought up by any of their |
| 9 | rebuttals or whatever they are. They have not |
| 10 | looked again at the annual carrying charges of the |
| 11 | alternatives. And even increasing the cost of the |
| 12 | D and E alternative to \$1. 63 billion, if you take |
| 13 | the annual carrying charges on that and take the |
| 24 | risk associated with carrying out the Bipole I and |
| 14 | difference between that and Bipole III |
| 15 | alternative, which I had done in the other case |
| 16 | and come out with \$1.3 billion savings, this will |
| 17 | give you a billion. Now it reduces to a billion |


|  | spare transmission to cover the planned and | Page 6257 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | unplanned outages that will be experienced during |  |
| 3 | the project. Can you comment on that statement? |  |
| 4 | MR. WOODFORD: Can you repeat the |  |
| 5 | question, in case I can answer that? |  |
| 6 | MR. MERONEK: If you look at paragraph |  |
| 7 | 2 -- |  |
| 8 | MR. DERRY: I can handle that one, I |  |
| 9 | think. |  |
| 10 | I guess what they are saying here is |  |
| 11 | that for the Dorsey station outage probabilities, |  |
| 12 | there was one in 200 years with a return period of |  |
| 13 | eight months to years. And this one they are |  |
| 14 | saying that the outage probability of the corridor |  |
| 15 | is, I think it's one in 17 that they were using |  |
| 16 | for tornadoes, and one in 50 for icing. And in |  |
| 17 | fact, I think in a later report by Teshmont, they |  |
| 18 | dropped that to one in 20 for icing. |  |
| 19 | Now, I have shown the cost of the one |  |
| 20 | outage that they had, or two outages. One was |  |
| 21 | 11.1 and one was 6 point something. And I still |  |
| 22 | say that we have had one outage in 40 years. So I |  |
| 23 | guess we're due for another one pretty soon if you |  |
| 24 | want to get down to one in 17. But we only have a |  |
| 25 | span from 2017 to 2025, of eight years that we |  |

have to worry about. Because after 2025, if you follow our recommended plan, we have Bipole III and there will be no problem. So do you want to take the chance over eight years? You have taken the chance over 40 and had one.

MR. MERONEK: Over on page 6 there are a couple of issues flagged here by Manitoba Hydro. One is the issue of your assertion as to shedding, the shedding of load, and one is with respect to what Manitoba Hydro says is an incorrect import assumption in terms of import capabilities.

MR. DERRY: That goes back to that same item that $I$ referenced at the beginning, that there is a report that shows that in the off peak and the shoulder months, you could import an additional 300 megawatts to take you from 900 up to 1200 .

MR. MERONEK: What about the issue of shedding, as mentioned in the first full paragraph on page 6?

MR. DERRY: Is that the part that says low shedding is considered a do nothing scenario? Manitoba is very evident, Manitoba is planning to avoid to the extent possible... well, that's an awful lot of money to spend for a case when it

|  |  | Page 6259 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. MERONEK: Over on page 10, second |  |
| 3 | paragraph, Manitoba Hydro disagrees with deferring |  |
| 4 | Bipole III to 2025. It also disagrees with |  |
| 5 | locating the southern termination of LaVerendrye. |  |
| 6 | And it says in part: |  |
| 7 | "LaVerendrye is the wrong location due |  |
| 8 | to its proximity, electrical and |  |
| 9 | geographic, to Dorsey." |  |
| 10 | Can you comment on that assertion? |  |
| 11 | MR. WOODFORD: Could I comment on |  |
| 12 | that, sir? |  |
| 13 | MR. MERONEK: Sure. |  |
| 14 | MR. WOODFORD: Yes, that may be true |  |
| 15 | in terms of proximity effects. If Bipole III was |  |
| 16 | brought into operation, as I had mentioned in my |  |
| 17 | report, at LaVerendrye, it would have to go in |  |
| 18 | with a 500 kV ring, southern ring from Riel to |  |
| 19 | near LaVerendrye to Dorsey. And a single line |  |
| 20 | from LaVerendrye to Riel, $500 \mathrm{kV} \mathrm{AC}$, |  |
| 21 | inadequate. But under these circumstances you get |  |
| 22 | enough strength and support to hold Bipole III at |  |
| 23 | LaVerendrye, with a 500 kV circuit running from |  |
| 24 | Riel to LaVerendrye up to Dorsey. |  |
| 25 | MR. DERRY: What about on page 10, the |  |


| 1 | bottom paragraph? | Page 6260 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. MERONEK: Sure, you could take a |  |
| 3 | crack at that. |  |
| 4 | MR. DERRY: There's some talk that |  |
| 5 | LaVerendrye would be too close to Dorsey. Dorsey |  |
| 6 | had an outage probability of this one in 200. |  |
| 7 | They say that in this case, 25 downburst clusters |  |
| 8 | inside the damage path of 27 kilometres. Has |  |
| 9 | Hydro calculated what the outage probability would |  |
| 10 | be of both those stations going out if they are |  |
| 11 | separated? Is it one in 4,000 compared to one in |  |
| 12 | two? I'd like to know. |  |
| 13 | MR. MERONEK: All right. Then over on |  |
| 14 | the last page -- this completes my direct of you, |  |
| 15 | Mr. Derry. Do you have any comments with respect |  |
| 16 | to the conclusions that are reached by Manitoba |  |
| 17 | Hydro on that page that you haven't already |  |
| 18 | expressed? |  |
| 19 | MR. DERRY: No, I think I have |  |
| 20 | expressed all my concerns that the witness came up |  |
| 21 | with. |  |
| 22 | MR. MERONEK: Mr. Chairman, noting the |  |
| 23 | hour, I wonder if we should not take a break now |  |
|  | and then I'll pursue this afternoon the rebuttal |  |
| 25 | with Mr. Woodford, and then with Dr. Lawson. |  |


| 1 | THE CHAIRMAN: That's very good idea, | Page 6261 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Mr. Meronek. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Derry. |  |
| 3 | So we'll take a break now. We'll reconvene at |  |
| 4 | 1:00 p.m. |  |
| 5 | (Hearing recessed at 12:00 p.m. and |  |
| 6 | reconvened at 1:00 p.m.) |  |
| 7 |  |  |
| 8 | THE CHAIRMAN: We might as well |  |
| 9 | resume. |  |
| 10 | MR. MERONEK: Thank you, sir. |  |
| 11 | Mr. Woodford, we left off with you, |  |
| 12 | and I would just like to ask you some questions, a |  |
| 13 | bit of a dog and pony show here with respect to |  |
| 14 | the rebuttal as it may relate to your evidence. |  |
| 15 | Firstly, on page 7 in the first |  |
| 16 | paragraph, Manitoba Hydro indicates that the |  |
| 17 | repairs on the northern DC transmission lines will |  |
| 18 | have a greater impact to restoration time than you |  |
| 19 | indicate. Do you agree with that assertion? |  |
| 20 | MR. WOODFORD: It appears that |  |
| 21 | Manitoba Hydro, Hydro's case for line restoration, |  |
| 22 | or line -- is fixed on a worst case scenario. |  |
| 23 | That includes the failure of both the DC |  |
| 24 | transmission lines, in the worst northern location |  |
| 25 | available, with the worst weather conditions. It |  |


|  |  | Page 6262 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | the probability of each occurring. |  |
| 3 | We have presented, from our point of |  |
| 4 | view, a reasonable fair scenario, which for some |  |
| 5 | reason or other Manitoba Hydro is relentless in |  |
| 6 | considering only this worst case scenario of the |  |
| 7 | failure of these lines, six to eight weeks to |  |
| 8 | repair, it is all they will consider, for a very |  |
| 9 | low probability event. |  |
| 10 | MR. MERONEK: All right. Then the |  |
| 11 | question, I guess in the next paragraph, Manitoba |  |
| 12 | Hydro is asserting that your suggestion of |  |
| 13 | ameliorating equipment in such event, including a |  |
| 14 | sky crane, would consume too much fuel, cost too |  |
| 15 | much to be practical, and in addition to which tar |  |
| 16 | foundations may be damaged and very difficult to |  |
| 17 | repair. Can you comment on that assertion? |  |
| 18 | MR. WOODFORD: Yes. This may well be, |  |
|  | but it is again a worst case scenario. When the |  |
| 20 | one and only multiple tower failure occurred in |  |
| 21 | 1996, wood poles were temporarily used. Is it |  |
| 22 | possible is the question 1 ask, is it possible to |  |
| 23 | use wood poles on a temporary basis to get one |  |
| 24 | line up while the tower foundations are being |  |
| 25 | repaired? I don't know the answer to that, I'm |  |


| 1 | not that sort of an engineer. Is it possible? | Page 6263 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | And if so, could we reduce the time from a worst |  |
| 3 | case scenario to something more reasonable, as was |  |
| 4 | the case in 1996. |  |
| 5 | MR. MERONEK: Looking over on page 8, |  |
| 6 | down at the bottom and I quote: |  |
| 7 | "The concern Manitoba Hydro has is |  |
| 8 | that in the absence of a DC connection |  |
| 9 | from Dorsey to Riel there is no |  |
| 10 | paralleling to deal with failure..." |  |
| 11 | Sorry, lost my place here. Okay, sorry, my |  |
| 12 | mistake. |  |
| 13 | On page 8, Manitoba Hydro, in the |  |
| 14 | middle of the page indicates: |  |
| 15 | "Manitoba Hydro notes that the |  |
| 16 | foregoing consultants and others in |  |
| 17 | Winnipeg with technical skills caution |  |
| 18 | Manitoba Hydro about the gravity of |  |
| 19 | the risks in separating Bipole $I$ and |  |
| 20 | II without adequate spare |  |
| 21 | transmission." |  |
| 22 | Can you comment on that? |  |
| 23 | MR. WOODFORD: If part two was read |  |
| 24 | closely, it would be seen that we proposed leaving |  |
| 25 | the existing Bipole II converter in place, as I |  |


| 1 | mentioned earlier, and leave it functional to |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | avoid such a need for Bipole III to be in place. |
| 3 | This common sense approach has not been addressed |
| 4 | by Manitoba Hydro and their experts. When they |
| 5 | do, we would welcome a well-informed discussion on |
| 6 | the subject to see how these undefined risks |
| 7 | quoted in the question -- sorry -- these undefined |
| 8 | risks quoted in the question can be eliminated |
| 9 | without Bipole III being in place, as spare |
| 10 | transmission. Do we need Bipole III as spare |
| 11 | transmission? That's the key. The whole thrust |
| 12 | of building a new Bipole II converter at Riel, |
| 24 | that statement? |
| 23 | while leaving the old Bipole II converter |
| 14 | functioning at Dorsey is to reduce such risk until |
| 15 | operation of the Bipole II converter at Riel is |
| 16 | working at an acceptable level of availability. |
| 17 | observation that the Commission didn't ask |


| 1 | MR. WOODFORD: Okay. The CEC inquiry | Page 6265 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | was not the total solution. We did not want to -- |  |
| 3 | we did not want the issue to be left hanging that |  |
| 4 | the CEC raised, and that's why we have our |  |
| 5 | proposal. |  |
| 6 | MR. MERONEK: Paragraph, or section |  |
| 7 | 2.3 at the bottom of the page 8, and I quote: |  |
| 8 | "The concern Manitoba Hydro has is |  |
| 9 | that in the absence of a DC connection |  |
| 10 | from Dorsey to Riel there is no |  |
| 11 | paralleling to deal with the failure |  |
| 12 | of the proposed 100 kilometre portion |  |
| 13 | of the Bipole II line from the tap off |  |
| 14 | point to Riel." |  |
| 15 | Can you comment on that statement? |  |
| 16 | MR. WOODFORD: Yes. I mentioned this |  |
| 17 | in my presentation. The 100 kilometre overhead |  |
| 18 | line route from Bipole I and II DC transmission |  |
| 19 | lines north of Dorsey to Riel, as they had |  |
| 20 | presented, would be again a worst case scenario. |  |
| 21 | Personally, I would prefer to run 500 kV cables, |  |
| 22 | as I said, from Dorsey to Riel on the existing 500 |  |
| 23 | kV AC transmission right-of-way, less than 50 |  |
| 24 | kilometres. This would be easily permitted, not |  |
| 25 | subject to environmental disturbances, and once |  |


|  |  | Page 6266 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | reliable. The extra cost for the cable could |  |
| 3 | easily be justified on the savings of annual |  |
| 4 | carrying charges if we can delay Bipole III. |  |
| 5 | MR. MERONEK: Over on page 9 they talk |  |
| 6 | about, Manitoba Hydro talks about resident |  |
| 7 | frequencies, and you have commented on that in |  |
| 8 | your evidence already, correct? |  |
| 9 | MR. WOODFORD: Right. |  |
| 10 | MR. MERONEK: On page 11 there is an |  |
| 11 | issue of multi in-feed -- sorry, section 3.1.3 is |  |
| 12 | headed multi in-feed issues. And in that |  |
| 13 | discussion in the first paragraph, Manitoba Hydro |  |
| 14 | says and I quote: |  |
| 15 | "While LCC technology would present |  |
| 16 | greater challenges in maintaining the |  |
| 17 | required system performances, even VSC |  |
| 18 | technology would present a significant |  |
| 19 | challenge due to the electrical |  |
| 20 | proximity." |  |
| 21 | And then it goes on at the end of the page to |  |
| 22 | state: |  |
| 23 | "The Coalition proposal to locate the |  |
| 24 | converter for Bipole III at |  |
| 25 | LaVerendrye creates a different multi |  |


|  | in-feed configuration that can lead to | Page 6267 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | feed configu |  |
| 2 | HVdc system recovery performance |  |
| 3 | issues which may result in higher |  |
| 4 | costs such as requirement for |  |
| 5 | additional synchronous condensers." |  |
| 6 | Can you respond to those statements? |  |
| 7 | MR. WOODFORD: Yes. This may be so, |  |
| 8 | but our experience, based on our simulations and |  |
| 9 | studies of the many years with voltage source |  |
| 10 | converter technology, and with Bipole III, add on |  |
| 11 | LaVerendrye in this case, we would assume, in |  |
| 12 | close proximity to the LCC converter Bipole I at |  |
| 13 | Dorsey, this would have a much reduced in-feed, |  |
| 14 | multi in-feed problem. By multi in-feed, what we |  |
| 15 | are saying here, or what I think is being said is |  |
| 16 | if we have a commutation failure, which is an |  |
| 17 | occurrence that would occur on Bipole I, that does |  |
| 18 | occur. We sometimes see it manifested with the |  |
| 19 | lights flickering. That may transfer over to |  |
| 20 | another Bipole nearby, and that would go into |  |
| 21 | flicker, exacerbating the total flicker. And |  |
| 22 | that's what the multi in-feed problem is. |  |
| 23 | Fortunately voltage source converters |  |
|  | do not fail commutation and tend to be fairly |  |
|  | robust and impervious to these sort of the things |  |

happening. So if Bipole I goes into a commutation failure, Bipole III, if located at LaVerendrye, and if it was a voltage source converter, it is open to question as to how much the impact of the multiple -- the impact of Bipole I and Bipole II will have -- Bipole III will have. And I would propose that a detailed study using the latest simulations technologies should be done so that we can find out what really happens.

Now, in addition CIGRE, this
international electric power learned society, is studying this issue at the moment. And when their results are made available, these conclusions should be brought forward, if available.

MR. MERONEK: Now, carrying on to page 12, firstly, at the bottom there is a reference to NERC, North American Electrical Reliability Corporation, and some issues that Manitoba Hydro is throwing out in relationship to satisfying that particular regulatory body. Can you comment on that particular issue?

MR. WOODFORD: Yes. The delay in Bipole III has been proposed, then the 500 kV AC ring around Winnipeg may not be needed until then. It is sensible to consider that with Bipole III

|  | eventually coming into service with the delayed | Page 6269 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | requirement we proposed based on reliability |  |
| 3 | issues, and it being located near LaVerendrye, |  |
| 4 | that it can only be connected into the southern |  |
| 5 | Manitoba system on to the 500 kV ring for its |  |
| 6 | support and strength of operation. So if the 500 |  |
| 7 | kV AC line section from the Bipole III point of |  |
| 8 | interconnection over to Riel has failed and |  |
| 9 | tripped from service, that 500 kV AC line section |  |
| 10 | to Dorsey would still be, and could handle the |  |
| 11 | Bipole III in-feed, perhaps with some controls |  |
| 12 | adjustment, if necessary. And one assumes that |  |
| 13 | when Bipole III is finally brought into service, |  |
| 14 | it would be -- it would be to deliver power to the |  |
| 15 | Manitoba load, and presumably the second 500 kV |  |
| 16 | interconnection to the U.S. would also be brought |  |
| 17 | into service at about the same time. For |  |
| 18 | potential sales south from this new northern |  |
| 19 | generation, the power is to be brought down Bipole |  |
| 20 | III. This would be a third section of 500 kV AC |  |
| 21 | transmission interconnected at the Bipole III near |  |
| 22 | LaVerendrye. So the NERC reliability standards |  |
|  | could easily be accommodated. |  |
| 24 | In any case, it is Manitoba Hydro's |  |
| 25 | responsibility to design the southern power system |  |

with the 500 kV ring completed by Riel to Bipole III near LaVerendrye to Dorsey, and the second interconnection to the U.S. along with Bipole III when it is ready to deliver real power from the north. So that's my response to that.

MR. DERRY: Mr. Meronek, Art Derry, I would like to add something to this discussion about whether or not LaVerendrye is the right position for Bipole III.

In the 2010 report on page 9 of that report, they have what is called an option 3, and that's Manitoba Hydro have an option 3, Bipole I at Dorsey, Bipole III at Riel, Bipole II at a new location. Then it goes on to say, not unlike option 2, but with further splitting the Dorsey station, this variation provides the greatest three pole reliability benefit. A possible Bipole II converter location could be at or near LaVerendrye station or along the future south Winnipeg transmission corridor.

So what they are saying is you can locate a Bipole there, it could also be three, in our case it is three. So it contradicts the statement about LaVerendrye as another possible location, they have assumed it could be.


|  | permitting and any extra costs are easily | Page 6272 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | accommodated by the huge saving in annual carrying |  |
| 3 | charges that comes with such a delay. |  |
| 4 | MR. MERONEK: And on page, or again |  |
| 5 | going further up the page in the second paragraph |  |
| 6 | of the rebuttal, Manitoba Hydro raises an issue |  |
| 7 | with power flows around Winnipeg predominantly |  |
| 8 | being from west to east, resulting in an |  |
| 9 | unacceptable loading of the existing transmission |  |
| 10 | in the Winnipeg area. |  |
| 11 | What is your response to that |  |
| 12 | statement? |  |
| 13 | MR. WOODFORD: I did touch on this in |  |
| 14 | my presentation. I will add to it. |  |
| 15 | With Bipole III delayed, as we |  |
| 16 | recommend, and Bipole II in-feed at Riel instead |  |
| 17 | of at Dorsey, then this problem is remedied or |  |
| 18 | postponed presumably, until Bipole III has to come |  |
| 19 | on line. In other words, the feed-in at the east |  |
| 20 | side at Riel from Bipole II will push back against |  |
| 21 | this natural flow west to east, and accommodate |  |
| 22 | that distress of the Winnipeg area transmission |  |
| 23 | system. If the 500 kV AC ring is completed around |  |
| 24 | Winnipeg, particularly when Bipole III is brought |  |
|  | on line, a response from Manitoba Hydro should be |  |

provided after they have conducted an adequate study to see what effect of Bipole III at LaVerendrye, only LaVerendrye, has on -- as well as the completion of the 500 -- sorry, as well as the completion or implementation of the second 500 kV AC line to the U.S., what all that will have on the loading of the existing transmission system in the Winnipeg area. In addition, they should seriously study the benefit that might be possible by increasing the rating of Bipole II into Riel and from Henday to perhaps 2,500 megawatts, or even higher to 3,000 megawatts. Then there would be the potential for delivering lots of power into the eastern side of Winnipeg to combat this issue of west to east loading on existing Winnipeg area transmission.
So, in summary, Manitoba Hydro needs to spend more time looking at the many options that are possible beyond this fixed in stone scenario that they are bringing before this Commission.
MR. MERONEK: Going over on the last page, page 13 at the top of the page, the rebuttal evidence states in part, in the event of a loss of 500 kV AC line, the power will naturally flow out
to the other 230 kV and 115 kV lines of the LaVerendrye and potentially result in overload and potential cascade tripping. Is this a severe problem that seems to be indicated?
MR. WOODFORD: Well, reading this, as written by Manitoba Hydro in their rebuttal, implies that they are considering, as I read it, only one 500 kV line from this proposed point of inter-connection of Bipole III near LaVerendrye, and then that 500 kV line, single 500 kV line would go on to Riel. That's how I interpret it. This is plainly inadequate, as they state. Hence when Bipole III is eventually brought into service, the 500 kV AC ring around Winnipeg with its point of inter-connection near LaVerendrye should be part of the project.
The delay of Bipole III, perhaps as much as 8 years, at $\$ 322$ million per year in saved carrying charges, there is a lot of money to apply to improving the transmission reliability of the Winnipeg area, still leaving significant savings to Manitoba Hydro and its customers who are required to foot the bill.
It is Manitoba Hydro's responsibility to investigate these options the Coalition has
brought forward, as well as other options that may open up as a true planning study is undertaken.

MR. MERONEK: And lastly,
Mr. Woodford, on page 13 with respect to line routing and impact on project schedule, Manitoba Hydro expresses concern about the licensing delays to get the transmission lines in place to accommodate a 2017 in service date. Can you comment on that concern?

MR. WOODFORD: Yes. With Bipole III
delayed, then indeed we have to get the transmission in place with the new Bipole II converter located at Riel. My personal preferred way, as stated earlier, is to do this with underground cable along the 500 kV AC transmission line right-of-way that's in existence. And this would require little permitting, little time in permitting. One of the advantages of underground cables is much reduced permitting time compared to permitting overhead lines, as is apparent from this hearing.

And now this was the case of the project in Australia called Murray link, where 180 kilometres of underground HVDC transmission cable was constructed in 2002, near where I grew up.

The cable option was applied because the line was generating revenue for the many years it would have taken to permit and build an overhead transmission line -- might $I$ add, across good farmland. It is interesting to note that this DC cable project was built by Transengerie, a Hydro Quebec subsidiary, and has since been sold to a company called Australian Pipeline Trust, and that was transferred over from Hydro Quebec in 2006. So let's not throw out underground cables as a means of reducing permitting time.

MR. MERONEK: Thank you, Mr. Woodford. Over to you, Dr. Lawson, I just have a couple of questions relating to the rebuttal in relationship to your report. Most of the questions $I$ believe you covered off in your initial presentation, but $I$ want to take you to page 2 of Manitoba Hydro's rebuttal in relationship to your report, and under the heading scheduled risk due to field splices.

And as I understand, the concern by
Manitoba Hydro is that there will be a lengthy period of time in terms of splicing of the cables, presumably if there was only one crew working, and which extrapolates over to a long period of time
as set out in the report, 35 months I believe.
Anyway, have you got any comments on your experience in that regard?

MR. LAWSON: There isn't a whole lot of experience with underground really. There are short lengths at the ends of submarine cables. But I think I did touch on the splicing time, and I believe it is better to have a four day than a five day, for reasons that I explained during my presentation. But also I did touch on this too, I mentioned the fact that when they had problems with capacity, $A B B$ and Nexans worked together on the Fennoskan project. So it is possible to have more than one supplier. So if you are able to have two suppliers, that's a great help. And multiple teams must certainly be available, because the business is buoyant at the moment and the supplier can't manage with just one splicing team. Two splicing teams would probably be available for the single job anyway, so I think you can rely on two. And there is the other factor that when you have two splices to make off in close proximity, then also the splicing time comes down because the fitting out and so on you do for two instead of just one.
MR. MERONEK: Manitoba Hydro would respond and has responded in the rebuttal to the effect that there are few suppliers, a few factories, and they all seem to be booked up until 2017. Can you comment on that concern?
MR. LAWSON: Well, I think they said
there were four factories, four plants, three suppliers. That would be ABB, would be Nexans, would be Prysmian. Now, ABB -- sorry, Nexans more or less own the viscous plant in Tokyo Bay, so that would be the fourth. But we are forgetting about the J-Power Systems Plant, and also there is the new plant in Korea. They had just done a project to an island in Korea with HVDC mass impregnated cable. So there is another couple of plants. The Japanese, the J-Power Plant, they have qualified for the western link, so they have a 600 kV HVDC mass impregnated cable qualification already. They have not had any contracts, but they are competent and I assume at the moment they are available.
MR. MERONEK: What is your -- what are your comments on it with respect to what is happening in the industry in terms of increase in capacity?
MR. LAWSON: Well, I did mention that in the Fennoskan cable capacity was in the order of 120 kilometres per year, per plant. And this is because the process, the bottleneck in the process is the impregnation, and particularly the cooling of the impregnant under pressure is very slow, but it is not high tech. So in order to increase your capacity, you put in another tank. In fact, $A B B$ now have four tanks. Nexans probably have four as well, I can't confirm that. And Prysmian have four. So we are talking in terms of capacity, something like 250 to 300 kilometres per plant per year. And yes, they are very busy, and Prysmian in particular with the western link, which is 400 kilometres long, and then we have just had the announcement that Prysmian also have one part of Montenegro cable, which is 415. So they have orders at least for 815 kilometres of cable, 500 kV . So it is a busy period for them, yeah. But $I$ don't think -- I never heard of a supplier refusing to take an order, or to make a bid. Sometimes they make bids with very high prices because they can't handle the work, but to say they can't do is not the usual situation.
MR. MERONEK: In terms of the issue
of -- over on page 3 there is a concern about regulatory requirements for cables. And there is a comment there at the end of the paragraph that says that, in reference to the picture at least, that it is worth noting the proposed cable runs through agricultural farmlands. I guess the implication being that we are not really avoiding agricultural lands by going underground cable, as we intended to avoid by going with overhead lines. So can you comment on that concern?
MR. LAWSON: Well, I did sort of touch on that in the report $I$ think. What $I$ had in mind was the alternative of using route 26 as a way for ease of transportation and access. So that would be slightly better. But there is no reason why you can't have projects like the one in the photograph on page 3. There is actually cable in the trench, I think if you look closely, they certainly have the cable reels installed ready to do the pooling.
MR. MERONEK: There is, over on page
4, there is a comment under heading four, cable supplier factory capacity and commitments for MI cables. And at the end there is an estimation that for the manufacturing and delivery of cable,
it could take at least two years. Can you comment on that suggestion in terms of timing?

MR. LAWSON: Well, more or less
standard for a project of this nature is three years. And that largely depends on whether the client wants to have a type test done. You can have a special type test for the particular project, your project. Most owners do want that special electrical test done before the cable is supplied. It is not strictly necessary, because if the supplier has already supplied similar cable, it is not necessary and, therefore, you can cut down the time. But as I say, usually three years is the duration of this sort of project.

MR. MERONEK: And lastly, on the bottom of page 4 there is a reference under the heading "Logistics for Cable Transportation and Accessibility at Site," the statement at the end says:

> "This is a significant challenge in
> terms of logistics..."

And it is referencing the transportation and risks of cable damage during transportation, handling, and impacts on farmland. Can you comment on whether there are significant challenges, whether

|  | they are overstated? | Page 6282 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. LAWSON: Only to say that every |  |
| 3 | project has its challenges. That hasn't stopped |  |
| 4 | the Neptune land cable going in, and doesn't seem |  |
| 5 | to have stopped the 90 kilometre Skagerrak 4 cable |  |
| 6 | going in, in Denmark. These things have to be |  |
| 7 | looked at. We know that the cable can be |  |
| 8 | transported on reels. At the moment I have |  |
| 9 | limited it, because of some uncertainty of how |  |
| 10 | much cable -- the reel will hold a lot more cable, |  |
| 11 | but you are not sure because it is mass |  |
| 12 | impregnated, how to fully load these reels. And |  |
| 13 | it is a question of also logistics, and these |  |
| 14 | things have to be looked at. But I think this is, |  |
| 15 | my opinion anyways, relatively high level |  |
| 16 | feasibility study. So we have done what we can. |  |
| 17 | The next step would be to have surveys |  |
| 18 | done of the opinions of the industry concerning |  |
| 19 | the results of the survey and so on. |  |
| 20 | MR. MERONEK: Thank you, Dr. Lawson. |  |
| 21 | Those are my questions, Mr. Chairman. |  |
| 22 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Meronek. |  |
| 23 | Manitoba Hydro? |  |
| 24 | MR. BEDFORD: Mr. Derry and |  |
| 25 | Mr. Woodford, good afternoon. My name is Doug |  |

Bedford and I work at Manitoba Hydro, but of course, my career at Manitoba Hydro has not overlapped your respective careers at Manitoba Hydro.

The first question that the Clean Environment Commission posed in a letter that it wrote to me on December 3rd, 2012, was whether or not one could build Bipole III, and at the southern terminal point connect the conductors of Bipole III to the existing converter equipment at Dorsey.

Now, having read your two reports, having listened to your presentations, and having listened to the answers to the questions that Mr. Meronek posed to you, conclude that with respect to that first question that the Clean Environment Commission posed to me, you agree that it is not recommended to place the southern converter station for Bipole III at Dorsey and simply use the existing converter equipment in Dorsey to handle that?

MR. DERRY: Yes, I agree with you.
MR. BEDFORD: Now, with respect to the next question that the Clean Environment Commission posed in its letter to me, whether or
not it would be feasible to separate Bipoles I and II and move one of them to the Riel site, I understand, Mr. Derry, that you believe that can and should be done?

MR. DERRY: I believe that you can build a new station at Riel, not move the existing Bipole II.

MR. BEDFORD: And you agree that if one is to do that, it should be the southern terminal point for Bipole II that is moved?

MR. DERRY: Can you repeat that again, please?

MR. BEDFORD: If one were to separate Bipoles I and II, and to move one of them to the Riel site, you believe, as does my client, that it would be Bipole II that you would move?

MR. DERRY: Yes.
MR. BEDFORD: And I've read,
Mr. Derry, your report, you think that should be done now and can be done by 2017. And on page 20 of your report, I saw that you estimated the cost of doing that to be about $\$ 1.2$ billion?

MR. DERRY: That's correct. That's the number that I used that Mr. Mazur had been using in his IRs.
MR. BEDFORD: And then as I have read and listened to your recommendation, I understand that you recommend that some 8 years from now, for a 2025 in-service date, one would also then build Bipole III; is that correct?
MR. DERRY: That's correct. And that's the date that Hydro has indicated that it would have to do something, from their figures, 1.3.
MR. BEDFORD: And your general
thinking, the price that you are using for building Bipole III, but for in-service some eight years later than what my client is proposing, would be about $\$ 3.14$ billion, as I read your estimates. Have I got that correct?
MR. DERRY: Now, can you tell me where you found that in my report?
MR. BEDFORD: Page 20.
MR. DERRY: Correct.
MR. BEDFORD: And of course, your estimate of 3.1 billion is very, very close to the $\$ 3.28$ billion that my client has advised this Commission is its estimate of the cost to build Bipole III, correct?
MR. DERRY: The difference is the

|  | shorter line. | Page 6286 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. BEDFORD: And so if I try and |  |
| 3 | summarize your recommendation to the Commission, |  |
| 4 | between today and 2025, if your recommendations |  |
| 5 | were followed, you would have us spend |  |
| 6 | 3.14 billion, plus about 1.2 billion, for a total |  |
| 7 | of about 4.2 to 4.3 billion to improve the |  |
| 8 | reliability of the Manitoba Hydro system? |  |
| 9 | MR. DERRY: And that's in 2017 |  |
| 10 | dollars. |  |
| 11 | MR. BEDFORD: Now, as I understand it, |  |
| 12 | on the subject of separating Bipoles I and II and |  |
| 13 | moving the southern converter for Bipole II to |  |
| 14 | Riel, the primary difference between your views, |  |
| 15 | your recommendation and what my client is |  |
| 16 | proposing to do is that you would do the |  |
| 17 | separation, do the movement before Bipole III is |  |
| 18 | constructed, whereas in my letter of January 28th, |  |
| 19 | replying to the Commission, I said that the |  |
| 20 | separation should prudently take place only after |  |
| 21 | Bipole III is in service. |  |
| 22 | So that's a significant, the |  |
| 23 | significant difference between your |  |
| 24 | recommendations for separation and what my client |  |
| 25 | has proposed? |  |


| 1 | MR. DERRY: That's correct. We are | Page 6287 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | saying Bipole II should precede Bipole III. |  |
| 3 | MR. BEDFORD: Now, I do understand |  |
|  | that long before I joined the company, you did |  |
| 5 | work for a number of years in senior positions at |  |
| 6 | Manitoba Hydro? |  |
| 7 | MR. DERRY: What was that again, |  |
| 8 | please? I have a hearing problem. |  |
| 9 | MR. BEDFORD: You worked, long before |  |
| 10 | I arrived there, for a number of years in senior |  |
| 11 | positions at Manitoba Hydro? |  |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. I never |  |
| 13 | mentioned this morning that I was vice president |  |
| 14 | of business development when I quit, when I |  |
| 15 | retired. |  |
| 16 | MR. BEDFORD: And of course, to your |  |
| 17 | knowledge, it is certainly true that Manitoba |  |
| 18 | Hydro has never attempted to separate Bipoles I |  |
| 19 | and II? |  |
| 20 | MR. DERRY: No, not while I was there. |  |
| 21 | MR. BEDFORD: And I'm assuming that |  |
| 22 | you've had an opportunity to read the letter that |  |
| 23 | I wrote on January 28, 2013, to the Clean |  |
| 24 | Environment Commission, providing answers to the |  |
| 25 | questions that it posed to me on December 3rd? |  |


| 1 | MR. DERRY: December 3rd letter, is | Page 6288 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | that the one in -- |  |
| 3 | MR. BEDFORD: January 28. |  |
| 4 | MR. DERRY: Oh, January 28th, I'm |  |
| 5 | sorry. Yes, I have it here. |  |
| 6 | MR. BEDFORD: I told the Commission in |  |
| 7 | my letter that if one were to tackle the job of |  |
| 8 | separating Bipoles I and II, that amongst the many |  |
| 9 | significant challenges, there would be a major one |  |
| 10 | regarding the controls that exist today at Dorsey, |  |
| 11 | the controls that allow for an instant reduction |  |
| 12 | of power flow in that system in the event of |  |
| 13 | tripping. |  |
| 14 | Do you recall me advising the |  |
| 15 | Commission of that concern? |  |
| 16 | MR. DERRY: I put that in your letter, |  |
| 17 | but Mr. Woodford will handle that question. |  |
| 18 | MR. WOODFORD: What was the question? |  |
| 19 | MR. BEDFORD: I would suggest to you |  |
| 20 | that in light of the challenges and the |  |
| 21 | inexperience Hydro has in separating Bipoles I and |  |
| 22 | II, it would be foolish for any of us to assume |  |
| 23 | that in the process of going forward and doing |  |
| 24 | that there would be no power outages? |  |
| 25 | MR. WOODFORD: There would be possibly |  |

minimal power outages, and that is why I suggested you see what happened in Los Angeles, to see in a similar, but not the same circumstances, what outages occurred in that situation. By retaining the existing old Bipole II in functional condition, it could be brought up pretty quickly if needed because -- if there was a major failure in the cable to or the line to Riel, or the DC converter station. But your question was about controls. Tell me what your issue is there?

MR. BEDFORD: Well, the issue is I'm informed, Mr. Woodford, that the controls are a critical aspect of the operation of the transmission system because they are our protection or defence from cascading outages if the lines are tripped. And accept that that's a lawyer, not an electrical engineer, explaining to an electrical engineer his imperfect understanding of his client's system. That's what I'm told is a critical challenge, is to in effect dismantle those controls, with the view logically I suppose of setting them up somewhere else. You have reminded me of the example that you provided us from California. I understand that the, I will call it the old Sylmar converter station, was

|  | damaged in an earthquake in the 1990s, and that's | Page 6290 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | what motivated the Californians to revisit what |  |
| 3 | they were going to do about refurbishing or |  |
| 4 | replacing that particular converter station. Am I |  |
| 5 | on the right track with that? |  |
| 6 | MR. WOODFORD: Yes, but it was also |  |
| 7 | damaged back in the '70s by an earthquake. So |  |
| 8 | that was the second damage. They had tried to |  |
| 9 | build the old station to be more resilient against |  |
| 10 | earthquakes. They succeeded to some success, but |  |
| 11 | not completely. And as a consequence they had to |  |
| 12 | build, as part of the consequence they had to |  |
| 13 | build a new, completely new 3100-megawatt |  |
| 14 | converter station at the east site, which they |  |
| 15 | were able to do, with new controls, completely new |  |
| 16 | controls, completely digital controls. And I |  |
| 17 | should raise the issue here that Bipole I and |  |
| 18 | Bipole II today, to my understanding, and I stand |  |
| 19 | corrected, are running on analogue controls, as |  |
| 20 | was the Sylmar station. Once you go digital and |  |
| 21 | once you use high speed telecommunications, as we |  |
| 22 | are proposing and as would be applied, and |  |
| 23 | engineered accordingly, then you can do a lot more |  |
|  | and have a lot more flexibility than if you still |  |
| 25 | stick with these old controls. And this is a |  |

```
factor that's a real technical issue, I
understand, and I remember getting chastised by a lawyer for saying that you are an engineer, don't do legal stuff, and \(I\) appreciate your position. And the controls are very important. But the day has come where there is a lot more development going on, and running continually now with analogue controls of 30 plus years is not a good idea. Now, you tell me otherwise.
MR. BEDFORD: Well, I will confess to you that I've been known to chastise other lawyers, but not engineers.
I understand that one of the advantages they had outside the City of Los Angeles, when they approached a somewhat similar challenge with converter stations, was that they had alternative sources in Los Angeles of supply of power while they took one converter station out of service and they put another one new into service. Would I be correct?
MR. WOODFORD: No, not quite. Because as I presented to you, they were at least able to keep 2000 megawatts functioning while they rebuilt the east Sylmar station. And then when it was functioning and operated and commissioned, they
```

|  |  | Page 6292 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | old west side station. So the outage time was |  |
| 3 | minimal, if at all. Now, I don't know what the |  |
| 4 | outage time is. |  |
| 5 | I have worked with the Los Angeles |  |
| 6 | Department of Water and Power, and know them very |  |
| 7 | well. And if you folks contacted them and talked |  |
| 8 | to them about it, I'm sure they would be very |  |
| 9 | pleased to inform you what the true situation was |  |
| 10 | in that issue. |  |
| 11 | MR. BEDFORD: Well, either Mr. Derry |  |
| 12 | or Mr. Woodford, on the subject of outages. I |  |
| 13 | gather if we were to proceed with your |  |
| 14 | recommendation, separate Bipoles I and II, build |  |
| 15 | Bipole III eight years later, and in doing that we |  |
| 16 | did have an outage or outages, plural, the back-up |  |
| 17 | plan that you have in mind for my client is that |  |
| 18 | we could, as you put it, shed up to 800 megawatts |  |
| 19 | of load and import, I heard you say, Mr. Derry, |  |
| 20 | 1200 megawatts of energy, primarily obviously from |  |
| 21 | our neighbours, the Americans. Have I got that |  |
| 22 | correct? |  |
| 23 | MR. DERRY: That's correct. |  |
| 24 | MR. BEDFORD: Now, when an engineer |  |
| 25 | says to a lawyer, we could shed up to |  |

800 megawatts, the lawyer says in his mind, what that really means is there will be no electricity flowing in to whatever number of businesses and homes we require 800 megawatts to power up. Have I got that right?

MR. DERRY: That's right. But it will
only be for the time until the load drops off. You are not at peak load all of the time. The numbers you see are peak load. So there is the situation where you have to shed and then you can get them back on.

MR. BEDFORD: I did read, as I said, your report, Mr. Derry. And I saw you appropriately acknowledge that shedding load is disruptive in the life of a province. But your conclusion is that shedding is not a sufficient concern to, in effect, motivate my client to want to build Bipole III first for a 2017 in-service date. Have I summarized that fairly?

MR. DERRY: That's correct. But I did specify and gave you some examples of how many dollars are involved in an outage, as compared to the amount of money that you want to spend to cover off that one in 17 year condition over that eight years. And I don't think it is worthwhile.

MR. BEDFORD: And only fair of us, I suppose, to recall, because my client is quite mindful of this, as is apparent in its rebuttal document, that when it comes to shedding, if it is you or I that's operating one of those businesses or living in one of those homes with no power now coming in, we would likely have a very different opinion than Mr. Derry's about whether or not shedding is an acceptable solution to deal with an outage caused by a decision to separate Bipoles I and II, would we not?

MR. DERRY: Maybe those customers will
think about the fact that by adding $\$ 322$ million to your rate base they are going to be paying a heck a lot more money over that eight years.

MR. BEDFORD: And I think you are right, we are always concerned about paying more money. We seem to have a long history in this province of bragging about the fact that we have the lowest electricity rates in North America.

MR. DERRY: And I even brag about that because $I$ was involved in those decisions.

MR. WOODFORD: Can I add a comment about load shedding?

MR. BEDFORD: Generally in

|  | cross-examination the lawyer tells you, no, you | Page 6295 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | can't, but this lawyer is prepared to be |  |
| 3 | accommodating this afternoon, Mr. Woodford. We |  |
| 4 | are here to try and do the best we can to educate |  |
| 5 | five Commissioners, there is only four of them |  |
| 6 | present today, because I would like them to make |  |
| 7 | the best possible recommendations about my |  |
| 8 | client's project. So I'm sure they might be |  |
| 9 | interested if you have an additional comment about |  |
| 10 | load shedding. |  |
| 11 | MR. WOODFORD: Well, prior to 1980, |  |
| 12 | before they put in the 500 kV AC transmission line |  |
| 13 | down towards the Twin Cities, Bipole I was in |  |
| 14 | service and it had troubles staying in operation |  |
| 15 | from time to time and there was frequent load |  |
| 16 | sheds in the City of Winnipeg. It was so bad that |  |
| 17 | at those times the electrical clocks worked off |  |
| 18 | the frequency of the AC system, or batteries. And |  |
| 19 | I could never trust my clocks, so I had to buy a |  |
| 20 | wind-up clock, because I was ending up going to |  |
| 21 | work late. Because I still looked at the clock |  |
| 22 | that had been load shed, and my clock was running |  |
| 23 | very slow, sometimes I was an hour or two late. |  |
| 24 | So that's the consequence of, in our homes, of |  |
| 25 | load shedding. It is probably an irrelevant |  |

statement, but I remember it very well because of the late times I went to work because of load shedding.
MR. BEDFORD: Well, it is not relevant to anyone else in the room today, but I'm happy to reveal to you that I brought one of my late grandfather's wind-up clocks.
Now, Mr. Derry, you concluded that, in the event of an outage, part of the back-up plan work to import, and your number is 1200 megawatts of power, primarily as I indicated from the Americans, given how our international and inter-provincial transmission connections are set up.
My client assures me that the maximum today is 900 megawatts, 700 of which they describe as firm and 200 of which would be non-firm.
Can we at least agree that the firm and non-firm are numbers that you understand and recognize?
MR. DERRY: Yes, I understand.
MR. BEDFORD: Now, one of the things that occurred to me, which I think ought to occur even to engineers, is that it is one thing in planning and studying to say you have the ability

|  | through your system to import, be it 900 or | Page 6297 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1200 megawatts. But be it 1200 or 900 firm or |  |
| 3 | non-firm, you must assume that you have someone on |  |
| 4 | the outside able and willing to sell you the power |  |
| 5 | to actually import. Am I correct? |  |
| 6 | MR. DERRY: I guess partially. What |  |
| 7 | I'm talking about, when you have an outage, what |  |
| 8 | will happen instantaneously is that those lines |  |
| 9 | will assist you as much as they can. If they |  |
| 10 | don't trip, then it is fine. |  |
| 11 | Now, in the longer term if you are |  |
| 12 | talking hours, yes, I've made the assumption that |  |
| 13 | you can import 1200 megawatts, be it from the |  |
| 14 | U.S., Saskatchewan or Ontario. And the |  |
| 15 | 1200 megawatts is not my number, it comes from a |  |
| 16 | report that I've documented in my report, |  |
| 17 | referenced, and it was a 2001 study done by Hydro |  |
| 18 | where in the off-peak periods, which I call the |  |
| 19 | shoulder, the off-peak period, you could import up |  |
| 20 | to 1200 megawatts. That's where I got the number. |  |
| 21 | MR. BEDFORD: Well, some of the |  |
| 22 | engineers who succeeded you and Mr. Woodford at |  |
| 23 | Manitoba Hydro are being questioned on Thursday. |  |
| 24 | They are still scratching their heads, because |  |
| 25 | they can't determine how you found another |  |



|  |  | Page 6299 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. DERRY: You are telling me that |  |
| 3 | you have units out of service that aren't in the |  |
| 4 | calculations that I made, I guess you are saying? |  |
| 5 | MR. BEDFORD: My concern is your |  |
| 6 | calculations and your assumptions don't address |  |
| 7 | that there could be further complications caused |  |
| 8 | because of outage. |  |
| 9 | MR. DERRY: You are adding on top of |  |
| 10 | another here, you are adding many things on to it. |  |
| 11 | Again, as Mr. Woodford says, you are taking the |  |
| 12 | worst scenario that you can think of. |  |
| 13 | MR. BEDFORD: I know that Mr. Woodford |  |
| 14 | finds me depressingly pessimistic with the worst |  |
| 15 | case scenarios that I keep putting forward on |  |
| 16 | behalf of my client. |  |
| 17 | MR. DERRY: You didn't mention |  |
| 18 | maintenance in the summer period. I did mention |  |
| 19 | it in my report that my graphs that I put in there |  |
| 20 | don't include maintenance, and there would be |  |
| 21 | maintenance there. But the amount that is lost is |  |
| 22 | very minimum, and you could do up to another four |  |
| 23 | or 500 megawatts of maintenance within the months |  |
| 24 | of those summer months and still get it through. |  |
| 25 | MR. BEDFORD: Well, to bring this line |  |


| 1 | of questioning to an end, I want you, Mr. Derry, Page 6300 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | or it could be Mr. Woodford, to assume that you |
| 3 | are in charge at Manitoba Hydro, and you are on |
| 4 | the cusp now of implementing the recommendation |
| 5 | that you described to us. Your dream is coming |
| 6 | true. And then one of your staff at Manitoba |
| 7 | Hydro points out to you that your first priority |
| 8 | always in the business of Manitoba Hydro is to |
| 9 | meet load, domestic load. And you are not |
| 10 | allowed, in carrying out your daily functions and |
| 11 | your jobs, you are not allowed to initiate or do |
| 12 | anything that risks you failing to meet load. |
| 13 | Now, if that conversation with your staff takes |
| 24 | is this going to cost us as, compared to doing the |
| 14 | place, and if the reality is that your first |
| 15 | priority is always to meet load and not to |
| 16 | initiate changes in your system that could lead to |
| 17 | outages, is it not true that you should not be |
| 18 | separating Bipoles I and II until Bipole III has |


| 1 | MR. BEDFORD: Well, the reference to Page 6301 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | first priority, a lawyer can tell you comes from |
| 3 | the legislative mandate that Manitoba Hydro is |
| 4 | required and obliged to respect. But part of the |
| 5 | purpose in my question to you, and my illustration |
| 6 | of putting you back into the most senior job at |
| 7 | Manitoba Hydro, was to address something Mr. |
| 8 | Woodford quoted in his paper. |
| 9 | mr. Woodford, that's at page 3, but it |
| 10 | will -- I'm sure you will recollect it quickly. |
| 11 | You chose to quote a report written by two people |
| 12 | I do know well, Mr. Mazur and Mr. Wang. Mr. Wang |
| 23 | opine that this should not be done now unless |
| 13 | has actually been here throughout the day. And |
| 14 | you were puzzled why, having recognized the wisdom other option. |
| 15 | of separating Bipoles I and II at Dorsey, those |
| 16 | two gentlemen wrote: |


|  |  | Page 6302 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | no other option, because working at Manitoba Hydro |  |
| 3 | they are thoroughly cognizant of the company's |  |
| 4 | mandate not to initiate actions which could lead |  |
| 5 | to outages and thus violate the primary mandate to |  |
| 6 | meet load. |  |
| 7 | MR. WOODFORD: Thank you for your |  |
| 8 | question there. There is a lot in it. |  |
| 9 | First of all, Manitoba Hydro does |  |
| 10 | suffer outages, notwithstanding that mandate. And |  |
| 11 | so what? There is the potential -- or the |  |
| 12 | possibility of 100 per cent reliability to all the |  |
| 13 | customers of Manitoba Hydro is not possible, no |  |
| 14 | matter what you are doing, it is not achievable. |  |
| 15 | Now, I don't know whether the lights |  |
| 16 | went out today because of Manitoba Hydro, I |  |
| 17 | suspect it is because of the building. But lights |  |
| 18 | do go out. All right. So you can't maintain that |  |
| 19 | mandate, as you've put it, as you have worded it. |  |
| 20 | It is not possible. And we know that. We saw |  |
| 21 | that on October 5th, last year, when we had the |  |
| 22 | ice storm in Southeast Manitoba. You weren't able |  |
| 23 | to maintain your power supply to those customers |  |
| 24 | of Manitoba in that area. So these outages do |  |
| 25 | occur. And we are talking about extreme outages |  |


|  | where we are losing two Bipoles simu | Page 6303 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | which is not unlike an ice storm in Southeast |  |
| 3 | Manitoba. So we are not in a situation of being |  |
| 4 | able to provide that mandate at 100 per cent, 100 |  |
| 5 | per cent of the time. You understand that, I'm |  |
| 6 | sure. And so we have to provide the best |  |
| 7 | reliability we can. |  |
| 8 | Now, in December I was in the United |  |
| 9 | Kingdom and I attended a lecture or talk given by |  |
| 10 | an executive of -- what is the U.K. power |  |
| 11 | authority -- National Grid. And they have got |  |
| 12 | some tremendous developments to do in the United |  |
| 13 | Kingdom. And the question he raised before us |  |
| 14 | was, where do we call the level of reliability? |  |
| 15 | And it was an unanswered question, but it is a |  |
| 16 | question that he had as a senior executive of |  |
| 17 | National Grid, where do we call the level of |  |
| 18 | reliability? Because to try and get close to that |  |
| 19 | 100 per cent costs billions of pounds, but perhaps |  |
| 20 | to get to 99.9 per cent, it wouldn't cost so many |  |
| 21 | billions of pounds. |  |
| 22 | So as engineers this has to be -- and |  |
| 23 | folks administering the rates, this issue of |  |
| 24 | probability is a key issue of reliability, and |  |
| 25 | this is what we are here for. This is the whole |  |

point of this presentation is reliability.

And again, when we have extreme
disturbances such as the October 5th wind storm or something that takes out Bipole $I$ and Bipole II, these are extreme. And to say that we are not fulfilling -- you are not fulfilling your mandate 100 per cent is not good engineering. It may be good legal stuff, but it is not good engineering. I have said more than $I$ should. And I want to make that point very clear to the Commission, that's an extreme and important point that reliability is a key issue, but the lights do go out.

MR. BEDFORD: Of course. And there is a difference, Mr. Woodford, between an outage caused by a natural event like an ice storm, that no human can claim credit for having caused, and an outage that results from a decision consciously made by a group of men and women, engineers, to separate Bipoles I and II.

MR. WOODFORD: Such as?

MR. BEDFORD: Such as a decision made by engineers to separate Bipoles I and II, knowing that there is a risk of outages?

MR. WOODFORD: That is what Manitoba
MR. BEDEORD: Such as a decision made

Hydro has said. And I have presented to you today that others have done this with minimum outage, and the outages would be planned at a time when the load could handle it, perhaps 3:00 o'clock in the morning, I don't know. So that whatever switching is planned happens. We are not talking about equipment suddenly going up in smoke, are we? We are talking about taking stuff out, taking equipment or facilities out of service while we put new service or new plant in. And that should be planned so that when we do that it is at a time when, hopefully, I'm asleep and don't know what is happening.

MR. BEDFORD: Mr. Derry, I'm sure you appreciate that one of the tasks that Mr. Mazur and his staff had to undertake in the early stages of considering Bipole III was whether or not there were any alternatives to building another high voltage DC line from Northern Manitoba to Southern Manitoba. And you may have read about that analysis that they did in chapter 2 of our Environmental Impact Statement.

Were you able to do that?
MR. DERRY: Quite a while ago that I
looked at it but --

| 1 | MR. BEDFORD: And logically, one of | Page 6306 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | the obvious alternatives to building another high |  |
| 3 | voltage direct current line from Northern Manitoba |  |
| 4 | to Southern Manitoba is to build a high voltage |  |
| 5 | alternating current line from Northern Manitoba to |  |
| 6 | Southern Manitoba. Correct? |  |
| 7 | MR. DERRY: That's correct, that's |  |
| 8 | where I got my figure of $\$ 4.18$ billion. |  |
| 9 | MR. BEDFORD: That's exactly what I |  |
| 10 | thought, because that is the number that they came |  |
| 11 | up with and I saw your references to that number |  |
| 12 | in your report. But that concept as an |  |
| 13 | alternative to Bipole III was clearly discarded, |  |
| 14 | because we are here today to consider a project |  |
| 15 | that is a high voltage DC line. |  |
| 16 | MR. DERRY: But I didn't discard it. |  |
| 17 | I said that you have to do something to make it |  |
| 18 | comparable to having three Bipoles. You can serve |  |
| 19 | the load out to 2050, with those two different |  |
| 20 | Bipoles. You have to do something in 2025, with |  |
| 21 | the Hydro alternative, because you are going to |  |
| 22 | start to go into a deficit again. So my proposal |  |
| 23 | would be build the north/south transmission line |  |
| 24 | to give you compatibility or comparability to what |  |
| 25 | we are recommending. Something has to be done to |  |

get to the same level that we are at.
MR. BEDFORD: I understand that. And what you are recommending is build Bipole III, not a $\$ 4$ billion high voltage alternating current line for Northern Manitoba to Southern Manitoba.

MR. DERRY: Or the alternative that we have where we move Bipole II to Riel, and then build Bipole III in 2025. But in Hydro's alternative where they have 3800 megawatts at Dorsey, and only another 2000 at Riel, that will only take you out to 2025. You have to do something in 2025.

Now, if you don't want to build a north/south line, then put in 2000 megawatts of gas turbine, which they did study in their study, or build two lines to the United States, which they did study, and came out and said, no, the best alternative is Bipole III for that.

MR. BEDFORD: The sense of urgency that you associate with the year 2025, I have concluded from going through your paper more than once, I will admit, is your concern that the projections that the company has to meet its load requirements will require additional supply of energy from some source in 2025 , be it new hydro
generation, as you say, could be gas turbines, could be imports from another country, but that's what drives your attachment to the year 2025. Am I correct?

MR. DERRY: That comes from the 2010 report. I showed you the figure 1.3. If you extend those lines down, which I did, and the 1.3, B, C, D and E, you will see that you have run out of capacity and you have to do something. I can put it back up if you want to look at them on the screen?

MR. BEDFORD: So whether one agrees with Manitoba Hydro that Bipole III should carry forward and be done now, so that it can be brought in service in about 2017, or whether one finds persuasive your recommendations for a very different approach to the topic -- one aspect of which you are recommending that I have clearly read is that when it comes time in your recommendation to put a second new converter in Southern Manitoba, because you want to do one for Riel more or less right away to accept separation of Bipole II, so we will do another one for 2025, for a terminal point for Bipole III, which we are now building, in your recommendation, and you

|  | would like to see that sited at the LaVerendrye | Page 6309 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | site? |  |
| 3 | MR. DERRY: That's correct. And I |  |
| 4 | just gave a statement a little while ago on that |  |
| 5 | same 2010 report, that is option three on page 9 |  |
| 6 | of that report. |  |
| 7 | MR. BEDFORD: And LaVerendrye, you |  |
| 8 | know and I know, and some of us here will |  |
| 9 | remember, is presently the site of an alternating |  |
| 10 | current switch yard that forms part of Manitoba |  |
| 11 | Hydro's southern distribution system, no doubt |  |
| 12 | about that. |  |
| 13 | MR. DERRY: What was the question |  |
| 14 | again, please? |  |
| 15 | MR. BEDFORD: LaVerendrye today, |  |
| 16 | presently is the site of an alternating current |  |
| 17 | substation? |  |
| 18 | MR. DERRY: It is a 230 kV substation. |  |
| 19 | MR. BEDFORD: Sorry, I think I said |  |
| 20 | switch yard, and you are right, it is a |  |
| 21 | substation. |  |
| 22 | MR. DERRY: I'm not suggesting any |  |
| 23 | location. I'm saying that Hydro should look at a |  |
| 24 | location. We have shown it on the map at the |  |
| 25 | corner of where that existing corridor, which |  |


|  | doesn't have any lines on it, terminates. It | Page 6310 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | could be moved along that line. I don't know |  |
| 3 | where it is going to go, I leave that to Hydro, |  |
| 4 | that's an engineering decision. |  |
| 5 | MR. BEDFORD: In effect, if one has |  |
| 6 | the money to build a second new converter station |  |
| 7 | in Southern Manitoba, you would accept that |  |
| 8 | building it only 21 kilometres from Dorsey is |  |
| 9 | probably not the wisest choice of site. You might |  |
| 10 | be wiser to look at a different geographic |  |
| 11 | location, south, southwest of Winnipeg, correct? |  |
| 12 | MR. DERRY: You could. But has Hydro |  |
| 13 | done any reliability studies of what the outage |  |
| 14 | probability will be? You have a 1 in 200 |  |
| 15 | probability for the loss of Dorsey. Now, if you |  |
| 16 | move one of those, if you take converters, one of |  |
| 17 | them out and put it at Riel and you want to build |  |
| 18 | another one at LaVerendrye, what is the |  |
| 19 | probability of both LaVerendrye and Dorsey being |  |
| 20 | hit by that same wind storm? Is it 1 in 200? No |  |
| 21 | it isn't, I am sure it is more like 1 in 4,000 or |  |
| 22 | something. You haven't done that study. Do that |  |
| 23 | study. |  |
| 24 | MR. BEDFORD: Before too many minutes |  |
| 25 | go by, I'm going to say something about |  |

probability, and people who listen carefully will begin to learn what grade I got when I studied statistics at the University of Manitoba.

I think I understood, through
Mr. Meronek's walking you through the rebuttal document, which for better or for worse we were -we had to file yesterday evening. But I did hear you acknowledge, so $I$ won't belabour the point, but you can confirm for me that to carry forward with your recommendations there are somewhat expensive items that you knew there would be costs associated with, but you didn't have the materials to estimate the costs, so you left them out, but there is not really an issue that there are some expensive items that would add to the costs of your recommendation.

MR. DERRY: Yes, I did say that I
think when I replied --
MR. BEDFORD: For the sake of those in the room who don't pay very close attention to the evidence that's given, $I$ have four items down, and they would include a new alternating current switchyard in association with wherever you sited this second converter station, correct?

```
                        MR. DERRY: Correct.
```

MR. BEDFORD: And at least one now high voltage alternating current line to carry the power brought to the new terminal point for Bipole III over to the east of Winnipeg to the Riel site?
MR. DERRY: That's correct.
MR. BEDFORD: And I'm told there would be some termination equipment required at Riel to accommodate the end point for that new high voltage alternating current line.
MR. DERRY: That's correct.
MR. BEDFORD: And finally, I did hear Mr. Woodford's preference for a new underground high voltage direct current line running from Dorsey to Riel, and that comes with a price tag as well, easily in excess of 200 million, perhaps more, depending on what $\operatorname{Dr}$. Lawson may tell me in a few minutes about pricing and splicing of underground cables.
MR. DERRY: If I remember the number, it was 370 million that you assumed, and we assumed 275.
MR. BEDFORD: You are relying more closely on Dr. Lawson's opinion --
MR. DERRY: That's correct, his cost was four and a half times the cost of overhead,

| 1 | and yours is something like seven. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | MR. BEDFORD: Now, I also wish to |
| 3 | confirm that I detect there really isn't a |
| 4 | difference of opinion between the engineers that |
| 5 | still work at Manitoba Hydro and you, Mr. Derry, |
| 6 | and you Mr. Woodford, when it comes to the |
| 7 | prudence and wisdom of having alternative paths |
| 8 | for alternating high voltage current to flow in |
| 9 | the event that a single 500 AC line is tripped, |
| 10 | there should be other paths for the energy to go. |
| 11 | So whether or not they are an additional series of |
| 12 | 230 kilovolt alternating current lines to |
| 13 | accommodate that, whereas I think I heard Mr. |
| 25 | a 500 kV AC ring around Winnipeg and make sure |
| 14 | Woodford say additional 500 kV lines that your |
| 15 | client, Mr. Bedford, may build some day, the logic |
| 16 | of having those alternate pathways to defend one's |
| 17 | self and to cope with the disaster of cascading |

that this converter station is interconnected on to that 500 kV ring. So that if we lose one section of that ring, it is not going to cause any cascading outage. And it may require some
intelligent controls manipulation to help in that situation. We do that today. We lose transmission lines, major transmission lines, and the power is quickly reduced on the DC lines so that we don't cause the cascades that you were talking about. So it would be an implementation of a completed 500 kV ring, as well as intelligent application of controls, high speed controls, to ensure that no cascading occurs.
I would like to see such a study, it will take time to do such a study, I don't expect it here, but my understanding is that such a design could be accommodated without cascading, with Bipole III located at or near LaVerendrye and connected into the 500 kV ring. And so that's the clarification I would like to make to your question.
MR. BEDFORD: Mr. Woodford, one of the areas of law that $I$ have had to learn something about since $I$ came to work at Manitoba Hydro is generally called environmental law, and it wraps
into its umbrella things like hearings before the Clean Environment Commission of the Province of Manitoba. I have had to learn a little bit about the challenges of all of the biological and socioeconomic analysis that has to be done with routing. So I listened with some quick interest when Mr. Meronek asked you about my client's concern about meeting a 2017 in-service date if we were to go with Mr. Woodford's dream of an underground route from Dorsey to Riel. And I believe the words you used were an acknowledgment that, yes, of course there would be permitting necessary, but surely it would be easier, less involved than these assessments for overhead conductors and towers and so forth. And it immediately crossed my mind that one of the huge enormous challenges in this province, in the neighborhood of this City, of putting these conductors underground would lie with the concern we have about aquifers. And they can be incredibly controversial and of concern to people that live in the city, and I suspect that that one topic alone would require a lot of detailed assessment, and a lot of science to be brought forward, were we to seriously want to engage in

|  | building an underground cable. | Page 6316 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. WOODFORD: Could you tell me what |  |
| 3 | you mean by an aquifer? |  |
| 4 | MR. BEDFORD: Well, what I understand |  |
| 5 | by aquifers is that, in simple terms, there is |  |
| 6 | bodies of water that lie beneath the earth that I |  |
| 7 | walk on in this area. We draw on those aquifers |  |
| 8 | for water, and we have to be very careful about |  |
| 9 | tampering with that resource. |  |
| 10 | MR. WOODFORD: Right. Now, I think, |  |
| 11 | based on Dr. Lawson's presentation on burying |  |
| 12 | cables, you were only going down less than one |  |
| 13 | metre. That's less than the foundation of an |  |
| 14 | overhead AC line, or DC line. So how are we going |  |
| 15 | to impact the aquifer? |  |
| 16 | MR. BEDFORD: Not so quick. |  |
| 17 | Dr. Lawson may find himself agreeing with me in |  |
| 18 | about ten minutes. A metre may not be quite |  |
| 19 | adequate. |  |
| 20 | MR. WOODFORD: But the question I have |  |
| 21 | is, how can a metre deep channel impact those |  |
| 22 | critical aquifers? |  |
| 23 | MR. BEDFORD: Well, in the event we go |  |
| 24 | with underground, we may hire you to convince |  |
| 25 | those citizens of this province that there is |  |


|  |  | Page 6317 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Mr. Woodford, I heard the reference to |  |
| 3 | Teshmont from you. And I also heard Dr. Lawson |  |
| 4 | mention that he has worked on international |  |
| 5 | projects with Teshmont. And I felt rather warmed |  |
| 6 | by the thought that Teshmont is a local company in |  |
| 7 | Manitoba. So I conclude that if you work with |  |
| 8 | them regularly, you do have confidence in their |  |
| 9 | skills and their level of expertise in doing |  |
| 10 | analysis and consulting for various companies? |  |
| 11 | MR. WOODFORD: Yes, but being an |  |
| 12 | engineering arrangement, some of our meetings are |  |
| 13 | very interesting as we have a difference of |  |
| 14 | opinion, and it is natural and normal, and |  |
| 15 | sometimes I'm right and sometimes they are right. |  |
| 16 | So, dealing with engineering situations, we have |  |
| 17 | to get to the best engineering solution possible, |  |
| 18 | and I would be the last to say in my profession, |  |
|  | me and my company, that we do change our mind from |  |
| 20 | one engineering solution to another, and better |  |
|  | engineering solution, if someone such as Teshmont |  |
|  | or Manitoba Hydro can convince me to do so. And |  |
|  | so, yes, I respect Teshmont, I work with them, I'm |  |
|  | working with them now on a very big project. And |  |
| 25 | we do have differences of opinion. We resolve |  |


|  | them and we try to come to the best engineering | Page 6318 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | position. They will have one position, we will |  |
| 3 | have another, and we come to an agreement in due |  |
| 4 | course and proceed forward. |  |
| 5 | MR. BEDFORD: Mr. Woodford, one of the |  |
| 6 | things that Teshmont has done in its career as a |  |
| 7 | consultant is provide assessments to my client |  |
| 8 | regarding Bipole III, which, as I understand it, |  |
| 9 | say that compared to a catastrophic event which |  |
| 10 | would destroy the Dorsey converter station, there |  |
| 11 | is a far greater probability with respect to |  |
| 12 | Bipoles I and II that a natural event will damage |  |
| 13 | or destroy a number of the towers and conductors. |  |
| 14 | Did Teshmont get that right this time in your |  |
| 15 | opinion? |  |
| 16 | MR. WOODFORD: I don't think that we |  |
| 17 | have been saying anything different than that. We |  |
| 18 | know that if we keep Bipole I and II together at |  |
| 19 | Dorsey, yes, that could all go out with an extreme |  |
| 20 | condition. We also know that all of the towers |  |
| 21 | could go down with Bipole I and Bipole II, as has |  |
| 22 | done in 1996. So I don't see what is new here. |  |
| 23 | MR. BEDFORD: Well, as I understand |  |
| 24 | it, if we accept the recommendation which requires |  |
| 25 | Bipole III to be built eight years after you |  |

separate Bipoles $I$ and II, we are to live with the reality, the possibility, or the probability that for a period of some eight years Teshmont's probability predictions could well come true, and that the response is, take a chance. Those were the words I heard. Better to take a chance than to incur the enormous expense of building Bipole III.

MR. WOODFORD: Now, I thought we had resolved the issue of Bipole I and II converter stations going down by separating them. So that we, as Mr. Derry has indicated, that if someone worked out the probability of both of them going out at the same time, that would be a probability of great insignificance -- is that the word? We also know that there is a probability of the lines going out, one in 17 years, and we have had one in 40 years. So we are expecting one any day now. So that will go out. And so we are living with it. And since Manitoba Hydro is going to take eight weeks to fix it, we are in trouble.

MR. BEDFORD: I've heard for over a decade now that in 1997, Manitobans experienced a 1 in 700 year flood. I will tell you, I do not
conclude from that fact that for the next 700 years there is absolutely no possibility of another flood because the event happened to us in 1997. So I suggest to you that when Teshmont says to my client there is a 1 in 20 , or a 1 in 200 probability, but it is 1 in 20 for a natural event damaging Bipoles $I$ and II, that we could experience two or three such events in the next five years, or none at all, could we not?
MR. WOODFORD: That's correct. And
first I heard about 1 in 700 for the 1997 flood, I always thought it was 1 in 100 years. So that's news to me. And I hope this is not another example of Manitoba Hydro's worst case scenarios taken to the extreme. Do you have evidence to show me it is 1 in 700?
MR. BEDFORD: No, but ten minutes ago I warned you that $I$ was not a star pupil in statistics.
MR. WOODFORD: All right.
MR. BEDFORD: Good afternoon,
Dr. Lawson. If $I$ was listening correctly, I understand that you spent a part of your youth in a city that's one of favourites, Edinburgh?
MR. LAWSON: One of my favourites too,
yeah, I did.
MR. BEDFORD: Now, as I noticed with
respect to Mr. Woodford, Mr. Derry and Mr.
Woodford, I read your paper more than once. I
found it frankly very informative. I listened to your presentation, and I conclude that obviously putting conductors underground, still in the year 2013, is more expensive than putting them overhead?
MR. LAWSON: Correct.
MR. BEDFORD: And you've suggested to us today about four times more expensive to put the conductors underground?
MR. LAWSON: Um-hum.
MR. BEDFORD: You have had an opportunity to read my client's rebuttal, and my client thinks that the difference is higher than four times, but obviously more expensive by a factor, a considerable factor?
MR. LAWSON: Right.
MR. BEDFORD: I could not tell from
looking at the three estimates that you have provided to us whether any of the three estimates included an effort on your part, or someone else's, to include the costs of environmental
studies and the acquisition of rights or interests in land?

MR. LAWSON: Absolutely not. And it is never the usual approach, when you ask what a project will cost as far as cable is concerned, to go into these issues. We should have probably stated that our estimates had not included these things, yeah.

MR. BEDFORD: So, we would all have to prudently understand that if we were to proceed with the recommendation that you and your colleagues are advancing, that the costs would go up by whatever those costs are estimated to be?

MR. LAWSON: Yes.
MR. BEDFORD: Now, I did notice in your picture of a submarine cable that the core is copper?

MR. LAWSON: The core is copper, yes.
MR. BEDFORD: Why, with these underground cables, are the cores copper?

MR. LAWSON: Standard practice,
because the electrical resistivity of copper is much -- conductivity I should say is much higher than aluminum. Aluminum though is being used for underground cables more in connection with the

XL-DC type of cable, the VIC type of cable, more so than the mass impregnated.

MR. BEDFORD: You will recall that
your estimate number 1 is based on a budget you've told us from a project in 2007?

MR. LAWSON: Um-hum.

MR. BEDFORD: In a common sense way, you have brought that budget amount relevant to the year 2007 forward by including an inflation factor, correct?

MR. LAWSON: Correct.
MR. BEDFORD: Now, I understand that since 2007, one of the challenging realities of purchasing copper in the world is that the price of copper has doubled, 100 per cent increase, well beyond the price of inflation. Does that sound familiar to you?

MR. LAWSON: Copper goes up and down, yes. And generally when you get a bid from the manufacturer, he will tell you what price the copper was when you made the bid, yeah.

MR. BEDFORD: So we would be wiser to follow your estimate number 2, which I gather was a current estimate based on an inquiry that you made privately?

| 1 | MR LAWSON: Right | Page 6324 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. BEDFORD: Because undoubtedly that |  |
| 3 | estimate would reflect the current price of |  |
| 4 | copper? |  |
| 5 | MR. LAWSON: I fully agree, yes. |  |
| 6 | MR. BEDFORD: Nominal life for an HVDC |  |
| 7 | cable underground, you've acknowledged at page 8 |  |
| 8 | of your report, is 40 years. You addressed that |  |
| 9 | briefly in your oral presentation. |  |
| 10 | MR. LAWSON: Yes. |  |
| 11 | MR. BEDFORD: Can I fairly conclude |  |
| 12 | then that any utility such as my client, Manitoba |  |
| 13 | Hydro, that's considering the use of MI cable and |  |
| 14 | trying to compare that with what we are proposing |  |
| 15 | to do, which is an overhead cable, would wisely |  |
| 16 | have to factor in the reality of replacing the |  |
| 17 | underground in about 40 years? |  |
| 18 | MR. LAWSON: Yes. |  |
| 19 | MR. BEDFORD: I'm looking at your |  |
| 20 | presentation, I think the same information in |  |
| 21 | different form appears in your written report, but |  |
| 22 | I'm looking at the page that summarizes various |  |
| 23 | projects worldwide involving, I will call it |  |
| 24 | underground cable. But my colleagues who have a |  |
| 25 | greater interest than $I$ do in this subject tell me |  |

that all of these projects are submarine links, with the proviso that in some cases the submarine links, I would think in all of the cases eventually the cable emerges from the depths of the sea and is land, so that there will be some segment at each end presumably that's underground, but they are focused on submarine cables.
MR. LAWSON: I think I told you this
already. The title underground cable refers to the project that we are considering. The fact that it is here doesn't mean to say that these are underground cables.
MR. BEDFORD: No, that wasn't my point. My point was that in our experience on planet earth to date, with using underground conductors for high voltage transmission --
MR. LAWSON: Right.
MR. BEDFORD: -- the experience is almost exclusively with submarine, because there are no examples, and I'm assuming if there was a good example you would have had it in your table, of an underground, that is strictly underground and not submarine?
MR. LAWSON: I did touch on that, and I think I have already said that, but, yes, I
agree.

MR. WOODFORD: Might I just say that the lower voltages, there are plenty of instances of underground cable, DC, going long distances. I have quoted that one, the Murray link.

MR. BEDFORD: The qualification, though, Mr. Woodford and Dr. Lawson, was low voltage. When we get to high voltage DC or AC, it is a real challenge, $I$ gather, because of the heat that these conductors generate?

MR. LAWSON: It is not a question of low voltage. Because the France and Spain project is $320 \mathrm{kV}, 2000$ megawatts. The problem is it is cross linked DC cable and not mass impregnated cable. You are correct, there is not that much on land, especially at 500 kV . We do have two very significant projects, one which has already been completed in 2007, the Neptune project on Long Island. And we do have Skagerrak 4 going in now in Denmark, which is 90 kilometres. And that's 500 kV. So these are very significant projects.

MR. BEDFORD: To me equally, perhaps
more interesting observation that my colleagues have made about the examples that you have given us, Dr. Lawson, is that they say with only one

| 1 | exception, all of these projects are not examples Page 6327 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | of transmission lines being constructed for the |
| 3 | purposes of reliability, but they are being built |
| 4 | to, I will use the word exploit opportunities to |
| 5 | sell energy from a seller willing to a willing |
| 6 | buyer, often if it is in Europe, from one country |
| 7 | to another? |
| 8 | mR. LAWSON: Correct. |
| 10 | one of the concerns still to date that utility |
| 11 | companies with wanting to use underground, when |
| 12 | their primary motive for construction is |
| 24 | the year virtually impossible, correct? |
| 13 | reliability, is that it can be a challenge, |
| 14 | depending on the particular circumstances and |
| 15 | location of the project, to access the underground |
| 16 | cable when it stops working. True? |
| 17 | difficult, yes, than the overhead lines. |

MR. WOODFORD: Can $I$ just make a suggestion on this? They are always digging up in my neighborhood the ground in the middle of winter to try and get the pipes working. What is the difference?
MR. BEDFORD: I'm sorry, I was looking at my --
MR. WOODFORD: The City of Winnipeg has problems in the winter with pipes, underground water pipes, and they are always digging up in my neighborhood anyway, which is River Heights, in the middle of winter, down into the frozen ground to get at those pipes. So why can't we get down to a cable one metre down, and not two or three metres down, you know, that's -- I don't see this is a big issue. Maybe you do, maybe Manitoba Hydro does, but I certainly don't.
MR. BEDFORD: Dr. Lawson may be telling me temperature and underground cables and splicing is a concern. My understanding was that you can't splice and construct in sub zero temperatures.
MR. LAWSON: My information from the industry is that minus 5 centigrade would be the limiting temperature for installation and


|  | is the cost of the third cable included in any of | Page 6330 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | your estimated prices? |  |
| 3 | MR. LAWSON: No. In my opinion it is |  |
| 4 | absolutely not necessary and it is never done. |  |
| 5 | Why would Manitoba Hydro be the exception? |  |
| 6 | MR. BEDFORD: But the point is, if one |  |
| 7 | could persuade whoever it is one might have to |  |
| 8 | persuade that we are right, and your opinion is |  |
| 9 | just a little bit too challenging for us to |  |
| 10 | accept, the price would go up? |  |
| 11 | MR. LAWSON: Okay. Thank you. |  |
| 12 | MR. BEDFORD: Now, one of the things I |  |
| 13 | learned reading your paper was just how few |  |
| 14 | manufacturers and suppliers of MI cable there are |  |
| 15 | in the world. I learned also that those that do |  |
| 16 | exist, a handful, are located in either Europe or |  |
| 17 | Japan, and you mentioned today Korea has come on |  |
| 18 | board with a new plant. |  |
| 19 | MR. LAWSON: Right. |  |
| 20 | MR. BEDFORD: I've also learned that |  |
| 21 | most of these plants seem to be fully occupied and |  |
| 22 | booked supplying the current order. But I did try |  |
| 23 | and sort out in my own mind that if one could find |  |
| 24 | a plant with some capacity this afternoon, and |  |
| 25 | place an order for say 65 kilometres of MI cable, |  |

my conclusion was that between the date of placing the order today and actually seeing delivery materialize at a rail yard in Winnipeg, I would have to allow about a year. Would I be correct in that conclusion?

MR. LAWSON: Absolutely, that's about right, I would say. And if I just say what I have been noting down here, yes, Prysmian will be fairly busy, they have two recent, fairly recent contractor awards, one for the western link between Wales and Scotland, that's 400 kilometres, and one for the Montenegro link between Italy and Montenegro is 450 kilometres. So they have about 850 kilometres.

Nexans should be finishing Skagerrak 4 beginning of next year, which is 215 kilometres, but that should be finished.

Other than that, all I can find is the
Straits of Belle Isle, 108 kilometres. And
Montenegro, the second Montenegro cable is
actually being manufactured in Japan, because
Nexans owns more than half of the viscous plant in Tokyo Bay. So that's where that is coming from. That's not a Nexans project.

ABB, I don't know that they have very
much on their books at the moment. And J-Power, in Osaka, Japan, probably have nothing on their books at the moment, yet they have a plant there, and they are fully qualified for this 600 kV very high voltage mass impregnated cable. They have done type testing, and $I$ have visited the plant while they were type testing, and they have plenty of experience with the oil field cable submarine work, but not with mass impregnated cable as such, but they have the capability. And there is also the Korean plant. They put in a 250 kV Bipole between the Korean mainland and holiday island of Jeju completed last year. So they have manufacturing capability in that plant.
MR. BEDFORD: So in my mind I was allowing at least a year before $I$ saw the cable arriving in Winnipeg?
MR. LAWSON: Sure.
MR. BEDFORD: And then $I$ was trying to visualize where and how one now handles it and moves it, and puts it in the ground. And I keep coming back to not being able to fully visualize splicing. Splicing, I have learned through two reads of your paper and listening to people, is not what $I$ do when $I$ try and do some home repair
work with a pair of pliers and a bit of wire, much more complicated it seems. So can you help us out briefly with explaining to us what happens with splicing?

MR. LAWSON: I thought I did that already but $I$ can do it again. It is a flexible splice, one thing that's difficult from your ordinary underground cable, which is generally a splice which has a much larger diameter than the cable itself, and is rather short. But this is an inheritance, the flexible splice is an inheritance from the requirement that they have for submarine cables to have flexible splices for laying in particularly deep water. So we have this at the moment. As I said this morning, the industry has not yet considered the development of a more suitable splice for a fairly rapid splicing procedure for land cable specifically. So we are talking, in my opinion, something like four days per splice.

MR. BEDFORD: Did your four day estimate factor in the likelihood in the case of my client having to have three cables spliced at a time?

MR. LAWSON: No, I was working with

| 1 | two cables. | Page 6334 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. BEDFORD: Would the third cable |  |
| 3 | then take your confidence in four days and move it |  |
|  | up to perhaps five days? |  |
| 5 | MR. LAWSON: No, the spare cable still |  |
| 6 | would be four days, except it would be an |  |
| 7 | additional four days, wouldn't it? You would have |  |
| 8 | three times four in that situation. |  |
| 9 | MR. BEDFORD: Splicing done by, I |  |
| 10 | don't know, crews of two, four, a dozen, 50? |  |
| 11 | MR. LAWSON: Generally two, probably a |  |
| 12 | labourer as well. |  |
| 13 | MR. BEDFORD: So that the two key |  |
| 14 | persons on the crew are highly skilled labour? |  |
| 15 | MR. LAWSON: Oh, absolutely, um-hum. |  |
| 16 | MR. BEDFORD: And we have heard about |  |
| 17 | temperature, your written report said you would |  |
| 18 | have to factor in your construction schedule the |  |
| 19 | fact that you would shut down and not be splicing |  |
| 20 | and putting cable in presumably in the winter sub |  |
| 21 | zero months. |  |
| 22 | MR. LAWSON: Correct. |  |
| 23 | MR. BEDFORD: Although, I heard |  |
| 24 | Mr. Woodford, to use heated tents to carry on. |  |
| 25 | MR. LAWSON: It is the bending of the |  |

cable. The splice itself is five metres long, but in order to make that splice you have to be moving cable a long way either side of that five metre section. And they don't want to move the cable if the temperature is below minus 5 centigrade.

MR. BEDFORD: So, I factored into my calculation of years an allowance for three to four years once we got the cable to Winnipeg, to do all of the splicing, the trenching, the digging, the laying of the conductors and filling in the excavations. Does four years sound reasonable?

MR. LAWSON: Three years sound good. MR. BEDFORD: In addition, I know, and I will respect the fact that it is not work that you do, but I know that one has to go through environmental assessment licensing and hearings and so forth, so I factored additional time for that. But unless you tell me to the contrary, I suspect that's beyond the kind of work you do, so you wouldn't want to give an opinion on the topic? MR. LAWSON: Obviously, I'm aware of the situation and $I$ know that in some cases permitting, et cetera, et cetera, has taken quite a long time, yes.

MR. BEDFORD: My conclusion when I add up the numbers that I've just been referencing is that 2017 is impossible if one were to use underground cable, but $I$ say that less for your benefit and more for some others.

MR. LAWSON: Well, I think we have to leave that open. I don't think that we can really say that at this moment.

MR. BEDFORD: Turning to your
presentation, given what $I$ have lived through in the last 24 hours in the province where I live, I found it most interesting that you start us off with a picture of Australia. And I concluded very quickly you would have started me off with a picture of frozen tundra if there were any example in the planet of underground cable being used in a climate with sub zero temperatures.

MR. LAWSON: Well, I think it is not so warm in New Jersey or Long Island, and in Denmark in the winter, where the 90 kilometre long cable is going in.

MR. BEDFORD: Given the fact that the ground does freeze here, one metre deep, probably not a safe enough margin to put the cable in?

MR. LAWSON: I already discussed this
with the industry and they are not concerned, once it is installed, if the temperature goes below the 5 degrees, minus 5 degrees. It is not a problem. MR. BEDFORD: And I confess that I misunderstood your motivation for showing us a map of the proposed line from Perpignan to Figueres. I thought it was because of the length, 65 kilometres was coincident with what is being proposed here. You revealed you had different motivation for doing that. Nonetheless, you will agree with me, given the description beside the map that you provided, this is cross linked polyethylene cable that's being used in that project, and you told us that is just not -- it doesn't exist for a high voltage conductor at this time?

MR. LAWSON: Right.
MR. BEDFORD: One of the projects in the presentation is NorNed. I gather that's a submarine cable leading from a site in Norway to a site in the Netherlands, Dr. Lawson?

MR. LAWSON: Correct.
MR. BEDFORD: Can you confirm for us
that that cable failed after it was initially commissioned?

| 1 | MR LAWSON: Yes. | Page 6338 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. BEDFORD: And can you confirm for |  |
| 3 | us that the outage in that case was some three |  |
| 4 | months? |  |
| 5 | MR. LAWSON: No. You obviously have |  |
| 6 | confirmed that for yourself, so maybe I don't need |  |
| 7 | to. But, yes, this must have been some problem |  |
| 8 | during installation. I must say, very unusual. |  |
| 9 | MR. BEDFORD: That was the final |  |
| 10 | question. Do you know, because my sources don't, |  |
| 11 | what the cause of the failure was? |  |
| 12 | MR. LAWSON: No. |  |
| 13 | MR. BEDFORD: Thank you. I have no |  |
| 14 | more questions for you. |  |
| 15 | MR. LAWSON: I have a comment, if I |  |
| 16 | can? |  |
| 17 | MR. BEDFORD: Of course. |  |
| 18 | MR. LAWSON: Manitoba Hydro stated |  |
| 19 | that the overhead line cost is in the order of |  |
| 20 | . 8 million dollars per kilometre. Now, I know |  |
| 21 | nothing about overhead line costs, so I consulted |  |
| 22 | some specialists. And I find that in the U.S. a |  |
| 23 | major consultant, major, who has done a recent |  |
| 24 | study on an overhead line cost, 500 kV , |  |
| 25 | 2000 megawatts, and gives me a cost of |  |


|  | \$1.2 million per kilometre. | Page 6339 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | I also understand that when you are |  |
| 3 | making this sort of estimate there is a 25,35 , |  |
| 4 | range. I conclude therefore that the . 8 of |  |
| 5 | Manitoba Hydro is at the lower end of the range |  |
| 6 | and it would be just as well to consider 1.2. |  |
| 7 | MR. BEDFORD: Thank you. My comment |  |
| 8 | would be that two gentlemen sitting beside you, |  |
| 9 | Mr. Derry, Mr. Woodford, hired the best engineers |  |
| 10 | to succeed them at Manitoba Hydro, and we have |  |
| 11 | such wonderful and highly trained staff at |  |
| 12 | Manitoba Hydro that we can bring this project in |  |
| 13 | at the 800,000 price point. |  |
| 14 | MR. LAWSON: I'm just saying that |  |
| 15 | there is range, and I believe that's at the lower |  |
| 16 | end of the range. It doesn't mean it is |  |
| 17 | incorrect, but it does mean it is not so |  |
| 18 | conservative. |  |
| 19 | MR. WOODFORD: Can I comment on that? |  |
| 20 | I have understood in reading previous |  |
| 21 | transcripts, I don't know whether it was the PUB |  |
| 22 | or the CEC, but the question was asked of Manitoba |  |
| 23 | Hydro, what is the variation, let's use the word |  |
| 24 | variation, on the costs of the transmission line? |  |
| 25 | And I can remember them saying plus or minus 35 |  |

per cent. Now, that may be an incorrect value, but that's what was written somewhere in the transcripts. And if we are talking about \$. 8 million plus or minus 35 per cent, $I$ don't know. Is it plus or minus 35 per cent? Has to be something, because you can't be right on, and if you are, you are super human. So what is it? And is it plus or minus 35 per cent, plus or minus 25 per cent, plus or minus 15 per cent? So when you state $\$ .8$ million per mile, there has to be a variation attached to that. What is it?

MR. BEDFORD: I have no further
questions. Thank you, all of you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Bedford.

Before we take a short break, I would just like to comment on the issue of flooding in Manitoba. You should know that the Commission conducted a similar review a number of years ago into the expansion of the Floodway around Winnipeg. The 1 in 700 figure comes from the capacity of the expanded floodway. 1997 was about 1 in 100. 1996, one year before, was also about 1 in 100. And since 1997, there have been one or two more almost 1 in 100 year floods.

We will take a break and come back

|  |  | Page 6341 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | (Hearing recessed at 3:03 p.m. and |  |
| 3 | reconvened at 3:15 p.m.) |  |
| 4 | THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We will |  |
| 5 | reconvene. The Consumers Association has a few |  |
| 6 | questions. Mr. Williams. |  |
| 7 | MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, and good |  |
| 8 | afternoon, members of the panel, and good |  |
| 9 | afternoon, Mr. Lawson, Mr. Derry, and Mr. |  |
| 10 | Woodford, and Ms. Friesen, of course. |  |
| 11 | Dr. Lawson, I don't think that I have |  |
| 12 | any questions for you, so you will forgive me. |  |
| 13 | Mr. Derry, I'm going to start with you and |  |
| 14 | certainly -- Ms. Desorcey is here, and she |  |
| 15 | certainly wants to extend her appreciation to the |  |
| 16 | Bipole witnesses for this thoughtful piece of |  |
| 17 | work. |  |
| 18 | Mr. Derry, I want to start really with |  |
|  | a question similar to what the Chairperson of the |  |
| 20 | Board of the CEC asked you. You made reference in |  |
| 21 | your evidence today and in your written evidence a |  |
| 22 | number of times to a 2010 report. Do you recall |  |
| 23 | referencing a report? |  |
| 24 | MR. DERRY: I have the report in front |  |
| 25 | of me here. |  |


| 1 | MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Derry, when you | Page 6342 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | referenced the 2010 report, is that the report, |  |
| 3 | Ultimate HVDC Development in Manitoba, is that the |  |
| 4 | report? |  |
| 5 | MR. DERRY: It is called Ultimate HVDC |  |
| 6 | Development in Manitoba, SPD, 2010/14. |  |
| 7 | MR. WILLIAMS: And, Mr. Derry, I have |  |
| 8 | a good familiarity with the record. That's not a |  |
| 9 | report that I am familiar with. Is that on the |  |
| 10 | record somewhere and I have -- |  |
| 11 | MR. DERRY: No, it is not on the |  |
| 12 | record. |  |
| 13 | MR. WILLIAMS: Would you be willing to |  |
| 14 | undertake to file that report on the record? |  |
| 15 | MR. DERRY: I think you should ask |  |
| 16 | Hydro to file it. |  |
| 17 | MR. WILLIAMS: It is a Hydro produced |  |
| 18 | report? |  |
| 19 | MR. DERRY: It is a Hydro report. |  |
| 20 | MR. WILLIAMS: So you have no |  |
| 21 | objection, but you think it should come from |  |
| 22 | Hydro? Is that your point, sir? |  |
| 23 | MR. DERRY: No. |  |
| 24 | MR. WILLIAMS: I guess I will defer |  |
| 25 | until Thursday then in terms of how I ask Manitoba |  |


|  | Hydro, unless Mr. Bedford wishes to volunteer to | Page 6343 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | file that report now? |  |
| 3 | THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead, Mr. Williams, |  |
| 4 | and request it of Manitoba Hydro. If you don't, |  |
| 5 | we will. |  |
| 6 | MR. BEDFORD: The hearing is obviously |  |
| 7 | gone on too long, because we believe it was filed |  |
| 8 | quite some time ago, but we will look into it. |  |
| 9 | THE CHAIRMAN: The Commission |  |
| 10 | secretary scanned through the documents that have |  |
| 11 | been registered and doesn't find that one. |  |
| 12 | MR. WILLIAMS: And Mr. Bedford, if I |  |
| 13 | am hearing if it is not on the record, that Hydro |  |
| 14 | will quite happily put it on the record? |  |
| 15 | MR. BEDFORD: I will look at the |  |
| 16 | report and consider, and we will let you know. |  |
| 17 | MR. DERRY: If they don't put it on, I |  |
| 18 | can get a copy for you. |  |
| 19 | MR. WILLIAMS: So, Mr. Derry, I have |  |
| 20 | your undertaking that if Manitoba Hydro won't |  |
| 21 | agree to put it on the record you will do so? Is |  |
| 22 | that what I have? |  |
| 23 | Mr. Derry, this is not the first |  |
| 24 | regulatory proceeding that I have seen you at. I |  |
| 25 | have seen you at the Public Utilities Board as |  |

well from time to time.
MR. DERRY: Once I think.
MR. WILLIAMS: And, Mr. Derry, would
it be fair to say that you certainly have followed -- and I want to talk about load
forecasting for a couple of minutes -- but would it be fair to say that you certainly have some familiarity with the discussion of load forecasting between Manitoba Hydro and the Public Utilities Board? Are you familiar with any of the discussions?
MR. DERRY: I have been keeping up on the transcripts actually, even this last year.
MR. WILLIAMS: And going back one hearing, sir, you will be aware indeed that the Manitoba Public Utilities Board has raised some concerns with the reliability of Manitoba Hydro's load forecasts?
MR. DERRY: Yes, I am.
MR. BEDFORD: And the suggestion
being, sir, with regard to the 2011 and 2010 load
forecast, that they overestimate future load for
Manitoba Hydro. You are familiar with those
comments by the independent regulator?
MR. DERRY: I don't quite remember
those. I can't say yes.
MR. WILLIAMS: Fair enough. Turning,
if we could, to figure 1.5 of your Powerpoint.
Mr. Derry, it is not on this figure, but when you were discussing this figure in your presentation to the Clean Environment Commission this morning, you made the comment that you -- you had some concern that the Manitoba Hydro load forecast might be overestimating the load in future years. Do you remember making a statement to that effect?
compared it to the 20 year load growth from '92 to 2012, 44 megawatts per year and the new forecast, 2012 to 2032 is using 83 megawatts per year.

MR. WILLIAMS: And thank you for that, Mr. Derry. Those figures that you have cited with the much lower historical forecast as compared to the very aggressive future forecast, are those on the record of this proceeding, sir, or did you derive it from the Public Utilities Board?

MR. DERRY: I actually got these from the PUB -- no, the 2012 forecast was put in as information for this hearing.

MR. WILLIAMS: So if I looked for that information I will find it based in the 2012 load

```
MR. DERRY: I did say that and I
```

forecast?

MR. DERRY: There is another table in here that I used for the peak versus the monthly. It comes out of the 2012 forecast.

MR. WILLIAMS: I'm going to come to that in just a second.

Mr. Derry, just as I look at figure -staying with figure 1.5, and this is, if I look to the 2017/18 year and using the Manitoba Hydro load forecast, I'm correct in suggesting to you that as of 2017/18 based upon Hydro's most current forecast it is not at that 1500-megawatt hour deficit in that particular year?

MR. DERRY: No, I think I mentioned in my testimony it is around 1400.

MR. WILLIAMS: And it is really based upon the more current Manitoba Hydro forecast, not until 2019/20, that it begins to approach that 1500-megawatt deficit; correct?

MR. DERRY: That's correct.
MR. WILLIAMS: And, sir, rather than
taking what some might call an optimistic Hydro load forecast, if we took the more conservative purple estimate that you have provided on this same figure, would I be correct in suggesting to

|  | you that it is not really until the 2023/24 year | Page 6347 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | that Hydro is beginning to approach that |  |
| 3 | 1500-megawatt deficit, would that be correct, sir? |  |
| 4 | MR. DERRY: Can you give that to me |  |
| 5 | again? |  |
| 6 | MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. Mr. Derry, what |  |
| 7 | I'm asking, and just to make sure I understand |  |
| 8 | your table correctly, if I take the more |  |
| 9 | conservative purple estimate, it is really not |  |
| 10 | until 2023/24 that Hydro begins to approach the |  |
| 11 | 1500-megawatt deficit? |  |
| 12 | MR. DERRY: That's correct, yeah. |  |
| 13 | MR. WILLIAMS: And I may have misspoke |  |
| 14 | and said megawatt hour previously and I did mean |  |
| 15 | to say megawatt. |  |
| 16 | MR. DERRY: You mean megawatt, yeah. |  |
| 17 | MR. WILLIAMS: This can go either to |  |
| 18 | Mr. Derry or Mr. Woodford, but both of you I |  |
| 19 | believe used in terms of the relocation of Bipole |  |
| 20 | II to Riel the words in quotation marks "window of |  |
| 21 | opportunity". Do you recall making those |  |
| 22 | statements? |  |
| 23 | MR. DERRY: Yes, I put that in my |  |
| 24 | report, that's a portion of my report. |  |
| 25 | MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Derry. |  |

And I'm not quite sure that I understood what you meant by window of opportunity?
MR. DERRY: Okay. What we are saying is that because Bipole II at Dorsey in the Hydro alternative is going to be refurbished, means that they are going to replace the valves and transformers and everything else, and it is to start in 2019. So if we don't take that window of opportunity now and look at relocating it by building a new Bipole at Riel, they will keep refurbishing that for the next 30 or 40 years. It is going to be that long before you will be able to look at redoing it again somewhere else. That's the window of opportunity.
MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you for that. Figure 1.6, Mr. Derry, you presented this and I will ask you to confirm without elaborating, you presented this figure in addressing some of the concerns that Manitoba Hydro had expressed in terms of transmission loss?
MR. DERRY: That's correct.
MR. WILLIAMS: And in terms of developing this table you use -- you talk about, or in your evidence you talk about using 80 per cent of peak, do you recall that, sir?

| 1 | MR. DERRY: Yes, I do. | Page 6349 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. WILLIAMS: And why 80 per cent of |  |
| 3 | peak per month? |  |
| 4 | MR. DERRY: That's what I call the |  |
| 5 | shoulder month. If you look at the chart and look |  |
| 6 | at March, April and November, they are all around |  |
| 7 | 80 per cent. Now when we did the calculation for |  |
| 8 | the figure 1.7, we don't want to do three separate |  |
| 9 | months, we just used an average for those three |  |
| 10 | months, and it is around 80 per cent, so that |  |
| 11 | table 1.7 represents those three months at 80 per |  |
| 12 | cent of the peak. The load has come down that 20 |  |
| 13 | per cent. |  |
| 14 | MR. WILLIAMS: And my question to you, |  |
| 15 | Mr. Derry, is why is it more appropriate to use 80 |  |
| 16 | per cent of peak as opposed to peak? |  |
| 17 | MR. DERRY: Because we are looking at |  |
| 18 | the months that are off peak. The peak is in |  |
| 19 | January or December or February. So when you |  |
| 20 | think of the peak month, it could be any one of |  |
| 21 | those three. In this case of the figures that I |  |
| 22 | used, it was a January peak that Hydro has made |  |
| 23 | up, that comes from the load forecast of 2011. It |  |
| 24 | is a forecast that they have estimated the peak in |  |
| 25 | every month of the year. And that's what this |  |


|  | chart shows. Do you understand? | Page 6350 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. WILLIAMS: That point I think I |  |
| 3 | understood before, Mr. Derry, but why is it more |  |
| 4 | appropriate -- and maybe I misunderstood the point |  |
| 5 | for these two tables, but have you chosen 80 per |  |
| 6 | cent of peak as compared to peak because there is |  |
| 7 | a lower -- you know, what I'm going to ask before |  |
| 8 | I'm asking it. |  |
| 9 | MR. DERRY: No. The peak volume was |  |
| 10 | used primarily to show the elevation of Dorsey to |  |
| 11 | tie in with Hydro's chart that they had put up |  |
| 12 | earlier, shown in figure 1.4. So if you looked at |  |
| 13 | figure 1.4 and 1.5, they show the same thing. I |  |
| 14 | just showed the deficit. I haven't tried to show |  |
| 15 | the load. You can't tell what it is from there, |  |
| 16 | you have to count the squares and try and figure |  |
| 17 | out what it is. So in this one I have used this |  |
| 18 | for the Dorsey outage peak load, that's what 1.5 |  |
| 19 | load is. Those are the peak load months. And |  |
| 20 | that could be December, it could be January, it |  |
| 21 | could be February. The peak can happen in any one |  |
| 22 | of those months. But for the corridor outages I |  |
| 23 | used the shoulder months and the off peak months |  |
|  | in the summertime. And it is my feeling, and I |  |
| 25 | don't know if they have asked Hydro have they ever |  |


|  | had an outage of the corridor in the winter | Page 6351 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | period? And I don't know if they have or not. |  |
| 3 | Maybe they will come back and say they have. I |  |
| 4 | don't think they have. I don't know of any. |  |
| 5 | MR. WILLIAMS: And so you have used |  |
| 6 | less than peak or 80 per cent of peak because your |  |
| 7 | view is that an outage is more likely to occur in |  |
| 8 | the non-peak months in terms of transmission; is |  |
| 9 | that right? |  |
| 10 | MR. DERRY: Those shoulder months are |  |
| 11 | representative of ice storms. That's -- that |  |
| 12 | would have a 1 in 50 year, I think Hydro came up |  |
| 13 | with a 1 in 20 year, not Hydro, Teshmont, with a 1 |  |
| 14 | in 20 later, but that's the probability of outage |  |
| 15 | in those months, and it would be weeks, not months |  |
| 16 | or years like the Dorsey station. |  |
| 17 | MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you for that. So |  |
| 18 | when I turn to figure 1.9, Mr. Derry, instead of |  |
| 19 | using peak or 80 per cent of peak, in this |  |
| 20 | particular figure you have used 65 per cent of |  |
| 21 | peak load. |  |
| 22 | MR. DERRY: That's correct. |  |
| 23 | MR. WILLIAMS: And presumably you have |  |
| 24 | done that because you are trying to reflect the |  |
| 25 | risk of more summer associated adverse events, |  |


|  | such as tornado and storms, is that correct? | Page 6352 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. DERRY: That's correct. |  |
| 3 | MR. WILLIAMS: Now, Mr. Derry, stay |  |
| 4 | with figure 1.9 for a second. And while Mr. |  |
| 5 | Bedford has freely admitted he is not a |  |
| 6 | statistician and I believe he demonstrated that |  |
| 7 | today, I struggle with reading charts. And when I |  |
| 8 | look at this figure 1.9, what I see is that the |  |
| 9 | excess capacity is actually reflected towards the |  |
| 10 | bottom of this graph rather than towards the top. |  |
| 11 | MR. DERRY: Yes. The reason I did |  |
| 12 | this is all of the other graphs that you see, the |  |
| 13 | excess is positive, we show it is positive. So |  |
| 14 | taking the -- the deficits I should say are |  |
| 15 | positive, so we kept that same rule. Now the ones |  |
| 16 | that you see on the bottom are surpluses. |  |
| 17 | MR. WILLIAMS: So when I see all of |  |
| 18 | those colours, Mr. Derry, for the early years from |  |
| 19 | 2013/14, through to 2021, 22, below the zero, |  |
| 20 | that's actually a good news story in terms of |  |
| 21 | capacity? |  |
| 22 | MR. DERRY: No, no, that's the |  |
| 23 | projection of the 2012. I haven't got any |  |
| 24 | historic ones in here. |  |
| 25 | MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. I think I have |  |


|  | the point of that table. | Page 6353 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Mr. Derry, you had a discussion with |  |
| 3 | my friend, Mr. Bedford, about a capacity shortfall |  |
| 4 | around the year 2025, do you recall that |  |
| 5 | discussion? |  |
| 6 | MR. DERRY: That's right. |  |
| 7 | MR. WILLIAMS: And you made a |  |
| 8 | statement something to the effect, I will ask you |  |
| 9 | to recall, that you have to do something by 2025 |  |
| 10 | to increase capacity. Do you remember making a |  |
| 11 | statement to that effect, Mr. Derry? |  |
| 12 | MR. DERRY: Yes, I do. |  |
| 13 | MR. WILLIAMS: And, Mr. Derry, what I |  |
| 14 | understand by that dialogue that you had both with |  |
| 15 | Mr. Meronek and my friend Mr. Bedford, was that if |  |
| 16 | you are going to do a true comparison of the costs |  |
| 17 | of the different options, you are going to have to |  |
| 18 | put in the expense associated with additional |  |
| 19 | capacity in 2025, one way or the other; agreed? |  |
| 20 | MR. DERRY: That's correct, to compare |  |
| 21 | it to the D and E option that we have proposed in |  |
| 22 | the report, you have to do something in 2025 to |  |
| 23 | get an excess again. |  |
| 24 | MR. WILLIAMS: And in your written |  |
| 25 | report you chose to -- to provide an appropriate |  |


|  | comparator, you used the 500 AC line? | Page 6354 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. DERRY: That's correct, yes. |  |
| 3 | MR. WILLIAMS: But the point that you |  |
| 4 | were making, as I understand in your evidence to |  |
| 5 | Mr. Bedford today, is you could have just as |  |
| 6 | easily put in a 2,000 megawatt natural gas |  |
| 7 | generator, correct? |  |
| 8 | MR. DERRY: That's correct. |  |
| 9 | MR. WILLIAMS: Or you could have put |  |
| 10 | in the cost associated with increased capacity |  |
| 11 | from the United States? |  |
| 12 | MR. DERRY: In the EIS Hydro actually |  |
| 13 | used those two options to compare it against |  |
| 14 | Bipole III. |  |
| 15 | MR. WILLIAMS: So whether or not the |  |
| 16 | AC line is in their capital plans, the thrust of |  |
| 17 | your point, sir, is that there is going to be an |  |
| 18 | additional cost there, whether it is related to |  |
| 19 | natural gas generation, to import capacity, or an |  |
| 20 | AC line, correct? |  |
| 21 | MR. DERRY: That's correct. |  |
| 22 | MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Woodford, about the |  |
| 23 | seventh page, and I just have a couple of |  |
| 24 | questions for you, about the seventh page of your |  |
| 25 | Powerpoint presentation, you make reference to the |  |


| 1 | Cigre, C-I-G-R-E, August 2012 paper. Do you | Page 6355 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | recall that, sir? |  |
| 3 | MR. WOODFORD: Yes. |  |
| 4 | MR. WILLIAMS: And in directing the |  |
| 5 | attention of the Commission to the bottom of that |  |
| 6 | paper, you noted that there were drawing inward |  |
| 7 | two future 500 kV lines, one to Riel and one to |  |
| 8 | Dorsey. Do you recall that, sir? |  |
| 9 | MR. WOODFORD: Yes, but I would |  |
| 10 | suggest that the top 500 kV line is the one in |  |
| 11 | existence, and the second one is the completion of |  |
| 12 | the ring, in my understanding and interpretation |  |
| 13 | of this paper and this diagram. The second lower |  |
| 14 | one is the completion of the ring around the south |  |
| 15 | of Winnipeg. |  |
| 16 | MR. WILLIAMS: And, Mr. Woodford, I |  |
| 17 | suspected when you were highlighting that, that |  |
| 18 | that was of some significance, but I'm not sure |  |
| 19 | that I totally grasped your point. So what is the |  |
| 20 | significance of that, sir? |  |
| 21 | MR. WOODFORD: The significance that I |  |
| 22 | see on it is that this has been contemplated by |  |
| 23 | Manitoba Hydro engineers. And I think the |  |
| 24 | Manitoba Hydro has said that they have |  |
| 25 | contemplated a second 500 kV line ring around |  |


|  | Winnipeg. It is certainly not being declared as | Page 6356 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | being a future consideration. Our position has |  |
| 3 | been that you need it when you bring in Bipole III |  |
| 4 | located at or near LaVerendrye. And that would |  |
| 5 | interconnect on to that second lower 500 kV line. |  |
| 6 | MR. WILLIAMS: And presumably address |  |
| 7 | some of the concerns expressed about the |  |
| 8 | limitations of the LaVerendrye site? |  |
| 9 | MR. WOODFORD: Yes, that would address |  |
| 10 | some of the concerns, and particularly at the blue |  |
| 11 | line, which is the contemplated second 500 kV line |  |
| 12 | to the United States, rather than take it out of |  |
| 13 | Dorsey, you would probably take it out of the |  |
| 14 | interconnection point where Bipole III meets the |  |
| 15 | 500 kV ring. So you would have three DC lines |  |
| 16 | coming into that interconnection point. |  |
| 17 | MR. WILLIAMS: Just a couple more |  |
| 18 | questions. Mr. -- back to you for a second, Mr. |  |
| 19 | Derry. You recall both in your discussion with |  |
| 20 | Mr. Meronek as well as your discussion with Mr. |  |
| 21 | Bedford the possibility was raised of the risks |  |
| 22 | associated with a joint failure, both of Dorsey |  |
| 23 | and LaVerendrye. Do you recall that discussion? |  |
| 24 | MR. DERRY: Yes, I do. |  |
| 25 | MR. WILliAMS: And Mr. Derry, I just |  |

want to make sure $I$ have your point from both of those conversations. As I understand it, the point you were making was that if we anticipate a 1 in 200 probability of a failure with Dorsey, and let's say a 1 in 200 probability of a failure associated with LaVerendrye, it would be incorrect and simplistic to say that there is a 1 in 200 probability of a joint failure, it would be more accurate to suggest that it is a 1 in 4,000 event in terms of probability? Was that your point, Mr. Derry?

MR. DERRY: The point was that -- what is the probability of having both Dorsey and LaVerendrye go out for the same wind storm? So the probability most likely would go from 1 in 200 to 1 in 4,000 to have both out with the same storm. And I don't think that anybody has done a calculation of this, maybe Teshmont could redo it. It is not 1 in 200 -- it is 1 in 200 in each location to lose one Bipole, do you understand?

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, I do, and I think it relates to the point that Mr. Woodford has made, and $I$ just want to make sure $I$ have his point as well.

Mr. Woodford, you have spoken a couple
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | present the worst case scenario as compared to |  |
| 3 | reasonable scenarios. Do you recall that, sir? |  |
| 4 | MR. WOODFORD: Yes. |  |
| 5 | MR. WILLIAMS: And in terms of modern |  |
| 6 | risk assessment, Mr. Woodford, would it be fair to |  |
| 7 | say that best practice says that we should look at |  |
| 8 | the magnitude or quantum of potential adverse |  |
| 9 | events, but we should also look at the probability |  |
| 10 | of those adverse events? |  |
| 11 | MR. WOODFORD: Absolutely. You would |  |
| 12 | look at the worst case scenario, but you would |  |
| 13 | also want to look at a more probable scenario and |  |
| 14 | try to evaluate the risks associated with that, |  |
| 15 | and do what you can to plan accordingly. |  |
| 16 | MR. WILLIAMS: And you made an |  |
| 17 | eloquent point in your conversation with my friend |  |
| 18 | Mr. Bedford to look at the -- you said it was not |  |
| 19 | good engineering. I don't know if you remember |  |
| 20 | making that statement. But the point I took from |  |
| 21 | that, sir, is that when one is looking at |  |
| 22 | potential adverse events, in accordance with good |  |
| 23 | engineering principles, you should look at the |  |
| 24 | worst case scenario, you should look at the |  |
| 25 | reasonable scenario and then you should address |  |


|  | your mind to the costs and benefits associated | Page 6359 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | with addressing those problems? |  |
| 3 | MR. WOODFORD: That's what I would do |  |
| 4 | as an engineer in this situation, yes, indeed. |  |
| 5 | MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman, I thank |  |
| 6 | the panel for its time, and I certainly thank the |  |
| 7 | Bipole III witnesses as well. Thank you. |  |
| 8 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. |  |
| 9 | Williams. Any other participants have any |  |
| 10 | questions? Any members of the public have any |  |
| 11 | questions? Members of the panel? Mr. Gibbons. |  |
| 12 | MR. GIBBONS: And I will beg |  |
| 13 | everyone's indulgence that I would like to start |  |
| 14 | with perhaps clarifying two assumptions before I |  |
| 15 | go to the question. One of the assumptions that |  |
| 16 | I'm working under in understanding the |  |
| 17 | presentations of, in this case Mr. Derry and Mr. |  |
| 18 | Woodford, is that the reason for incorporating a |  |
| 19 | cost for a 500 kV AC line, north/south line, for |  |
| 20 | alternates $B$ and $C$ is because you would have two |  |
|  | of the three Bipole lines running to Dorsey, hence |  |
| 22 | increasing the possibility of an outage that would |  |
| 23 | create a deficit and that you need to make up that |  |
| 24 | 500 deficit for that reason? I'm trying to figure |  |
| 25 | out why there is a 500 kV AC line posed for those |  |


| 1 | two alternates but not for the D and E alternate? | Page 6360 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Perhaps you could clarify that for me before I get |  |
| 3 | to my next question. |  |
| 4 | MR. WOODFORD: That is the 500 kV line |  |
| 5 | that you are talking about, the top where it says |  |
| 6 | 500 kV AC line. |  |
| 7 | MR. GIbBONS: Okay. |  |
| 8 | MR. WOODFORD: This is the Hydro |  |
| 9 | alternative, okay, where they redo Riel -- Bipole |  |
| 10 | III is refurbished at Dorsey. This is the CEC |  |
| 11 | alternative actually. If you go to the top line, |  |
| 12 | you see 500 kV AC line. |  |
| 13 | MR. GIbBONS: This is a Hydro -- |  |
| 14 | MR. WOODFORD: That's a Hydro figure |  |
| 15 | that we have put the different lines on, that's |  |
| 16 | been -- the red line and the extensions out to the |  |
| 17 | end of the graphs were done by us. We just |  |
| 18 | extended them out to show you where the deficit |  |
| 19 | becomes a problem. If you look at the -- if we |  |
| 20 | can get the light working. Okay. We extended |  |
| 21 | that out to show that there is a problem starting |  |
| 22 | in 2025, and that's with Bipoles I and II at |  |
| 23 | Dorsey. So you would have to do something to |  |
| 24 | increase the excess capacity to get into the |  |
| 25 | surplus position. And one of the things that you |  |

could do is build that north/south AC transmission line at 500 kV , which was one of the comparisons made by Mr. Mazur with the HVDC line versus a 500 kV AC line from the north. And that's where I got the 4.8 billion. So this assumes that you would build a north/south 500 kV AC line to make it comparable to the recommended one in our report, which is having three different locations that gives you the same result. In other words, you could go to 2050 if you had three locations, and you wouldn't need that 500 kV line. So we have to have something that we can compare it to, and this is a comparison that we are making.

MR. GIBBONS: What I'm missing and perhaps it is because I'm not an engineer, I am something of a statistician, so that's maybe actually worse, I am not sure, is that the amount of power, the number of megawatts produced and distributed, it strikes me remain the same whether you have Bipoles I and II going through Dorsey, as Hydro proposes, and Bipole III going to Riel, or in the CEC idea of one in three going to Dorsey and two being rerouted to Riel, or in the proposal that you are making it is still the same amount of power, so where is the deficit coming from is I

|  | guess what I'm asking? | Page 6362 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. DERRY: If you look at that graph, |  |
| 3 | take that line again that goes down to 2025, with |  |
| 4 | that alternative of putting Bipole III at Riel, |  |
| 5 | then you are short of capacity. |  |
| 6 | MR. GIBBONS: I guess what I'm not |  |
| 7 | clear on is I'm interested in your idea about |  |
| 8 | having three terminals. |  |
| 9 | MR. DERRY: I think where the problem |  |
| 10 | is, this assumes that Dorsey is out of service, it |  |
| 11 | is not there. |  |
| 12 | MR. GIBBONS: So that was my point |  |
| 13 | then, the reason for that -- |  |
| 14 | MR. DERRY: Dorsey is not there, and |  |
| 15 | you only have Bipole III at Riel. |  |
| 16 | MR. GIBBONS: That was my original |  |
| 17 | question, was it because those proposals had 2 |  |
| 18 | lines -- |  |
| 19 | MR. DERRY: For the Dorsey station we |  |
| 20 | are looking at, not the corridor. |  |
| 21 | MR. GIBBONS: That's what I was trying |  |
| 22 | to get at, because if they are knocked out, you |  |
| 23 | are knocking out two lines rather than one. |  |
| 24 | MR. DERRY: That's right. |  |
| 25 | MR. GIBBONS: Okay. The second |  |

assumption is the window of opportunity that you discussed regarding the moving of Bipole II, for example, at Dorsey, does that run out before 2025?

In other words, are there significant changes expected to be made in equipment before then or could it be done then?

MR. DERRY: If -- well, go to E and D.
If you relocate Bipole II at Riel, then if you lose Bipole I at Dorsey, you would have Bipole at Dorsey, I'm sorry, then you could go to 2025, okay, and then you would add Bipole III at LaVerendrye, which would take you up to the top line. You could only lose one. We are only assuming the outage of one Bipole in this one.

MR. GIBBONS: The reason I'm asking this, or trying to sort out these assumptions --

MR. DERRY: I think I'm not explaining it right to you. If you relocate Bipole II to Riel, and you still have got Dorsey, Bipoles I and are still there, okay?

MR. GIBBONS: Okay. Let me do this a different way, and I will come to what is really my main question. What if the chronology were changed, and what you had instead was a situation where, for the sake of argument only, this is just
off the top of my head, Bipole III was completed perhaps to LaVerendrye or thereabouts, and you then took Bipole II after Bipole III was completed and moved it to Riel?
MR. DERRY: According to when they want to start refurbishing Bipole II in 2019, so you lose that window of opportunity, they are going to continue to refurbishing it, you no longer have the chance to do that.
MR. GIBBONS: That was part of my earlier question, does the window of opportunity close before 2025? And you are saying from their own information it does, because they are going to start the refurbishing by that point?
MR. DERRY: Right.
MR. GIBBONS: The difficulty then of doing Bipole III first and then moving Bipole II is that they will have already then spent an exceptional amount of money on it.
MR. DERRY: Yes. They are still
spending money on it, a couple of million dollars for the valves, and then they have to replace the transformers, they are getting older. They have to replace the smoothing rackets, controls, auxiliary equipment. So you have lost that window

|  | of opportunity. So the logical way of doing it is | Page 6365 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | to move Bipole II first and then follow it by |  |
| 3 | Bipole III. |  |
| 4 | MR. GIBBONS: I just wanted to get |  |
| 5 | that logic sorted out. So 2019 is sort of the |  |
| 6 | critical year. |  |
| 7 | MR. DERRY: Have I helped you? |  |
| 8 | MR. GIBBONS: Yes. I think the blame |  |
| 9 | is more on me than you, but that's okay. |  |
| 10 | THE CHAIRMAN: You are done? |  |
| 11 | MR. GIBBONS: Yes. |  |
| 12 | THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. MacKay. |  |
| 13 | MS. MACKAY: Yes. I have a couple of |  |
| 14 | questions related to the questions that Mr. |  |
| 15 | Gibbons was just asking. I would like to go to |  |
| 16 | the first slide of Mr. Woodford's presentation. |  |
| 17 | The first slide, first of all, could you just |  |
| 18 | remind me when Bipole II came into service, |  |
| 19 | roughly? |  |
| 20 | MR. WOODFORD: Well, the first part of |  |
| 21 | it came in -- I have got it written down |  |
| 22 | somewhere. These guys could tell us -- it was '78 |  |
| 23 | was the first pull. |  |
| 24 | MS. MACKAY: Okay. Then looking at |  |
| 25 | this figure, if we look at the percentages over |  |

the period of time, you very quickly get up over 100 per cent. Could you just confirm for me that the percentage of replacement of equipment is not cumulative? That, for example, there is some pieces that get replaced say in ten years, and the same thing might be replaced again in 20 years?

MR. WOODFORD: The life time of components varies in a DC converter station. Okay. Some are short periods of time relatively, some are long. And in that 30 or 40 or 50 years, there will be a number of components that will be replaced several times.

MS. MACKAY: Okay.
MR. WOODFORD: And that's just what happens.

MS. MACKAY: Okay. I thought that was the case but I just wanted to make sure. For Mr. Derry or Mr. Woodford, in your consideration of your proposal, have you considered at all the impact of delaying Bipole III on issues around construction of Keewatinoow and Keeyask?

MR. DERRY: Yes, I can comment on that. If I guess the NFAT that's approved on Keeyask and Conawapa, and we are suggesting that Bipole III can be delayed until 2025, then Bipole
III NFAT should become part of the Keeyask and Conawapa NFAT, because it is required by those two stations. So if they want to do that, and you would accept the conditions for reliability that we have put forth of having Bipole III come in in 2025, then they are going to have to put that into their NFAT because it is a cost against the sale.
MS. MACKAY: Thank you. Just one more question, this is of Mr. Lawson. In terms of underground cables as opposed to underwater cables, it seems to me they are still in a relatively early stage of development particularly for the high $k V$, such as 500 kV DC cables. If Bipole III were to go ahead on the Coalition's suggested routing, were to go ahead immediately rather than be delayed as the Coalition's proposal suggests, would you consider, and maybe other panel members would like to answer as well, would you consider that the state of advancement of underground cables is far enough along that it would be reasonable for Bipole III going ahead immediately?
MR. LAWSON: In my opinion there is really no difference between an underground cable and a submarine cable. So the fact that the
particular cable that we are interested in, the 500 kV mass-impregnated cable has not been used very significantly in underground applications. The only difference that $I$ can see is that whereas for submarine cables you are delivering -- you can deliver your cables and install them in lengths of up to say 120 kilometres without any splices, although there are splices which are made in the factory, and then if you have a longer length than that, then you have a submarine cable splice made at sea. The only difference in my opinion is the number of splices and you are able to -- well, that is a significant difference, splices are always considered to be a weak point. In fact, we have no indication that these splices are any different to the cable itself, because they are actually reconstituted and they are made like the cable, so the design is not different to the cable itself. So there is no reason for splices to be any different from the cable, and in practice we don't find that any of these installed splices have ever failed in the submarine situation. Although, there are rather few that you use on land. So I think the only difference is the number of splices. The quality of the cable is
identical.
MS. MACKAY: In fact, there aren't
that many of these underground splices in operation at this point?
MR. LAWSON: Correct. Yes.
MS. MACKAY: Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. I just have a couple of very short questions and I think, Dr. Lawson, you may have answered the one question I had just now in response to Ms. MacKay. I thought when you made your presentation, and you were referring to the slide that showed the Europacable 320 kV , I thought you said that the technology for 500 kV was a few years away. Did I hear you correctly or --
MR. LAWSON: Well, the technology is there. And I have no doubt that in three or five years we will have 500 kV , XLDC cables. The problem is that in order to commercialize the standards, say that you have to undergo at least a one year pre-qualification test. And since there is a lot of business at the moment, even at 320 $k V$, the industry is not rushing to carry out this one year test in order to fully qualify the cables before commercializing them. So that's the delay

|  | really. I think the material and the technology | Page 6370 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | is already there. We just have to prove them in a |  |
| 3 | long term test, and this will take, according to |  |
| 4 | at least one supplier, between three and five |  |
| 5 | years. |  |
| 6 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. And, Mr. |  |
| 7 | Woodford, one of the examples you gave was the |  |
| 8 | Murray link in southern Australia. That's 320, |  |
| 9 | isn't it? |  |
| 10 | MR. WOODFORD: No, I think it is 150. |  |
| 11 | THE CHAIRMAN: 150, okay. |  |
| 12 | MR. WOODFORD: Plus or minus. |  |
| 13 | THE CHAIRMAN: And it runs 70 or so K? |  |
| 14 | MR. WOODFORD: From northwestern -- |  |
| 15 | state of Victoria, northwestern Victoria, parallel |  |
| 16 | to the Murray River into south Australia. It is |  |
| 17 | the link between the two states. |  |
| 18 | THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. |  |
| 19 | MR. WOODFORD: The point I wanted to |  |
| 20 | make there is the reason they put it in and spent |  |
| 21 | the extra money, capital cost upfront, was they |  |
| 22 | made that up by the fact that they didn't have to |  |
| 23 | spend about five years permitting and were able to |  |
| 24 | make sales in those five years that they wouldn't |  |
| 25 | have been able to do if they had to wait until |  |


|  | they got DC or AC lines overhead. | Page 6371 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. |  |
| 3 | MR. LAWSON: There is a similar |  |
| 4 | project at 320 kV going in now in Sweden. That's |  |
| 5 | a 2,000-megawatt again. And the length is |  |
| 6 | something like 200 kilometres, the route length. |  |
| 7 | There are four cables, so that's 800 kilometres of |  |
| 8 | cable going in Sweden. |  |
| 9 | THE CHAIRMAN: Still 320? |  |
| 10 | MR. LAWSON: 320, yes. |  |
| 11 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. |  |
| 12 | Mr. Meronek, before we close for the day, do you |  |
| 13 | have any final comments or questions you wish to |  |
| 14 | ask of your witnesses? |  |
| 15 | MR. MERONEK: I was going to ask about |  |
| 16 | garden hoses, but I think I will defer. Thank |  |
| 17 | you. |  |
| 18 | THE CHAIRMAN: Garden hoses late in |  |
| 19 | winter or -- |  |
| 20 | MR. MERONEK: Probably in the |  |
| 21 | summertime. |  |
| 22 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Well, thank |  |
| 23 | you all for your presentations today and for your |  |
| 24 | written presentations earlier. Are there any |  |
| 25 | administrative matters to deal with? Yes, there |  |

are. So, Madam secretary.
MS. JOHNSON: We have another pile of documents that we have collected up today. I would like to put on the record for the Bipole Coalition notice of motion, BPC, number 7. Outline of Mr. Derry's presentation is BCP number 8. That of Mr. Woodford is BCP number 9. That of Mr. Lawson is number 10 .

The actual report by Mr. Derry is
BP11. That of Mr. Woodford is BP12, BPC12. Mr. Lawson's is BCP13. And Mr. Derry's presentation is 14. Mr. Woodford's presentation is BCP15. And Mr. Lawson's is 16 -- oops, I missed Mr. Lawson's here. Sorry, no, number 16 is the letter from Mr. Meronek to the Commission regarding these reports. And I missed -- so Mr. Lawson's will be 17. I also have a leftover one here from CAC that $I$ missed yesterday or this morning, it is the vegetation report, CAC 11. And two more documents from Manitoba Hydro, the rebuttal to the parts one and two, is $M H-115$, and to Mr. Lawson's report is 116.
(EXHIBIT BPC-7: Bipole Coalition notice of motion)
(EXHIBIT BCP-8: Outline of Mr .
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Derry's presentation) |  |
| 2 | (EXHIBIT BCP-9: Outline of Mr. |  |
| 3 | Woodford's presentation) |  |
| 4 | (EXHIBIT BCP-10: Outline of Mr. |  |
| 5 | Lawson's presentation) |  |
| 6 | (EXHIBIT BCP-11: Report by Mr. Derry) |  |
| 7 |  |  |
| 8 | (EXHIBIT BCP-12: Report by Mr. |  |
| 9 | Woodford) |  |
| 10 | (EXHIBIT BCP-13: Report by Mr. |  |
| 11 | Lawson) |  |
| 12 | (EXHIBIT BCP-14: Mr. Derry's |  |
| 13 | presentation) |  |
| 14 | (EXHIBIT BCP-15: Mr. Woodford's |  |
| 15 | presentation) |  |
| 16 | (EXHIBIT BCP-16: Letter from Mr. |  |
| 17 | Meronek to the Commission regarding |  |
| 18 | reports) |  |
| 19 | (EXHIBIT BCP-17: Mr. Lawson's |  |
| 20 | presentation) |  |
| 21 | (EXHIBIT CAC-11: Vegetation report) |  |
| 22 | (EXHIBIT MH-115: Manitoba Hydro |  |
| 23 | rebuttal to parts one and two) |  |
| 24 |  |  |
| 25 | (EXHIBIT MH-116: Manitoba Hydro |  |

rebuttal to Mr. Lawson's report)
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. While we
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| 6191:16 6192:8 | 6207:5,8 6208:22 | 6315:11 6329:18 | adjourn 6374:12 | 6256:10 6262:19 |
| 6192:21,22 | 6209:10 6217:2 | acquisition 6322:1 | Adjourned 6374:14 | 6265:20 6272:4 |
| 6195:20 6196:6 | 6224:8,15,19 | across 6184:10 | adjournment | 6284:11 6287:7 |
| 6197:8,25 6198:4 | 6227:6 6229:6 | 6203:24 6219:19 | 6165:13 6170:25 | 6299:11 6304:2 |
| 6200:18 6201:17 | 6233:5 6249:25 | 6221:7 6231:18 | 6174:24 | 6306:22 6309:14 |
| 6203:14,15 | 6250:6 6251:21 | 6276:4 | adjusted 6161:16 | 6333:6 6347:5 |
| 6204:2,6 6207:16 | 6251:25 6252:12 | actions 6302 | 6166:6 6167:20 | 6348:13 6353:23 |
| 6208:8 6210:1,13 | 6254:23 6259:20 | activity 6239:16 | adjustment 6269:12 | 6362:3 6366:6 |
| 6211:20 6213:7 | 6265:23 6268:23 | actual 6166:19 | adjustments | 6371:5 |
| 6222:7 6223:25 | 6269:7,9,20 | 6197:7 6218:23 | 6161:22 6166:10 | against 6245:19 |
| 6225:3 6226:11 | 6271:15 6272:23 | 6244:8 6372:9 | 6168:5 | 6272:20 6290:9 |
| 6228:4 6230:19 | 6273:6,25 | actually 6172:5 | administering | 6354:13 6367:7 |
| 6233:1,20 | 6274:14 6275:15 | 6200:10 6229:23 | 6303:23 | aggressive 6345:18 |
| 6234:11 6235:2 | 6295:12,18 | 6230:12 6231:1 | Administration | aging 6211:23 |
| 6235:10 6236:18 | 6313:9,25 | 6232:2,15 6234:4 | 6214:1,4 | 6212:5 |
| 6236:18 6237:8 | 6316:14 6326:8 | 6236:21 6242:22 | administrative | ago 6172:2 6218:8 |
| 6237:11 6238:20 | 6354:1,16,20 | 6243:21 6244:6 | 6371:25 | 6230:10 6305:24 |
| 6240:25 6242:4 | 6359:19,25 | 6246:6 6250:24 | admit 6239:1 | 6309:4 6320:17 |
| 6248:17 6249:19 | 6360:6,12 6361:1 | 6280:17 6297:5 | 6307:22 | 6340:18 6343:8 |
| 6254:18 6255:9 | 6361:4,6 6371:1 | 6301:13 6331:2 | admitted 6352: | agree 6192:15 |
| 6258:1,18 | accept 6251:23 | 6331:21 6344:13 | advancement | 6216:25 6261:19 |
| 6259:25 6263:18 | 6289:16 6308:22 | 6345:21 6352:9 | 6367:19 | 6271:22 6283:17 |
| 6266:6,6 6269:17 | 6310:7 6318:24 | 6352:20 6354:12 | advancing 6322:12 | 6283:22 6284:8 |
| 6270:8,24 6275:6 | 6330:10 6367:4 | 6360:11 6361:17 | advantage 6186:1 | 6296:18 6324:5 |
| 6278:12 6280:1 | acceptable 6264:16 | 6368:17 | 6228:1 | 6326:1 6337:11 |
| 6284:22 6285:14 | 6294:9 6313.18 | AC/DC 6243:6 | advantages 6275:18 | 6343:21 |
| 6286:6,7 6289:9 | accepted 6313:18 | add 6190:6 6194:1 | 6291:14 | agreed 6353:19 |
| 6290:3 6292:8 | access 6280:14 | 6194:10 6253:3 | adverse 6351:25 | agreeing 6316:17 |
| 6294:8,13,17,19 | 6327:15,17 | 6267:10 6270:7 | 6358:8,10,22 | agreement 6199:17 |



| Page 6378 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| assumptions 6248:5 | Bachelor 6177:1 | 6373:12 | 6324:2,6,11,19 | 6332:22 6336:16 |
| 6248:11,11 | back 6175:1,14 | BCP-15 6162:19 | 6325:13,18 | 6337:8,13 |
| 6299:6 6359:14 | 6176:4,10 | 6373:14 | 6326:6,22 6327:9 | 6344:21 6356:1,2 |
| 6359:15 6363:16 | 6177:23 6185:17 | ВСР-16 6162:21 | 6327:19 6328:6 | 6361:23 |
| assures 6296:15 | 6187:13,17 | 6373:16 | 6328:18 6329:17 | belabour 6311:8 |
| Atlantic 6208:25 | 6188:2,13 | ВСР-17 6162:23 | 6329:25 6330:6 | believe 6214:12 |
| 6231:17 | 6190:11 6191:17 | 6373:19 | 6330:12,20 | 6228:19 6276:16 |
| atmospheric 6223:6 | 6194:2 6203:24 | BCP-8 6162:8 | 6332:15,19 | 6277:1,8 6284:3,5 |
| Atomic 6177:25 | 6204:24 6205:13 | 6372:25 | 6333:21 6334:2,9 | 6284:15 6315:11 |
| 6178:4,15 | 6207:16 6216:2 | ВСР-9 6162:9 | 6334:13,16,23 | 6339:15 6343:7 |
| attached 6169:25 | 6218:2,8 6219:1 | 6373:2 | 6335:6,14 6336:1 | 6347:19 6352:6 |
| 6170:2 6340:11 | 6226:3 6229:12 | BCP13 6372:11 | 6336:9,22 6337:4 | believes 6175:10 |
| attachment 6308:3 | 6230:14 6243:3 | BCP15 6372:13 | 6337:18,23 | Belle 6238:12 |
| attempted 6287:18 | 6243:10 6247:16 | bear 6235:1 | 6338:2,9,13,17 | 6239:6 6331:19 |
| attendance 6176:16 | 6249:14 6251:22 | bearings 6186:15 | 6339:7 6340:12 | below 6335:5 |
| attended 6177:3 | 6258:12 6271:2 | beat 6192:2 | 6340:14 6343:1,6 | 6337:2 6352:19 |
| 6178:16 6303:9 | 6271:12 6272:20 | beautiful 6245:20 | 6343:12,15 | bending 6329:13,14 |
| attention 6234:24 | 6290:7 6292:1 | beauty 6245:23,23 | 6344:20 6352:5 | 6334:25 |
| 6311:20 6355:5 | 6293:11 6301:6 | became 6177:13 | 6353:3,15 6354:5 | beneath 6316:6 |
| August 6200:9 | 6308:10 6332:22 | 6179:18 | 6356:21 6358:18 | benefit 6228:1 |
| 6209:16 6355:1 | 6340:25 6344:14 | become 6367:1 | before 6165:8 | 6255:4 6270:17 |
| Australia 6234:3,6 | 6351:3 6356:18 | becomes 6193:8 | 6169:14 6172:15 | 6273:9 6298:2 |
| 6234:7 6275:23 | background | 6360:19 | 6180:4 6181:9 | 6336:5 |
| 6336:13 6370:8 | 6176:10,12 | becoming 6233:10 | 6189:5 6190:22 | benefits 6181:7 |
| 6370:16 | 6229:17 6233:12 | Beddome 6159:19 | 6194:12 6195:13 | 6359:1 |
| Australian 6276:8 | 6243:23 | Bedford 6159:8 | 6204:2,7,25 | beside 6337:11 |
| authored 6188:17 | back-up 6292:16 | 6161:2 6164:3 | 6218:10 6229:7 | 6339:8 |
| authority 6303:11 | 6296:9 | 6165:15 6166:23 | 6244:10 6246:9 | best 6171:5 6175:8 |
| automatic 6198:21 | bad 6295:16 | 6171:22,24 | 6250:16 6255:8 | 6244:12 6295:4,7 |
| auxiliary 6364:25 | Baltic 6241:22 | 6282:24 6283:1 | 6255:14 6271:21 | 6303:6 6307:18 |
| availability 6264:16 | barge 6243:11 | 6283:23 6284:8 | 6273:20 6281:9 | 6317:17 6318:1 |
| available 6168:23 | base 6294:14 | 6284:13,18 | 6286:17 6287:4,9 | 6339:9 6358:7 |
| 6235:23 6239:8 | based 6209:19 | 6285:1,10,18,20 | 6295:12 6303:13 | 6375:10 |
| 6239:11 6261:25 | 6222:17 6244:6 | 6286:2,11 6287:3 | 6310:24 6315:1 | bets 6171:15 |
| 6268:13,14 | 6247:1 6248:7 | 6287:9,16,21 | 6332:16 6340:15 | better 6182:3 |
| 6277:16,20 | 6267:8 6269:2 | 6288:3,6,19 | 6340:22 6348:12 | 6195:20 6237:1 |
| 6278:21 | 6316:11 6323:4 | 6289:11 6291:10 | 6350:3,7 6359:14 | 6247:14 6277:8 |
| average 6192:4 | 6323:24 6345:25 | 6292:11,24 | 6360:2 6363:3,5 | 6280:15 6311:6 |
| 6349:9 | 6346:11,16 | 6293:12 6294:1 | 6364:12 6369:25 | 6317:20 6319:6 |
| avoid 6258:24 | basic 6209:12 | 6294:16,25 | 6371:12 6374:8 | between 6177:18 |
| 6264:2 6280:9 | basically 6233:11 | 6296:4,22 | beg 6359:12 | 6191:3 6196:7 |
| avoided 6170:16 | 6241:13 | 6297:21 6298:14 | begin 6229:6 6311:2 | 6204:16 6213:1 |
| avoiding 6280:7 | basis 6244:20 | 6299:5,13,25 | beginning 6258:13 | 6214:23 6215:4 |
| awards 6331:10 | 6262:23 6298:9 | 6301:1 6304:14 | 6331:16 6347:2 | 6216:21 6234:3 |
| aware 6175:21,23 | basket 6185:19 | 6304:22 6305:14 | begins 6346:18 | 6237:8 6238:10 |
| 6335:22 6344:15 | Basslink 6234:2 | 6306:1,9 6307:2 | 6347:10 | 6241:23,25 |
| away 6203:12 | 6245:17 | 6307:19 6308:12 | behalf 6171:23 | 6242:13,18,24 |
| 6216:11 6217:18 | batteries 6295:18 | 6309:7,15,19 | 6299:16 | 6245:9 6256:14 |
| 6218:5 6308:22 | Bay 6278:10 | 6310:5,24 | being 6172:21 | 6286:4,14,23 |
| 6369:14 | 6331:23 | 6311:19 6312:1,6 | 6173:11 6185:25 | 6304:15 6313:4 |
| awful 6258:25 | ВСР 6372:6,7 | 6312:11,22 | 6188:19 6213:4,7 | 6331:1,11,12 |
| A's 6190:16 | BCP-10 6162:11 | 6313:2,15 | 6215:22 6224:24 | 6332:12 6344:9 |
| a.m 6165:2 6175:19 | 6373:4 | 6314:22 6316:4 | 6234:12 6237:3,5 | 6367:24 6370:4 |
| 6175:20 6229:10 | BCP-11 6162:13 | 6316:16,23 | 6243:11 6262:24 | 6370:17 |
| 6229:11 6374:13 | 6373:6 | 6318:5,23 | 6264:9 6267:15 | beyond 6193:18 |
|  | BCP-12 6162:15 | 6319:23 6320:17 | 6269:3 6272:8 | 6273:19 6323:16 |
| B | 6373:8 | 6320:21 6321:2 | 6280:7 6297:23 | 6335:20 |
| B 6183:20 6241:11 | BCP-13 6162:16 | 6321:11,15,21 | 6303:3 6310:19 | bid 6232:1 6279:22 |
| 6255:13 6308:8 | 6373:10 | 6322:9,15,19 | 6317:11 6322:24 | 6323:19,21 |
| 6359:20 | BCP-14 6162:18 | 6323:3,7,12,22 | 6327:2,3 6331:21 | bids 6279:22 |


| Page 6379 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| big 6190:3 6210:14 | 6214:10,19,19 | 6356:3,14 | 6182:15 6196:23 | BSs 6229:21 |
| 6210:25 6211:10 | 6215:3,12,18,19 | 6357:20 6359:7 | 6239:23 6260:10 | budget 6232:22 |
| 6212:1 6214:11 | 6215:19,24 | 6359:21 6360:9 | 6261:23 6310:19 | 6323:4,8 |
| 6220:7 6225:25 | 6216:6,12,15 | 6361:21 6362:4 | 6319:13 6347:18 | budgetary 6244:6,9 |
| 6226:20 6235:17 | 6217:1,7,10,11,12 | 6362:15 6363:2,8 | 6353:14 6356:19 | build 6179:7 6183:4 |
| 6243:11 6317:24 | 6217:18,19,20,21 | 6363:9,9,11,14,18 | 6356:22 6357:1 | 6183:9 6187:10 |
| 6328:16 | 6218:4,7,25 | 6364:1,3,3,6,17 | 6357:13,16 | 6187:12 6188:9 |
| bigger 6240:4 | 6219:13 6222:21 | 6364:17 6365:2,3 | bottleneck 6279:4 | 6190:14,19 |
| bill 6274:23 | 6222:21 6223:20 | 6365:18 6366:20 | bottom 6187:3 | 6195:3,20 6202:4 |
| billion 6194:6 | 6223:20 6225:4,5 | 6366:25,25 | 6188:22 6200:13 | 6205:2 6210:7 |
| 6196:23 6197:10 | 6225:6 6226:17 | 6367:5,14,21 | 6233:19 6234:8 | 6216:7 6227:8 |
| 6201:15,23 | 6226:25 6227:15 | 6372:4,23 | 6237:22 6260:1 | 6276:3 6283:8 |
| 6202:5,9,10,13,15 | 6227:24,25 | Bipoles 6178:2 | 6263:6 6265:7 | 6284:6 6285:4,23 |
| 6202:19 6204:4 | 6228:7,9,17 | 6179:8 6185:4 | 6268:16 6281:16 | 6290:9,12,13 |
| 6204:14,19,22 | 6229:2,14 | 6189:24 6190:9 | 6352:10,16 | 6292:14 6293:18 |
| 6249:25 6251:4 | 6230:13 6238:16 | 6193:9,20 | 6355:5 | 6306:4,23 6307:3 |
| 6253:1 6254:8,14 | 6242:25 6245:10 | 6194:17,24 | Boucher 6160:2 | 6307:8,13,16 |
| 6255:24,25 | 6246:5 6248:7 | 6284:1,14 | BPC 6372:5 | 6310:6,17 |
| 6256:12,16,17,17 | 6249:4 6250:14 | 6286:12 6287:18 | BPC-7 6162:6 | 6313:15,23,23 |
| 6256:19 6284:22 | 6250:14,15,21,21 | 6288:8,21 | 6372:23 | 6361:1,6 |
| 6285:14,21,22 | 6251:1,3,5,14 | 6292:14 6294:10 | BPC12 6372:10 | building 6210:19 |
| 6286:6,6,7 6306:8 | 6252:16 6255:21 | 6298:16 6300:18 | BP11 6372:10 | 6212:23 6215:23 |
| 6307:4 6361:5 | 6255:21 6256:14 | 6301:15 6303:1 | BP12 6372:10 | 6217:21 6250:21 |
| billions 6196:24 | 6256:24 6258:2 | 6304:20,23 | brag 6294:21 | 6264:12 6285:12 |
| 6303:19,21 | 6259:4,15,22 | 6306:18,20 | bragging 6294:19 | 6302:17 6305:18 |
| biological 6315:4 | 6263:19,25 | 6318:12 6319:1 | Brandon 6184:17 | 6306:2 6308:25 |
| Bipole 6158:6 | 6264:2,9,10,12,13 | 6320:7 6360:22 | 6221:9 | 6310:8 6316:1 |
| 6159:10 6162:6 | 6264:15 6265:13 | 6361:20 6363:19 | Brazil 6230:24 | 6319:7 6348:10 |
| 6164:2 6165:4,7 | 6265:18 6266:4 | bird's 6210:22 | break 6247:2 | buildings 6211:11 |
| 6170:4 6174:11 | 6266:24 6267:10 | bit 6209:8 6225:4 | 6260:23 6261:3 | built 6178:7,20 |
| 6178:6,16 6179:4 | 6267:12,17,20 | 6226:6 6261:13 | 6340:15,25 | 6186:22 6187:19 |
| 6179:15 6181:15 | 6268:1,2,5,5,6,23 | 6271:13 6315:3 | breaker 6199:4 | 6189:17 6209:4 |
| 6181:23 6182:23 | 6268:25 6269:7 | 6330:9 6333:1 | Brian 6159:3,11 | 6276:6 6300:19 |
| 6182:24 6183:4,5 | 6269:11,13,19,21 | 6374:11 | brief 6174:10 | 6318:25 6327:3 |
| 6183:6,8,10,13,21 | 6270:1,3,9,12,13 | bits 6212:6,19 | 6247:22 | bullet 6251:11 |
| 6183:23 6184:12 | 6270:13,17,22 | black 6214:24 | briefly 6324:9 | buoyant 6277:17 |
| 6185:14 6186:22 | 6271:23 6272:15 | blackouts 6248:13 | 6333:3 | burial 6241:2 |
| 6186:22 6187:11 | 6272:16,18,20,24 | blame 6234:18 | bring 6176:4 | burying 6316:11 |
| 6187:19 6188:6,7 | 6273:2,10 6274:9 | 6365:8 | 6180:15 6206:12 | bushing 6208:2 |
| 6188:8,8,10,11,12 | 6274:13,17 | blessing 6208:15 | 6207:18 6213:3 | business 6168:19 |
| 6189:11,13,16,18 | 6275:10,12 | blue 6191:20,22 | 6214:8 6217:11 | 6277:17 6287:14 |
| 6189:19,20,24,25 | 6283:8,10,19 | 6356:10 | 6217:12 6220:23 | 6300:8 6369:22 |
| 6190:2,13,14,15 | 6284:7,10,16 | board 6159:4 | 6234:23 6299:25 | 6374:10 |
| 6190:19,20 | 6285:5,12,24 | 6180:25 6197:6 | 6339:12 6356:3 | businesses 6293:3 |
| 6192:22,22,25 | 6286:13,17,21 | 6201:7 6330:18 | bringing 6175:14 | 6294:5 |
| 6193:2,4,4 | 6287:2,2 6289:5 | 6341:20 6343:25 | 6218:7 6254:4 | busy 6244:16 |
| 6194:16,25 | 6290:17,18 | 6344:10,16 | 6273:20 | 6279:13,19 |
| 6195:3,5,10,11 | 6292:15 6293:18 | 6345:20 | British 6231:7 | 6331:9 |
| 6196:3,11,14,14 | 6295:13 6300:18 | bodies 6316:6 | brought 6184:14 | buy 6210:9 6295:19 |
| 6196:25 6197:4,9 | 6304:4,4 6305:17 | body 6268:20 | 6232:22 6256:8 | buyer 6327:6 |
| 6197:11,13 | 6306:13 6307:3,7 | Boeing 6217:25 | 6259:16 6268:14 | Byron 6159:13 |
| 6202:1,3,4,6,6,23 | 6307:8,18 | 6218:5 | 6269:13,16,19 | B's 6190:17 |
| 6203:3,5,10,15 | 6308:13,23,24 | Bonneville 6214:1,3 | 6272:24 6274:13 | B4-101 6210:1 |
| 6204:3,10,13,23 | 6312:3 6313:23 | bonus 6374:11 | 6275:1 6289:6 |  |
| 6205:2,3,11,11 | 6314:18 6318:8 | booked 6278:4 | 6296:6 6308:14 | C |
| 6206:20,24 | 6318:18,21,21,25 | 6330:22 | 6312:3 6315:24 | C 6183:20 6255:13 |
| 6207:3,12,18,18 | 6319:7,10 | booklet 6242:15 | 6323:8 | 6308:8 6359:20 |
| 6210:24 6211:2,6 | 6332:11 6341:16 | books 6332:1,3 | brown 6187:3 | cable 6183:18 |
| 6211:8,14,14,21 | 6347:19 6348:4 | Boston 6221:19 | 6204:1 | 6186:2,9 6203:1,3 |
| 6213:7,17 | 6348:10 6354:14 | both 6180:9 | Bruce 6159:6 | 6203:10,18 |


| Page 6380 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6217:2,6 6226:6 | 6241:18 6243:6 | capable 6240:1 | 6263:4 6265:20 | 6277:16 6280:19 |
| 6226:24 6227:4 | 6243:11,19 | capacity 6180:12 | 6267:11 6269:24 | 6287:17 6328:17 |
| 6227:11 6232:2,7 | 6245:10,21 | 6186:20 6211:22 | 6270:23 6275:22 | 6341:14,15 |
| 6232:18,25 | 6246:1,1,4,11 | 6212:13 6237:10 | 6299:15 6320:14 | 6344:4,7 6356:1 |
| 6233:9,10 | 6265:21 6275:19 | 6277:12 6278:25 | 6333:22 6338:3 | 6359:6 |
| 6234:10,15,22,24 | 6276:10,23 | 6279:2,8,12 | 6349:21 6358:2 | CERTIFICATE |
| 6235:14,17,21,22 | 6277:6 6280:2,24 | 6280:23 6298:6 | 6358:12,24 | 6375:1 |
| 6235:24,25 | 6312:18 6316:12 | 6308:9 6330:24 | 6359:17 6366:17 | certify 6375:7 |
| 6236:13,15,17 | 6322:20,25 | 6340:21 6352:9 | cases 6219:6 6249:8 | cetera 6178:18 |
| 6237:3,15,25 | 6325:7,12 | 6352:21 6353:3 | 6255:13 6325:2,3 | 6179:1 6335:24 |
| 6238:6,18 6239:5 | 6328:19 6333:13 | 6353:10,19 | 6335:23 | 6335:24 |
| 6239:10,10,11,12 | 6333:23 6334:1 | 6354:10,19 | catastrophe | Chairman 6159:2 |
| 6239:14,17,23 | 6367:10,11,13,20 | 6360:24 6362:5 | 6298:23 | 6165:3 6168:18 |
| 6240:6,14 | 6368:5,6 6369:18 | capital 6183:17,18 | catastrophic | 6168:21 6169:5 |
| 6241:10,17,19,19 | 6369:24 6371:7 | 6187:24 6196:13 | 6181:18 6182:17 | 6169:12,13 |
| 6241:20,23,25 | CAC 6372:18,19 | 6201:11,22 | 6192:11 6221:20 | 6171:20 6174:7 |
| 6242:1,2,4,6,15 | CAC-11 6162:24 | 6203:1 6354:16 | 6318:9 | 6175:16,21 |
| 6242:19,20,22 | 6373:21 | 6370:21 | Cathy 6159:5 | 6176:7,15 6187:9 |
| 6243:14,14,15,16 | calculated 6198:10 | car 6210:10 | cause 6220:3,17 | 6199:1,7 6205:23 |
| 6244:12,17 | 6260:9 | care 6168:19 | 6314:3,9 6338:11 | 6206:6,10,15 |
| 6245:4,24 6246:9 | calculation 6244:25 | 6174:12 | caused 6219:21 | 6229:12,15,18 |
| 6252:20 6256:4,5 | 6256:18 6335:7 | career 6176:22 | 6294:10 6299:7 | 6246:22 6247:10 |
| 6266:2 6275:15 | 6349:7 6357:18 | 6283:2 6318:6 | 6304:16,17 | 6247:14 6260:22 |
| 6275:24 6276:1,6 | calculations 6198:6 | careers 6283:3 | causes 6221:23 | 6261:1,8 6271:3,9 |
| 6278:15,18 | 6222:18,20 | careful 6316:8 | caution 6263:17 | 6282:21,22 |
| 6279:2,17,19 | 6254:25 6299:4,6 | carefully 6311:1 | CEC 6165:15,18 | 6340:14 6341:4 |
| 6280:5,8,17,19,22 | California 6289:24 | caribou 6162:3 | 6166:22 6167:1 | 6343:3,9 6359:5,8 |
| 6280:25 6281:9 | Californians 6290:2 | 6166:15 6168:12 | 6182:6,21,24 | 6365:10,12 |
| 6281:12,17,23 | call 6170:15,16 | Carolina 6231:8 | 6183:5,20 6184:9 | 6369:7 6370:6,11 |
| 6282:4,5,7,10,10 | 6176:25 6197:16 | carry 6209:13 | 6184:24 6185:11 | 6370:13,18 |
| 6289:8 6316:1 | 6197:23,25 | 6308:13 6311:9 | 6190:14 6192:19 | 6371:2,9,11,18,22 |
| 6322:5,16 6323:1 | 6230:5 6246:8 | 6312:2 6334:24 | 6192:25 6202:2 | 6374:2 |
| 6323:1 6324:7,13 | 6289:25 6297:18 | 6369:23 | 6254:14 6255:24 | Chairperson |
| 6324:15,24 | 6303:14,17 | carrying 6183:19 | 6256:2,5 6265:1,4 | 6341:19 |
| 6325:4,9 6326:4 | 6324:23 6346:22 | 6201:16,18 | 6339:22 6341:20 | challenge 6172:8 |
| 6326:14,15 | 6349:4 | 6204:6,14 6227:1 | 6360:10 6361:22 | 6266:19 6281:20 |
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| Charlie 6160:2 | 6298:19 6299:16 | 6191:13,24 | commercialize | 6361:12 |
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| described 6300:5 | 6228:22 6231:12 | distance 6226:4 | 6310:13,22 | doubled 6244:12 |
| description 6337:11 | 6231:22 6238:1 | distances 6326:4 | 6315:5 6318:6,22 | 6323:15 |
| design 6237:25 | 6266:25 6294:7 | distant 6230:8 | 6330:4 6332:6 | doubt 6309:11 |
| 6240:14 6242:17 | 6306:19 6308:17 | distinct 6194:24 | 6334:9 6338:23 | 6369:17 |
| 6243:7 6252:11 | 6310:10 6318:17 | 6195:4 | 6351:24 6357:17 | Doug 6282:25 |
| 6269:25 6300:22 | 6324:21 6337:9 | distress 6272:22 | 6360:17 6363:6 | Douglas 6159:8 |
| 6314:17 6368:18 | 6353:17 6360:15 | distributed 6172:7 | 6365:10 | down 6170:8 |
| designed 6175:8 | 6361:8 6363:22 | 6188:18 6361:19 | door 6170:15 | 6172:11 6184:11 |
| 6224:3,24 | 6368:16,18,20 | distribution | Dorsey 6161:3,10 | 6184:17 6200:1 |
| desirability 6301:21 | difficult 6174:18,20 | 6309:11 | 6165:17,25 | 6203:25 6209:4,5 |
| Desorcey 6159:14 | 6208:18 6209:9 | disturbances | 6166:24 6167:11 | 6212:10 6213:9 |
| 6341:14 | 6238:19,21 | 6265:25 6304:3 | 6179:8 6181:19 | 6213:11,13,18 |
| destroy 6318:10,13 | 6244:15 6245:3 | diversity 6180:7,10 | 6182:16,16 | 6216:3,7,12 |
| detail 6208:6 | 6262:16 6327:18 | divided 6230:18 | 6183:5 6184:10 | 6217:2 6218:1,11 |
| detailed 6268:7 | 6333:7 | divisions 6188:19 | 6185:1,13,15,16 | 6218:12 6220:4 |
| 6315:23 | difficulties 6213:19 | document 6294:4 | 6185:18 6186:16 | 6223:15,16 |
| detect 6313:3 | 6241:12 6245:7 | 6311:6 | 6186:18 6187:18 | 6224:8 6226:2,21 |
| deterioration | difficulty 6364:16 | documented 6193:3 | 6188:2 6189:16 | 6229:8 6231:8 |
| 6239:24 6240:4 | digest 6252:3 | 6248:19 6297:16 | 6189:24 6190:14 | 6249:23 6255:22 |


| 6257:24 6263:6 | 6256:12 6308:8 | 6236:24 6249:20 | 6295:20 | 6158:1 6159:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6269:19 6277:24 | 6353:21 6360:1 | 6257:13,25 | ends 6194:15,22 | 283:6,17,24 |
| 6281:13 6295:13 | 6363:7 | 6258:4 6262:7 | 6277:6 | 287:24 6315:2 |
| 6308:7 6311:21 | each 6197:21 | 6285:12 6292:15 | energy 6177:25 | 6345:6 |
| 6316:12 6318:21 | 6202:2,19 | 6293:25 6294:15 | 6178:4,15 | environmental |
| 6319:11 6323:18 | 6222:24,24 | 6318:25 6319:3 | 6180:25 6214:5 | 6161:21 6166:10 |
| 6328:12,13,14,15 | 6237:15 6245:10 | 6319:21 | 6243:3 6244:23 | 6168:4 6217:5 |
| 6331:8 6334:19 | 6262:2 6298:11 | EIS 6218:13 | 6292:20 6298:9 | 6265:25 6271:19 |
| 6349:12 6362:3 | 6325:6 6357:19 | 6354:12 | 6307:25 6313:10 | 6305:22 6314:25 |
| 6365:21 | EACP 6161:24 | either 6171:14 | 6327:5 | 6321:25 6335:17 |
| downburst 6 | 166:12 6168:7 | 6182:6 6191: | Eng 6181: | environmentalists |
| dozen 6185:15 | earlier 6170:20 | 6241:9 6245:2 | engage 6315:25 | 6246:20 |
| 6334:10 | 6175:23 6186:13 | 6292:11 6330:16 | engineer 6176:19 | equally 6326:22 |
| Dr 6164:2 6168:25 | 6187:18 6206:17 | 6335:3 6347:17 | 6177:11,13 | equipment 6199:21 |
| 6174:1 6186:7 | 6246:23 6264:1 | elaborating 6348:17 | 6178:1 6179:2 | 6201:11 6210:15 |
| 6229:16 6246:22 | 6275:14 6350:12 | Electranix 6231:15 | 6230:1,1,23 | 6211:2 6212:22 |
| 6260:25 6276:13 | 6364:11 6371:24 | electric 6177:4,20 | 6263:1 6289:17 | 6262:13 6283:10 |
| 6282:20 6312:16 | early 6171:14 | 6206:19 6208:17 | 6289:18 6291:3 | 6283:20 6305:7,9 |
| 6312:23 6316:11 | 6176:3 6212:4 | 6268:11 | 6292:24 6313:22 | 6312:7 6363:5 |
| 6316:17 6317:3 | 6225:8 6305:16 | electrical 6177: | 6359:4 6361:15 | 6364:25 6366:3 |
| 6320:22 6326:7 | 6352:18 6367:12 | 6229:22 6230:3 | engineered 6290:23 | equivalent 6185:13 |
| 6326:25 6328:18 | earth 6210:23 | 6259:8 6266:19 | engineering 6177:2 | 6185:21 6242:18 |
| 6329:19 6337:21 | 6316:6 6325:1 | 268:17 6281:9 | 6177:4 6178:11 | error 6255:20 |
| 6341:11 6369:9 | earthquake 6290:1 | 289:17,18 | 6178:11,12 | escalation 6244:1 |
| drain 6240:17 | 6290:7 | 6295:17 6313 | 6213:22 6229 | especially 6241:6 |
| draining 6238:5 | earthqua | 6322:22 | 30:2,6,17 | 6326:16 |
| draw 6316:7 | 6290:10 | electrica | 231:2 6304 | abl |
| drawing 6187:3 | ease 6280:1 | electricity 6293 | 6310:4 631 | establishin |
| 6234:21 6355:6 | asier 631 | 6294:20 | 6317:16,17,20,21 | estimate 6201:12,14 |
| dream 6225:16 | easily 6220:12 | electronics 6225: | 6318:1 6358:19 | 620 |
| 6300:5 6315:9 | 6265:24 6266 | elevation | 6358:23 | 244:14 6285:21 |
| drip 6223:10 | 69:23 6272:1 | eliminated 626 | engineers 6178:22 | 6285:23 6311:13 |
| drives 6308:3 | 6312:15 6354:6 | Elise 6159:7 | 6209:18 6214:15 | 623:4,23,24 |
| dropped 6179:24,25 | east 6187:20 6209 | eloquent 6358: | 30:4 623 | 6324:3 6333:22 |
| 6236:16 6257:18 | 6212:3,14 6228:5 | else's 6321:25 | 231:12 6291:12 | 6339:3 6346:24 |
| drops 6197:22 | 6228:7,15 6272:8 | embrace | 96:24 6297:22 | 34 |
| 6198:23 6293:7 | 72:19,21 | emerges 6325:4 | 6303:22 6304:19 | estimated 6197:8 |
| drought 6298:22 | 73:15 6290:14 | eminently 6175:7 | 6304:23 631 | 6198:4 6284:21 |
| due 6217:5 6225:24 | 291:24 6312:4 | emphasis 6242:9 | 6313:18 63 | 322:13 63 |
| 627:23 6259:7 | eastern 6273:14 | emphasized | 355:23 | 6349:24 |
| 6266:19 6276:20 | economists 6204:17 | 6213:16 | England 6178:16 | estimates 6175: |
| 6298:21 6318:3 | Edinburgh 6229:21 | employed 6177:9,25 | English 6206:19 | 285:15 6321:22 |
| duly 6375:5 | 320:24 | employee 6176:18 | enhanced 6161:19 | 621:23 6322 |
| duration 6199:15 | ducate 6295 | employer 6177:7 | 6166:5 6167 | estimation 6280:24 |
| 6281:14 | effect 6182:12 | empty 6211:10 | Ennis 6184:14 | et 6178:18 6179 |
| during 6178:15 | 6183:1,12 6184:2 | end 6165:6 6171:8 | Ennis's 6185:8 | 6335:24,24 |
| 6181:2 6183:14 | 6185:12 6195:24 | 6173:12 6176:23 | 6186:9 | Europacabel |
| 6200:17,21 | 197:1 6273:2 | 6177:6 6178:19 | Enns 6159:17 | 6242:8,20 |
| 6201:9 6206:21 | 6278:3 6289:20 | 6185:18 6194:17 | enormous 6315:17 | Europacable |
| 6207:21 6211:19 | 6293:17 6310:5 | 6197:7 6264:17 | 6319:7 | 6369:13 |
| 6230:24 6232:17 | 6345:10 6353:8 | 6266:21 6271:14 | enough 6184:6 | Europe 6242:21 |
| 6244:3 6247:2 | 6353:11 | 280:3,24 | 6199:20 6220:3 | 6327:6 6330:16 |
| 6248:13 6249:11 | effectively 6229:1 | 6281:18 6300:1 | 6222:3 6259:22 | evaluate 6358:14 |
| 6257:2 6277:9 | effects 6181:14 | 6312:8 6325:6 | 6336:24 6345:2 | even 6171:11 |
| 6281:23 6338:8 | 6259:15 | 6339:5,16 | 6367:20 | 6211:12 6227 |
|  | 6321 | 6360:17 637 | sure 6314:1 | 235:23 6256:11 |
| E | eggs 6185:15,19 | endanger 6229:4 | tertain 6176: | 266:17 6273:12 |
| E 6190:19 6202:9 | eight 6177:5 6196:6 | ended 6211:23 | entitled 6209:24,25 | 294:21 6296:24 |
| 6254:7 6255:13 | 6204:20 6218:20 | 6244:9 | 6253:13 | 6344:13 6369:22 |
| 6255:23 6256:2,6 | 6219:2,10 | ending 6177:6 | Environment | evening 6176:3 |


| 6311:7 | 6325:20 6326:24 | 6321:12,18 | 6177:16 6178:13 | favourable 6241:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| event 6182:17 | 6327:1 6370:7 | experience 6176:10 | 6188:1 6199:22 | favourites 6320:24 |
| 6200:11 6262:9 | excavations | 6176:12 6179:19 | 6213:10 6218:7 | 6320:25 |
| 6262:13 6273:24 | 6335:11 | 6209:9,13 6214:6 | 6221:16 6224:4 | feasibility 6282:16 |
| 6288:12 6296:9 | except 6194:15 | 6230:16,17 | 6226:10 6238:3 | feasible 6187:10 |
| 6298:14 6304:16 | 6216:16 6334:6 | 6231:10 6233:7 | 6238:24 6245:21 | 6284:1 |
| 6313:9 6316:23 | exception 6327:1 | 6235:7,8 6267:8 | 6255:9 6257:17 | February 6191:4 |
| 6318:9,12 6320:3 | 6330:5 | 6277:3,5 6320:8 | 6277:11 6279:9 | 6221:6 6349:19 |
| 6320:6 6357:9 | exceptional 6364:19 | 6325:14,18 | 6294:13,19 | 6350:21 |
| events 6201:3 | excess 6200:14,16 | 6332:8 | 6320:1 6325:10 | Federal 6178:5 |
| 6320:8 6351:25 | 6312:15 6352:9 | experienced 6173:4 | 6329:9,19 | FEDERATION |
| 6358:9,10,22 | 6352:13 6353:23 | 6252:10 6257:2 | 6334:19 6335:15 | 6159:15 |
| eventually 6208:10 | 6360:24 | 6319:24 | 6336:22 6367:25 | feeding 6227:25 |
| 6269:1 6274:13 | exchange 6180:7,10 | experiencing | 6368:14 6369:2 | feed-in 6272:19 |
| 6325:4 | exciting 6231:24 | 6298:20 | 6370:22 | feel 6171:16 |
| ever 6224:17 | exclusively 6325:19 | expert 6172:12,20 | factor 6225:1 | feeling 6227:19 |
| 6237:23 6249:10 | executive 6207:13 | 6172:21 6181:1 | 6277:22 6291:1 | 6245:2 6350:24 |
| 6329:20 6350:25 | 6207:22 6208:13 | expertise 6317:9 | 6321:19,19 | feels 6175:11 |
| 6368:22 | 6303:10,16 | experts 6170:8 | 6323:10 6324:16 | fellow 6230:2 |
| every 6192:13 | executives 6188:20 | 6171:10 6264:4 | 6333:22 6334:18 | felt 6175:24 |
| 6282:2 6349:25 | exhibit 6161:2 | explain 6191:18 | factored 6335:6,18 | 6207:16 6317:5 |
| everybody 6186:15 | 6162:2 6163:2 | 6211:13 | factories 6278:4,7 | Fennoskan 6237:6 |
| 6298:11 | 6166:22 6167:1,4 | explained 6277:9 | factory 6280:23 | 6237:7,8,12 |
| everyone 6171:24 | 6167:7,10,13,16 | explaining 6289:17 | 6368:9 | 6277:13 6279:2 |
| everyone's 6359:13 | 6167:19,23 | 6333:3 6363:17 | fail 6267:24 | few 6207:23,25 |
| everything 6199:21 | 6168:1,3,7,9,12 | exploit 6327:4 | failed 6269:8 | 6238:23,25 |
| 6225:10 6236:12 | 6168:15 6169:20 | export 6201:10 | 6337:24 6368:22 | 6239:1,3 6249:19 |
| 6242:4 6348:7 | 6372:23,25 | 6254:18 | failing 6300:12 | 6278:3,3 6312:17 |
| evidence 6168:23 | 6373:2,4,6,8,10 | exposed 6248:13 | failure 6181:18 | 6330:13 6341:5 |
| 6169:15 6170:1,3 | 6373:12,14,16,19 | expressed 6238:17 | 6192:15 6261:23 | 6368:23 6369:14 |
| 6170:13,18 | 6373:21,22,25 | 6260:18,20 | 6262:7,20 | fibre 6225:18 |
| 6171:4,18 | EXHIBITS 6161:1 | 6348:19 6356:7 | 6263:10 6265:11 | field 6276:20 |
| 6174:15,15,16 | 6162:1 6163:1 | expresses 6275:6 | 6267:16 6268:2 | 6332:8 |
| 6175:9 6176:1 | exist 6288:10 | extend 6184:22 | 6289:7 6338:11 | FIET 6230:5 |
| 6187:22 6205:21 | 6330:16 6337:15 | 6227:23 6308:7 | 6356:22 6357:4,5 | Figueres 6337:6 |
| 6247:17 6261:14 | existed 6208:21 | 6341:15 | 6357:8 | figure 6184:4 |
| 6266:8 6273:24 | existence 6275:16 | extended 6186:12 | fair 6200:19 6262:4 | 6188:14,21 |
| 6311:21 6320:15 | 6298:7 6355:11 | 6193:5 6360:18 | 6294:1 6344:4,7 | 6189:3,4 6191:9 |
| 6341:21,21 | existing 6183:13 | 6360:20 | 6345:2 6358:6 | 6192:18,18,20 |
| 6348:24 6354:4 | 6187:4 6188:23 | extensions 6360:16 | fairly 6236:14,19 | 6194:12,23 |
| evident 6258:23 | 6189:2 6216:14 | extensive 6213:18 | 6238:14 6244:1 | 6197:20 6201:24 |
| exacerbate 6228:6 | 6263:25 6265:22 | 6248:12 | 6267:24 6293:19 | 6214:15 6248:22 |
| exacerbating | 6272:9 6273:7,15 | extent 6208:3 | 6324:11 6331:9,9 | 6251:9 6306:8 |
| 6267:21 | 6283:10,20 | 6237:4 6258:24 | 6333:17 | 6308:6 6340:20 |
| exactly 6213:12 | 6284:6 6289:5 | extra 6200:22,24 | Falls 6177:24 | 6345:3,4,5 6346:7 |
| 6306:9 | 6309:25 | 6266:2 6272:1 | familiar 6323:17 | 6346:8,25 |
| examination 6164:3 | exotic 6209:20 | 6370:21 | 6342:9 6344:10 | 6348:16,18 |
| examined 6183:14 | expanded 6211:22 | extrapolates | 6344:23 | 6349:8 6350:12 |
| Examiner 6375:16 | 6212:2 6340:21 | 6276:25 | familiarity 6342:8 | 6350:13,16 |
| 6375:20 | expansion 6340:19 | extreme 6245:23 | 6344:8 | 6351:18,20 |
| Examiners 6375:6 | expect 6210:10 | 6302:25 6304:2,5 | far 6229:19 6230:16 | 6352:4,8 6359:24 |
| EXAMINER'S | 6240:8 6314:15 | 6304:11 6318:19 | 6231:10 6318:11 | 6360:14 6365:25 |
| 6375:1 | expected 6191:21 | 6320:15 | 6322:5 6367:20 | figured 6212:4 |
| example 6211:15 | 6192:3 6198:11 | extremely 6248:13 | farmland 6276:5 | figures 6190:17 |
| 6213:4 6289:23 | 6363:5 | eye 6210:22 | 6281:24 | 6245:3,11 6285:8 |
| 6298:21,24 | expecting 6319:19 | e-mail 6169:24 | farmlands 6280:6 | 6345:16 6349:21 |
| 6320:14 6325:21 | expense 6226:9,11 |  | fashion 6170:14 | file 6172:1 6311:7 |
| 6336:15 6363:3 | 6319:7 6353:18 | F | fathom 6170:20 | 6342:14,16 |
| 6366:4 | expensive 6311:11 | facilities 6305:9 | faults 6217:6 | 6343:2 |
| examples 6293:21 | 6311:15 6321:8 | fact 6173:15 | favour 6180:13 | filed 6170:4 |


| Page 6387 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6205:21 6343:7 | five 6175:17 6183:3 | 6192:3,5 6197:5 | 6167:17 | 6254:4 6257:25 |
| filling 6335:10 | 6187:12 6188:25 | 6198:11 6344:22 | frame 6253:16 | 6258:16 6259:18 |
| filters 6178:18 | 6218:24,25 | 6345:8,13,17,18 | France 6233:5 | 6259:20,23 |
| final 6161:16 | 6236:2,8,9,14,16 | 6345:22 6346:1,4 | 6245:9 6246:7 | 6262:3 6263:2,9 |
| 6166:6 6167:20 | 6236:25 6242:2,5 | 6346:10,12,17,23 | 6326:12 | 6265:10,13,18,22 |
| 6338:9 6371:13 | 6242:6,13 | 6349:23,24 | frankly 6321:5 | 6269:7,9,18 |
| finalized 6196:4,6 | 6251:18,24 | forecasting 6344:6 | freely 6352:5 | 6270:4 6271:16 |
| finally 6183:23 | 6277:9 6295:5 | 6344:9 | freeze 6327:22 | 6272:8,20,25 |
| 6269:13 6312:11 | 6320:9 6327:23 | forecasts 6174:19 | 6336:23 | 6273:11 6274:8 |
| financial 6197:5 | 6334:4 6335:1,3 | 6198:10 6344:18 | freezing 6221:23 | 6275:20 6276:9 |
| find 6193:12 | 6369:17 6370:4 | forefront 6181:17 | 6222:4 6223:8,11 | 6285:3,8 6289:15 |
| 6202:14 6208:7 | 6370:23,24 | foregoing 6263:16 | 6223:14 | 6289:24 6292:20 |
| 6213:12,24 | fix 6319:21 | 6375:7 | frequencies 6266:7 | 6295:15 6296:11 |
| 6220:23 6228:21 | fixed 6216:1 6226:9 | forest 6161:14 | frequency 6198:23 | 6297:13,15 |
| 6239:3 6248:22 | 6261:22 6273:19 | 6166:4 6167:17 | 6199:12 6295:18 | 6298:4 6301:2 |
| 6268:9 6316:17 | flagged 6258:7 | 6219:13,16 | frequent 6295:15 | 6304:18 6305:19 |
| 6330:23 6331:18 | flashover 6220:17 | 6220:2,2 | friend 6353:3,15 | 6306:3,5 6307:21 |
| 6338:22 6343:11 | flashovers 6208:2,5 | forget 6209:24 | 6358:17 | 6307:25 6308:2,5 |
| 6345:25 6368:21 | 6220:19 | forgetting 6278:11 | Friesen 6159:11 | 6310:8 6312:13 |
| finding 6264:22 | flavour 6237:4 | forgive 6341:12 | 6169:2 6174:1 | 6315:10 6317:3 |
| findings 6193:12 | flexibility 6225:15 | form 6169:25 | 6341:10 | 6317:19 6320:1 |
| finds 6299:14 | 6290:24 | 6177:1 6324:21 | from 6161:8,10 | 6321:21 6323:5 |
| 6308:15 | flexible 6235:4,12 | formed 6178:10 | 6162:21 6165:23 | 6323:19 6325:4 |
| fine 6252:12,15 | 6235:13 6333:6 | 6221:19 6240:17 | 6165:25 6166:11 | 6327:5,6 6328:23 |
| 6297:10 | 6333:11,13 | forms 6309:10 | 6167:8,11 6169:1 | 6329:13 6331:23 |
| finished 6189:7 | flicker 6267:21,21 | forth 6202:17 | 6169:24 6171:12 | 6333:7,12 6337:6 |
| 6331:17 | flickering 6267:19 | 6315:15 6335:18 | 6172:17 6173:16 | 6337:20 6340:20 |
| finishing 6331:15 | flood 6319:25 | 6367:5 | 6174:12,21 | 6342:21 6344:1 |
| Finland 6237:9 | 6320:3,11 | Fortunately | 6175:6,10 | 6345:12,20,21 |
| fire 6217:12 | flooded 6180:3 | 6267:23 | 6176:20 6177:2,9 | 6349:23 6350:15 |
| 6220:14 6298:25 | flooding 6340:16 | forward 6173:22 | 6177:24,25 | 6352:18 6354:11 |
| fires 6220:16 | floods 6340:24 | 6214:8 6268:14 | 6178:7 6182:14 | 6357:1,15 |
| firm 6296:17,18 | floodway 6340:19 | 6271:24 6275:1 | 6184:2,15 | 6358:20 6361:4 |
| 6297:2 6298:8 | 6340:21 | 6288:23 6299:15 | 6185:10,11,21,22 | 6361:25 6364:12 |
| first 6159:20 6160:2 | flow 6228:8 6272:21 | 6308:14 6311:9 | 6185:23 6186:2 | 6368:20 6370:14 |
| 6169:16 6177:17 | 6273:25 6288:12 | 6315:25 6318:4 | 6187:13 6188:15 | 6372:15,18,20 |
| 6178:6 6179:3,21 | 6313:8 | 6323:9 | 6188:22 6189:8,9 | 6373:16 6374:4 |
| 6182:20 6183:3 | flowing 6293:3 | found 6197:8 | 6189:16 6191:12 | front 6245:18,18 |
| 6183:25 6184:16 | flows 6272:7 | 6285:17 6297:25 | 6192:3,6 6193:1 | 6247:17,19 |
| 6188:8 6192:18 | fluid 6238:2,5 | 6321:5 6336:12 | 6197:15 6198:13 | 6341:24 |
| 6194:23,25 | focused 6325:7 | foundation 6316:13 | 6198:18 6201:24 | frozen 6328:12 |
| 6219:17 6221:20 | folks 6213:11 | foundations | 6202:10 6203:17 | 6336:15 |
| 6221:22 6223:19 | 6228:19 6292:7 | 6262:16,24 | 6206:22 6207:4,8 | fuel 6262:14 |
| 6224:11 6230:8 | 6303:23 | four 6177:12 | 6207:23,24 | fulfilling 6304:6,6 |
| 6230:19 6231:23 | follow 6206:5 | 6235:24 6236:7 | 6208:23 6210:22 | full 6199:23 |
| 6237:23 6241:17 | 6258:2 6323:23 | 6236:16 6237:1 | 6211:17,18 | 6220:11,13 |
| 6244:6 6248:17 | 6365:2 | 6245:10 6277:8 | 6215:5,9 6216:18 | 6258:19 |
| 6258:19 6261:15 | followed 6182:22 | 6278:7,7 6279:9 | 6217:1,18,25 | fully 6242:14 |
| 6266:13 6271:14 | 6286:5 6344:5 | 6279:10,11 | 6218:5 6219:5 | 6282:12 6324:5 |
| 6283:5,16 | following 6181:10 | 6280:22 6295:5 | 6220:16,19 | 6330:21 6332:4 |
| 6293:18 6300:7 | 6184:21 6186:12 | 6299:22 6311:21 | 6221:6,22 | 6332:22 6369:24 |
| 6300:14 6301:2 | 6232:10,11 | 6312:25 6321:12 | 6222:20 6223:19 | function 6182:11 |
| 6302:9 6320:11 | follows 6184:16 | 6321:18 6327:23 | 6223:20,21 | 6221:24 6224:7 |
| 6343:23 6364:17 | foolish 6288:22 | 6333:19,21 | 6227:7,21 | functionable |
| 6365:2,16,17,17 | foot 6274:23 | 6334:3,6,7,8,10 | 6229:21 6230:9 | 6215:25 |
| 6365:20,23 | forces 6220:4 | 6335:8,11 6371:7 | 6232:5,16,20 | functional 6264:1 |
| firstly 6248:1 | forecast 6187:25 | fourth 6253:21 | 6235:22 6236:16 | 6289:5 |
| 6261:15 6268:16 | 6190:5 6191:8,9 | 6278:11 | 6237:21 6239:4 | functionally 6213:2 |
| fitting 6235:20 | 6191:10,21,21,23 | fragmentation | 6246:7 6251:22 | functioning 6264:14 |
| 6277:24 | 6191:24,24 | 6161:14 6166:4 | 6252:5,21 6253:1 | 6291:23,25 |


| Page 6388 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| functions 6224:7 | Gibbons 6159:4 | 6363:7,10 | 6364:8,13 6367:6 | groups 6231:12 |
| 6300:10 | 6359:11,12 | 6365:15 6367:14 | 6367:21 6371:4,8 | growth 6179:24,25 |
| funding 6178:6 | 6360:7,13 | 6367:15 | 6371:15 | 6179:25 6190:5 |
| further 6186:8 | 6361:14 6362:6 | goes 6173:8 6182:16 | gone 6171:13 | 6192:4 6345:12 |
| 6187:22,25 | 6362:12,16,21,25 | 6184:11,15,17,17 | 6238:14 6343:7 | guess 6178:13 |
| 6249:23 6256:7 | 6363:15,21 | 6184:20 6185:2 | $\boldsymbol{g o o d} 6176: 14$ | 6180:7 6189:14 |
| 6270:15 6272:5 | 6364:10,16 | 6191:12 6196:5 | 6179:14 6192:25 | 6190:8 6191:6 |
| 6298:18 6299:7 | 6365:4,8,11,15 | 6200:18 6203:24 | 6193:3,5 6200:4 | 6201:5,13 |
| 6340:12 | give 6204:25 6237:4 | 6223:24 6241:23 | 6213:10,21 | 6204:16 6218:14 |
| future 6215:1 | 6253:18 6256:17 | 6254:16 6258:12 | 6218:17,18 | 6246:12 6249:1 |
| 6227:15 6270:19 | 6306:24 6335:21 | 6266:21 6268:1 | 6219:7,7 6220:10 | 6257:10,23 |
| 6344:22 6345:9 | 6347:4 | 6270:14 6323:18 | 6225:17 6233:2 | 6262:11 6280:6 |
| 6345:18 6355:7 | given 6204:10 | 6337:2 6362:3 | 6235:21 6236:25 | 6297:6 6299:4 |
| 6356:2 | 6249:1 6296:12 | going 6168:22 | 6238:4 6239:18 | 6342:24 6362:1,6 |
|  | 6303:9 6311:21 | 6169:17 6170:13 | 6241:6 6244:19 | 6366:23 |
| G | 6326:24 6336:10 | 6170:25 6171:4,5 | 6244:21 6261:1 | gun 6170:15 |
| G 6164:2 6188:18 | 6336:22 6337:11 | 6171:7,13 | 6276:4 6282:25 | guys 6233:18 |
| Gaile 6159:17 | gives 6338:25 | 6175:17 6176:21 | 6291:8 6304:7,8,8 | 6365:22 |
| gain 6214:5 | 6361:9 | 6179:15,17 | 6320:21 6325:21 |  |
| gamut 6231:25 | Gloria 6159:14 | 6181:25 6184:5 | 6335:13 6341:7,8 | H |
| garden 6371:16,18 | go 6169:12 6170:9 | 6186:14 6187:17 | 6342:8 6352:20 | Halden 6232:17,20 |
| Garland 6159:12 | 6172:11,12,16,18 | 6190:1,17,23 | 6358:19,22 | half 6189:13 6211:5 |
| gas 6194:10 | 6174:2 6176:17 | 6191:16 6192:2,8 | Google 6210:23 | 6223:25 6235:11 |
| 6307:15 6308:1 | 6176:25 6179:6 | 6193:6,10,13,24 | Government 6178:5 | 6237:15 6242:2,5 |
| 6354:6,19 | 6179:10 6181:25 | 6194:9,9,13 | grade 6311:2 | 6242:6 6312:25 |
| gather 6292:13 | 6184:8,9 6186:3 | 6195:12,15 | graduated 6206:18 | 6331:22 |
| 6323:23 6326:9 | 6187:12 6188:2 | 6196:17 6197:19 | graduating 6176:20 | Hampton 6229:25 |
| 6337:19 | 6188:13 6189:1 | 6198:14 6199:13 | Graham 6168:25 | hand 6185:21 |
| gave 6293:21 | 6189:16 6190:12 | 6199:24 6201:2,4 | 6169:8,9 | handful 6330:16 |
| 6309:4 6370:7 | 6191:6,17 | 6201:19 6203:8 | grandfather's | handle 6224:3 |
| general 6177:3 | 6192:17 6196:22 | 6206:8 6212:5 | 6296:7 | 6257:8 6269:10 |
| 6285:10 | 6200:2 6202:2,21 | 6213:18 6217:19 | graph 6209:16 | 6279:23 6283:21 |
| generally 6239:11 | 6204:24,25 | 6217:20 6219:13 | 6210:4 6352:10 | 6288:17 6305:4 |
| 6294:25 6314:25 | 6205:5,13 6206:2 | 6220:2 6222:14 | 6362:2 | handled 6198:16 |
| 6323:19 6333:8 | 6208:6 6212:9,20 | 6223:9,10 | graphs 6299:19 | handles 6332:20 |
| 6334:11 | 6213:11,25 | 6224:12 6225:4 | 6352:12 6360:17 | handling 6281:23 |
| generate 6224:7 | 6215:9 6216:11 | 6229:4 6231:17 | grasped 6355:19 | hanging 6265:3 |
| 6326:10 | 6217:13 6218:11 | 6235:25 6238:10 | grassland 6246:8 | happen 6188:11 |
| generating 6276:2 | 6220:21 6222:9 | 6239:16 6242:22 | gravity 6263:18 | 6189:23 6218:3 |
| 6298:24 | 6227:16 6228:7 | 6245:8,14 | great 6208:2 | 6222:5 6223:4 |
| generation 6177:13 | 6228:20 6233:13 | 6249:22 6250:18 | 6211:15 6226:20 | 6228:11 6231:19 |
| 6179:19 6180:18 | 6240:11,21 | 6251:24 6260:10 | 6277:15 6319:15 | 6240:4 6259:1 |
| 6181:3 6269:19 | 6241:3,18 | 6272:5 6273:22 | greater 6202:17 | 6297:8 6350:21 |
| 6298:21 6308:1 | 6244:16 6247:5 | 6280:8,9 6282:4,6 | 6226:22 6261:18 | happened 6192:13 |
| 6354:19 | 6247:21 6249:14 | 6288:23 6290:3 | 6266:16 6318:11 | 6201:3 6240:19 |
| generations | 6250:16,19 | 6291:7 6294:14 | 6324:25 | 6289:2 6320:3 |
| 6239:21 6255:9 | 6251:22 6259:17 | 6295:20 6298:3 | greatest 6270:16 | happening 6191:2 |
| generator 6209:6 | 6267:20 6274:11 | 6300:21,24 | green 6159:4,18 | 6219:9,24 |
| 6354:7 | 6290:20 6301:22 | 6305:7 6306:21 | 6184:6,18 6185:2 | 6223:19,21 |
| gentlemen 6206:16 | 6302:18 6304:13 | 6307:21 6310:3 | 6252:3,7 | 6268:1 6278:24 |
| 6229:19 6301:16 | 6306:22 6310:3 | 6310:25 6314:3 | grew 6275:25 | 6305:13 |
| 6339:8 | 6310:25 6313:10 | 6316:12,14 | grid 6208:23,25 | happens 6216:21 |
| geographic 6259:9 | 6315:9 6316:23 | 6319:11,13,17,21 | 6303:11,17 | 6268:9 6305:6 |
| 6310:10 | 6318:19,21 | 6326:4,19 | ground 6219:18 | 6333:3 6366:15 |
| geometry 6243:19 | 6319:19 6322:6 | 6336:21 6341:13 | 6220:17 6241:1,2 | happily 6343:14 |
| Germany 6241:23 | 6322:12 6330:10 | 6344:14 6346:5 | 6327:21 6328:3 | happy 6245:2 |
| getting 6173:12 | 6335:16 6343:3 | 6348:5,6,12 | 6328:12 6332:21 | 6296:5 |
| 6205:25 6222:16 | 6347:17 6357:14 | 6350:7 6353:16 | 6336:23 | hard 6171:17 |
| 6224:25 6291:2 | 6357:15 6359:15 | 6353:17 6354:17 | group 6178:21 | harder 6224:10 |
| 6364:23 | 6360:11 6361:10 | 6361:20,21,22 | 6304:19 | having 6173:3 |


| Page 6389 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6185:19 6189:10 | 6359:21 | hoses 6371:16,18 | 6253:12 6254:15 | 6222:1,8,12 |
| 6208:12 6212:10 | Henday 6179:7,11 | hour 6170:18 | 6254:16 6255:10 | 6223:14,18 |
| 6214:6 6249:24 | 6179:16 6273:11 | 6223:1 6260:23 | 6255:18 6258:7 | 6224:10,10,13,17 |
| 6256:25 6283:12 | her 6169:3 6341:15 | 6295:23 6346:12 | 6258:10 6259:3 | 6224:18,20,22,25 |
| 6283:13,13 | hereinbefore | 6347:14 | 6260:9,17 | 6229:1,3 6302:22 |
| 6298:1,11 | 6375:9 | hours 6170:9 | 6261:16,21 | 6303:2 6304:16 |
| 6301:14,20 | heritage 6161:25 | 6173:1,10 | 6262:5,12 6263:7 | 6351:11 |
| 6304:17 6306:18 | 6166:13 6168:9 | 6297:12 6336:11 | 6263:13,15,18 | icebergs 6238:20 |
| 6313:7,16 | Hi 6170:2,6 | huge 6272:2 | 6264:4,18,20,22 | icing 6220:7,20,22 |
| 6333:23 6357:13 | high 6193:14,15 | 6315:16 | 6265:8 6266:6,13 | 6221:23,23 |
| 6361:8 6362:8 | 6207:14 6209:17 | human 6173:11 | 6268:18 6270:12 | 6223:21,23 |
| 6367:5 | 6220:17 6222:1,3 | 6304:17 6340:7 | 6271:7 6272:6,25 | 6224:1,2 6225:1 |
| head 6185:5 6364:1 | 6225:1 6229:23 | hundred 6203:22 | 6273:17 6274:6 | 6257:16,18 |
| headache 6225:5 | 6233:19 6241:20 | 6216:10 6226:22 | 6274:22 6275:6 | idea 6192:25 6195:9 |
| headed 6266:12 | 6249:6 6279:7,22 | HVdc 6178:1 | 6276:6,9,22 | 6200:4 6213:10 |
| heading 6248:2 | 6282:15 6290:21 | 6179:2,5 6182:15 | 6278:1 6282:23 | 6234:19 6235:22 |
| 6276:19 6280:22 | 6305:18 6306:2,4 | 6188:16 6208:9 | 6283:1,2,4 6285:7 | 6261:1 6291:9 |
| 6281:17 | 6306:15 6307:4 | 6214:15 6253:13 | 6286:8 6287:6,11 | 6298:10 6361:22 |
| heads 6297:24 | 6312:2,8,13 | 6267:2 6271:7 | 6287:18 6288:21 | 6362:7 |
| hear 6196:20 | 6313:8 6314:12 | 6275:24 6278:14 | 6297:17,23 | identical 6194:15 |
| 6206:13 6311:7 | 6325:16 6326:8 | 6278:18 6324:6 | 6300:3,7,8 6301:3 | 6369:1 |
| 6312:11 6369:15 | 6329:21 6332:5 | 6342:3,5 6361:3 | 6301:7 6302:2,9 | identify 6264:20 |
| heard 6218:20 | 6337:15 6367:13 | 6374:6 | 6302:13,16 | IEEE 6222:18 |
| 6279:20 6292:19 | higher 6238:7,7 | hydro 6159:8 | 6305:1 6306:21 | ignoring 6264:22 |
| 6313:13 6317:2,3 | 6240:16,22 | 6163:3,5 6170:12 | 6307:25 6308:13 | II 6178:2 6179:5,8 |
| 6319:6,23 | 6252:23 6267:3 | 6174:19 6175:22 | 6309:23 6310:3 | 6182:23 6183:4,8 |
| 6320:11 6334:16 | 6273:12 6321:17 | 6176:16,18 | 6310:12 6313:5 | 6183:13,21,23 |
| 6334:23 | 6322:23 | 6177:17 6178:14 | 6314:24 6317:22 | 6185:5,14 |
| hearing 6158:7 | highlight 6247:6 | 6178:20,23 | 6319:21 6324:13 | 6186:22,22 |
| 6170:21 6173:9 | highlighting | 6179:2,3 6181:6 | 6328:17 6330:5 | 6188:7,10,12 |
| 6175:19 6176:13 | 6355:17 | 6181:18 6182:6 | 6338:18 6339:5 | 6189:16,18,20,25 |
| 6201:7 6261:5 | highly 6334:14 | 6182:21,24 | 6339:10,12,23 | 6190:13 6192:22 |
| 6275:21 6287:8 | 6339:11 | 6183:7,20 6184:8 | 6342:16,17,19,22 | 6193:1,2,4,20 |
| 6341:2 6343:6,13 | him 6172:14 | 6184:19 6185:1 | 6343:1,4,13,20 | 6194:17,25 |
| 6344:15 6345:23 | 6175:15 | 6185:13,22 | 6344:9,23 6345:8 | 6195:10,11 |
| hearings 6180:24 | himself 6174:12 | 6187:7,12,24 | 6346:9,17,22 | 6196:11,14 |
| 6184:15 6315:1 | 6316:17 | 6188:15 6189:4 | 6347:2,10 6348:4 | 6197:9,11 6202:1 |
| 6335:17 | hire 6316:24 | 6190:16,24 | 6348:19 6349:22 | 6202:3,5,6 6204:3 |
| heat 6222:24 6223:5 | hired 6339:9 | 6191:22 6193:4 | 6350:25 6351:12 | 6204:13,23 |
| 6326:9 | historic 6352:24 | 6195:11,17 | 6351:13 6354:12 | 6205:2,11 |
| heated 6329:10 | historical 6345:17 | 6197:6,15 6201:3 | 6355:23,24 | 6207:12,19 |
| 6334:24 | historically 6245:21 | 6201:8,25 | 6360:8,13,14 | 6211:2,6,8,15 |
| heater 6329:6 | history 6221:18 | 6202:10,12 | 6361:21 6372:20 | 6213:7,17 |
| heaven 6329:5 | 6294:18 | 6203:20 6205:5 | 6373:22,25 | 6214:10,19 |
| heavens 6215:25 | hit 6310:20 | 6205:21 6207:2 | 6374:5 | 6215:18,19,24 |
| heavily 6182:17 | hits 6223:12 | 6207:24 6208:3,8 | Hydro's 6170:3 | 6216:6,15 6217:7 |
| heavy 6207:17 | hitting 6223:8 | 6208:11 6209:17 | 6175:3 6190:4 | 6217:12,18,19,21 |
| 6223:15 6228:5 | hold 6220:11 | 6209:22 6213:15 | 6199:16 6202:17 | 6218:4,13 6219:1 |
| heck 6294:15 | 6259:22 6282:10 | 6214:14,19 | 6204:21 6208:14 | 6222:22 6223:20 |
| hedge 6171:15 | hole 6211:10 | 6215:6,15 6216:9 | 6246:25 6261:21 | 6225:4,6 6226:17 |
| Heights 6328:11 | holiday 6332:12 | 6219:5 6220:5 | 6269:24 6274:24 | 6227:25 6228:7 |
| Held 6158:18 | Holland 6233:6 | 6221:21 6222:11 | 6276:18 6307:8 | 6228:17 6229:2 |
| help 6207:20 6228:9 | home 6177:24 | 6224:4,5 6226:10 | 6309:11 6320:14 | 6250:14,21 |
| 6277:15 6298:13 | 6230:15 6332:25 | 6226:17 6227:20 | 6344:17 6346:11 | 6251:3,5 6255:21 |
| 6314:5 6333:2 | homes 6293:4 | 6228:2 6234:5 | 6350:11 6358:1 | 6256:25 6263:20 |
| helped 6365:7 | 6294:6 6295:24 | 6236:7 6238:17 |  | 6263:25 6264:12 |
| helpful 6206:7 | hope 6320:13 | 6248:4,10,16,25 | I | 6264:13,15 |
| 6374:7 | 6374:3 | 6249:9,20 6250:2 | Ian 6159:22 | 6265:13,18 |
| helps 6298:11 | hopefully 6215:1 | 6250:3 6252:2,8 | ice 6220:10,25 | 6268:5 6270:13 |
| hence 6274:12 | 6305:12 | 6252:12 6253:8 | 6221:5,16,21 | 6270:18 6272:16 |


| Page 6390 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6272:20 6273:10 | 6287:2 6292:15 | 6239:17 6278:15 | indicates 6184:4 | instances 6239:22 |
| 6275:12 6284:2,7 | 6293:18 6300:18 | 6278:18 6282:12 | 6250:3 6261:16 | 6253:9 6326:3 |
| 6284:10,14,16 | 6305:17 6306:13 | 6323:2 6326:14 | 6263:14 | instant 6288:11 |
| 6286:12,13 | 6307:3,8,18 | 6332:5,9 | indication 6240:11 | instantaneously |
| 6287:2,19 6288:8 | 6308:13,24 | impregnation | 6251:11 6368:15 | 6297:8 |
| 6288:22 6289:5 | 6312:4 6313:23 | 6279:5 | indulgence 6171:7 | instead 6191:15 |
| 6290:18 6292:14 | 6314:18 6318:8 | improve 6207:20 | 6205:17 6359:13 | 6210:18 6238:1 |
| 6294:11 6298:16 | 6318:25 6319:8 | 6286:7 6329:22 | industrial 6182:18 | 6256:4 6272:16 |
| 6300:18 6301:15 | 6354:14 6356:3 | improved 6172:5 | industries 6242:21 | 6277:25 6351:18 |
| 6301:21 6304:4 | 6356:14 6359:7 | 6181:17 | industry 6235:18 | 6363:24 |
| 6304:20,23 | 6360:10 6361:21 | improving 6274:20 | 6240:7 6242:14 | institute 6221:18,19 |
| 6307:7 6308:23 | 6362:4,15 | inadequate 6227:22 | 6278:24 6282:18 | 6230:2,3 |
| 6318:12,18,21 | 6363:11 6364:1,3 | 6259:21 6274:12 | 6328:24 6333:15 | institutions 6230:4 |
| 6319:1,10 6320:7 | 6364:17 6365:3 | inadequately | 6337:1 6369:23 | insulator 6220:8,11 |
| 6347:20 6348:4 | 6366:20,25 | 6224:24 | Inelfe 6245:8 | insulators 6220:9 |
| 6360:22 6361:20 | 6367:1,5,14,21 | inch 6223:25,25 | inevitably 6173:11 | 6220:13,20 |
| 6363:2,8,18 | illustration 6301:5 | include 6182:7 | inexperience | 6224:2,21 |
| 6364:3,6,17 | immediate 6168:25 | 6299:20 6311:22 | 6288:21 | intelligent 6314:5 |
| 6365:2,18 | immediately | 6321:25 | infilled 6234:12 | 6314:11 |
| III 6158:6 6159:10 | 6216:25 6315:16 | included 6172:20 | inflation 6323:9,16 | intend 6247:8 |
| 6164:2 6170:4 | 6367:15,22 | 6179:20 6200:3 | inform 6292:9 | intended 6280:9 |
| 6174:11 6179:15 | Immigration | 6271:17 6321:24 | informally 6244:15 | intends 6250:2 |
| 6181:15 6182:24 | 6230:13 | 6322:7 6330:1 | information 6175:3 | interconnect |
| 6183:5,6,10 | impact 6219:20 | includes 6182:25 | 6213:25 6214:17 | 6187:17 6251:18 |
| 6184:12 6187:11 | 6228:14 6261:18 | 6202:15 6261:23 | 6324:20 6328:23 | 6356:5 |
| 6187:19 6188:7,8 | 6268:4,5 6271:20 | including 6172:9 | 6345:23,25 | interconnected |
| 6188:9,11 | 6275:5 6305:22 | 6182:7 6262:13 | 6364:13 | 6269:21 6314:1 |
| 6189:11 6190:2 | 6316:15,21 | 6323:9 | informative 6321:5 | interconnection |
| 6190:14,15,20 | 6366:20 | incorporated | informed 6289:12 | 6177:18 6180:5 |
| 6192:22 6193:4,9 | impacts 6229:2 | 6169:18 6208:11 | inheritance 6333:11 | 6181:4 6216:17 |
| 6194:16 6195:3 | 6281:24 | incorporating | 6333:11 | 6269:8,16 6270:3 |
| 6196:3,15,25 | imperfect 6289:18 | 6359:18 | initial 6276:17 | 6356:14,16 |
| 6197:4,13 6202:6 | impervious 6267:25 | incorrect 6258:10 | initially 6337:24 | interconnections |
| 6202:23 6203:3,5 | implementation | 6339:17 6340:1 | initiate 6300:11,16 | 6207:6 6214:23 |
| 6203:10,15 | 6273:5 6314:10 | 6357:6 | 6302:4 | interest 6201:17 |
| 6204:10 6205:3 | implementing | increase 6198:16,17 | injected 6228:5 | 6204:21 6238:18 |
| 6205:11 6214:19 | 6300:4 | 6228:23 6248:18 | inputted 6249:25 | 6315:6 6324:25 |
| 6215:4,12,19 | implication 6280:7 | 6252:15 6254:19 | inquiry 6182:21 | interested 6191:25 |
| 6217:11 6226:25 | implies 6274:7 | 6278:24 6279:8 | 6183:5 6184:9 | 6295:9 6362:7 |
| 6227:15,24 | import 6189:9 | 6323:15 6353:10 | 6190:14 6192:19 | 6368:1 |
| 6228:9 6230:14 | 6198:18 6200:22 | 6360:24 | 6192:25 6265:1 | interesting 6188:14 |
| 6246:5 6250:15 | 6248:18 6249:16 | increased 6354:10 | 6323:24 | 6204:5 6210:24 |
| 6250:21 6251:1 | 6254:19,24 | increasing 6256:11 | inscrutable 6170:17 | 6214:21 6232:24 |
| 6251:15 6252:16 | 6258:10,11,15 | 6273:10 6359:22 | inservice 6179:21 | 6233:7 6237:22 |
| 6255:21 6256:14 | 6292:19 6296:10 | incredibly 6315:21 | inside 6260:8 | 6237:24 6238:15 |
| 6258:2 6259:4,15 | 6297:1,5,13,19 | incur 6319:7 | insides 6212:17 | 6240:20 6241:22 |
| 6259:22 6264:2,9 | 6298:6 6354:19 | indeed 6275:11 | insignificance | 6242:16 6276:5 |
| 6264:10 6266:4 | important 6170:21 | 6344:15 6359:4 | 6319:15 | 6317:13 6326:23 |
| 6266:24 6267:10 | 6170:22 6174:14 | independent | insist 6245:4 | 6336:12 |
| 6268:2,6,23,25 | 6175:9 6207:10 | 6207:22 6344:24 | install 6368:6 | interests 6174:11 |
| 6269:7,11,13,20 | 6209:20 6215:21 | INDEX 6161:1 | installation 6239:5 | 6322:1 |
| 6269:21 6270:2,3 | 6222:9 6228:25 | 6162:1 6163:1 | 6244:1 6328:25 | international |
| 6270:9,13 | 6237:9 6291:5 | 6164:1 | 6338:8 | 6208:12 6209:19 |
| 6271:23 6272:15 | 6304:11 | indicate 6176:11 | installations 6239:3 | 6230:22 6268:11 |
| 6272:18,24 | imports 6308:2 | 6229:16 6250:4 | installed 6235:10 | 6296:12 6317:4 |
| 6273:2 6274:9,13 | impossible 6327:24 | 6261:19 | 6237:3,13 | internet 6220:24 |
| 6274:17 6275:10 | 6336:3 | indicated 6222:6 | 6243:15 6246:11 | interpret 6274:11 |
| 6283:8,10,19 | impregnant 6279:6 | 6246:23 6274:4 | 6280:19 6337:2 | interpretation |
| 6285:5,12,24 | impregnated | 6285:7 6296:11 | 6368:21 | 6355:12 |
| 6286:17,21 | 6234:22 6238:1,5 | 6319:12 | instance 6253:22 | interruptible |


| Page 639 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6199:9,10 | Italy 6230:25 | 6246:12 6249:22 | 6186:4,5,11 | 6291:11 |
| inter-connection | 6232:21 6243:24 | 6251:8,10,12 | 6203:4,12,18,20 | knows 6186:15 |
| 6274:9,15 | 6331:12 | 6255:11 6261:12 | 6203:22,25 | Korea 6278:13,14 |
| inter-provincial | item 6236:12 | 6276:13 6277:18 | 6216:11 6217:4 | 6330:17 |
| 6296:13 | 6258:13 | 6277:25 6278:13 | 6226:22 6232:7 | Korean 6332:11,12 |
| introduce 6168:22 | items 6311:11,15,21 | 6279:16 6309:4 | 6233:1 6234:11 | Kot 6375:5,19 |
| inventory 6218:18 |  | 6326:2 6328:1 | 6235:10 6237:11 | kV 6181:4 6184:16 |
| 6219:7 | J | 6330:9,13 6331:7 | 6242:2,5,6,24,25 | 6184:20 6187:12 |
| inverter 6228:17 | James 6159:19 | 6336:2 6337:14 | 6260:8 6265:24 | 6187:14,17,23 |
| inverters 6228:21 | Janet 6159:9 | 6339:6,14 | 6275:24 6279:3 | 6188:3,12 6207:7 |
| investigate 6274:25 | January 6191:3,5 | 6340:16 6341:1 | 6279:12,15,18 | 6214:22 6215:2,3 |
| involved 6178:10 | 6197:22 6203:22 | 6346:6,7 6347:7 | 6310:8 6326:20 | 6217:2 6224:8,19 |
| 6180:6 6207:11 | 6286:18 6287:23 | 6349:9 6350:14 | 6330:25 6331:11 | 6227:6,10,18,21 |
| 6293:22 6294:22 | 6288:3,4 6349:19 | 6354:5,23 | 6331:13,14,16,19 | 6227:23 6228:13 |
| 6315:14 | 6349:22 6350:20 | 6356:17,25 | 6337:8 6368:7 | 6232:18 6233:17 |
| involvement 6178:4 | Japan 6330:17 | 6357:23 6360:17 | 6371:6,7 | 6233:24 6234:9 |
| involving 6208:22 | 6331:21 6332:2 | 6363:25 6365:4 | kilovolt 6313:12 | 6234:14,22 |
| 6324:23 | Japanese 6278:16 | 6365:15,17 | kilowatt 6255:6 | 6235:12 6237:23 |
| inward 6355:6 | Jason 6159:16 | 6366:2,14,17 | kind 6242:14,21 | 6242:12,13,15 |
| in-feed 6266:11,12 | Jeju 6332:13 | 6367:8 6369:7,10 | 6335:20 | 6243:6 6245:17 |
| 6267:1,13,14,14 | Jenpeg 6298:25 | 6370:2 | Kingdom 6206:24 | 6246:10 6249:25 |
| 6267:22 6269:11 | Jersey 6336:19 | justified 6266:3 | 6303:9,13 | 6250:6 6251:18 |
| 6272:16 | job 6277:20 6288:7 | J-Power 6278:12,16 | kitty 6170:15 | 6251:21,23,24,25 |
| in-service 6285:4,12 | 6301:6 | 6332:1 | knew 6172:2 6252:8 | 6253:10 6254:6 |
| 6293:18 6315:8 | jobs 6178:23 |  | 6311:11 | 6254:18,23 |
| ionized 6220:16 | 6300:11 | K | knocked 6362:22 | 6259:18,20,23 |
| ironically 6205:23 | John 6160:3 | K 6370:13 | knocking 6362:23 | 6265:21,23 |
| irrelevant 6295:25 | Johnson 6159:5,9 | Kaplan 6159:3 | know 6169:2 6176:9 | 6268:23 6269:5,7 |
| IRs 6201:24 | 6165:11 6169:24 | Karen 6159:11 | 6180:10,10 | 6269:9,15,20 |
| 6284:25 | 6372:2 | 6169:2 | 6184:6 6189:1,2,7 | 6270:1 6271:15 |
| island 6236:3 | joined 6206:18 | Keating 6159:22 | 6191:9 6196:16 | 6272:23 6273:6 |
| 6241:16 6243:12 | 6207:2 6230:21 | keep 6195:16 | 6197:3 6213:20 | 6273:25 6274:1,1 |
| 6243:24,25 | 6230:22 6287:4 | 6217:8 6224:11 | 6215:5,19 | 6274:8,10,10,14 |
| 6244:2 6278:14 | joint 6356:22 | 6224:18,20,21,22 | 6217:20,23 | 6275:15 6278:18 |
| 6326:19 6332:12 | 6357:8 | 6240:19 6291:23 | 6219:17,24,25 | 6279:19 6295:12 |
| 6336:19 | joke 6230:15 | 6299:15 6318:18 | 6223:17 6240:10 | 6309:18 6313:14 |
| islands 6245:25 | July 6200:8 | 6332:21 6348:10 | 6240:24 6244:18 | 6313:25 6314:2 |
| Isle 6238:12 6239:6 | June 6200:8 | keeping 6181:16 | 6246:10 6249:19 | 6314:11,19 |
| 6331:19 | just 6171:15 6173:8 | 6216:14 6243:20 | 6251:20 6252:23 | 6326:13,16,21 |
| issue 6215:5 | 6173:10 6174:9 | 6344:12 | 6252:25 6253:6 | 6332:4,11 |
| 6216:13,20 | 6176:11 6178:20 | Keewatinoow | 6256:3 6260:12 | 6338:24 6355:7 |
| 6225:21 6227:18 | 6180:2,15 | 6366:21 | 6262:25 6282:7 | 6355:10,25 |
| 6228:9 6249:24 | 6181:11 6184:22 | Keeyask 6366:21,24 | 6292:3,6 6299:13 | 6356:5,11,15 |
| 6258:8,18 6265:3 | 6186:14 6188:6 | 6367:1 | 6301:12 6302:15 | 6359:19,25 |
| 6266:11 6268:12 | 6189:17 6190:11 | Ken 6159:4 | 6302:20 6305:5 | 6360:4,6,12 |
| 6268:21 6272:6 | 6191:17 6192:9 | kept 6352:15 | 6305:12 6309:8,8 | 6361:2,4,6,11 |
| 6273:14 6279:25 | 6192:21 6193:13 | key 6193:12 | 6310:2 6318:18 | 6367:13,13 |
| 6289:10,11 | 6195:6,10,16,16 | 6224:25 6264:11 | 6318:20 6319:16 | 6368:2 6369:13 |
| 6290:17 6291:1 | 6197:13 6199:1 | 6264:22 6303:24 | 6328:15 6331:25 | 6369:14,18,23 |
| 6292:10 6303:23 | 6200:3 6204:24 | 6304:12 6334:13 | 6334:10 6335:14 | 6371:4 |
| 6303:24 6304:12 | 6205:4,13 6210:9 | killed 6232:6 | 6335:16,23 | kVAC 6180:4 |
| 6311:14 6319:10 | 6212:10 6215:17 | kilometre 6203:13 | 6338:10,20 |  |
| 6328:16 6340:16 | 6215:25 6217:8 | 6223:1 6227:9,10 | 6339:21 6340:5 | L |
| issues 6175:12 | 6218:7 6222:6,7 | 6232:13 6235:25 | 6340:17 6343:16 | laboratory 6225:9 |
| 6182:10 6207:20 | 6223:10 6226:24 | 6244:13 6245:1 | 6350:7,25 6351:2 | labour 6334:14 |
| 6207:25 6215:23 | 6227:14 6228:23 | 6265:12,17 | 6351:4 6358:19 | labourer 6334:12 |
| 6217:5,13 6258:7 | 6230:15 6233:19 | 6282:5 6336:20 | knowing 6232:7 | ladies 6206:16 |
| 6266:12 6267:3 | 6235:13 6237:2,4 | 6338:20 6339:1 | 6245:14 6304:23 | 6229:19 |
| 6268:18 6269:3 | 6238:13 6242:9 | kilometres 6184:25 | knowledge 6287:17 | laid 6234:16 6246:9 |
| 6322:6 6366:20 | 6243:18 6245:12 | 6185:2,4,7,24 | known 6221:19 | Lake 6187:20 |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| laminate 6238:3,4 | 6190:21 6195:3 | 6162:23 6163:6 | 6186:10 6232:13 | 6202:20 6210:9 |
| 6240:21 | 6196:25 6202:23 | 6312:23 6316:11 | 6233:1,20,25 | 6210:10 6215:24 |
| land 6232:25 | 6203:3,9 6205:12 | 6372:11,13,14,17 | 6235:15 6244:20 | 6219:5,11,24 |
| 6233:5,9 6234:24 | 6215:10 6228:6 | 6372:22 6373:5 | 6337:7 6368:9 | 6223:12 6224:17 |
| 6235:7,17,22 | 6250:15,22 | 6373:19 6374:1 | 6371:5,6 | 6226:5,14 |
| 6236:15 6238:18 | 6251:13,19,22 | lawyer 6289:17 | lengths 6194:5 | 6228:10,16 |
| 6239:2,3,9,10 | 6252:9 6255:22 | 6291:3 6292:25 | 6277:6 6368:6 | 6233:16 6235:10 |
| 6241:25 6242:23 | 6259:5,7,17,19,20 | 6293:1 6295:1,2 | lengthy 6276:22 | 6235:14 6236:24 |
| 6242:23 6243:10 | 6259:23,24 | 6301:2 | less 6185:25 | 6246:24 6249:1,9 |
| 6243:23 6244:7 | 6260:5 6266:25 | lawyers 6173:8 | 6195:24 6217:3 | 6254:13 6255:8 |
| 6245:22 6246:8,9 | 6267:11 6268:2 | 6291:12 | 6222:4 6236:21 | 6260:12 6261:12 |
| 6246:15,20 | 6269:3,22 6270:2 | lay 6235:5 | 6265:23 6278:10 | 6270:7 6279:12 |
| 6282:4 6322:2 | 6270:8,19,24 | layers 6234:23 | 6281:3 6308:22 | 6280:16 6295:6 |
| 6325:5 6326:16 | 6271:16,18 | 6243:17 | 6315:13 6316:12 | 6304:16 6309:1 |
| 6333:18 6368:24 | 6273:3,3 6274:2,9 | laying 6235:6 | 6316:13 6336:4 | 6310:21 6313:1 |
| landowners 6184:3 | 6274:15 6309:1,7 | 6256:19 6333:13 | 6351:6 | 6314:14,20 |
| 6185:23 | 6309:15 6310:18 | 6335:10 | let 6181:12 6216:2 | 6315:1 6333:19 |
| lands 6280:8 | 6310:19 6313:24 | LCC 6196:17 | 6252:17 6343:16 | 6340:16 6351:16 |
| Lang 6188:17 | 6314:18 6356:4,8 | 6204:8 6266:15 | 6363:21 | 6359:13 6365:15 |
| large 6208:23 | 6356:23 6357:6 | 6267:12 | letter 6161:2,6,10 | 6367:18 6368:17 |
| 6233:9 | 6357:14 6363:12 | lead 6214:12 | 6161:12,18 | 6371:6 6372:4 |
| largely 6281:5 | 6364:2 | 6244:10 6267:1 | 6162:21 6165:15 | likelihood 6333:22 |
| 6299:1 | law 6314:23,25 | 6300:16 6302:4 | 6165:20,24 | likely 6294:7 6351:7 |
| larger 6235:20 | Lawson 6162:16 | leading 6337:20 | 6166:1,7,22 | 6357:15 |
| 6333:9 | 6164:2 6169:1,8,8 | learn 6311:2 | 6167:4,10,13,23 | Limestone 6179:22 |
| largest 6232:25 | 6169:9 6171:12 | 6314:23 6315:3 | 6169:18,19,20 | 6179:23 6180:2 |
| last 6169:23 6170:7 | 6174:1 6186:7 | learned 6209:19,21 | 6203:22 6283:6 | 6180:21 |
| 6171:6 6172:7,21 | 6229:16,18 | 6228:2 6268:11 | 6283:25 6286:18 | limit 6220:4 |
| 6172:25 6173:1 | 6233:15 6246:22 | 6330:13,15,20 | 6287:22 6288:1,7 | limitations 6356:8 |
| 6174:16 6184:7 | 6260:25 6276:13 | 6332:23 | 6288:16 6372:15 | limited 6243:17 |
| 6190:4 6191:9,18 | 6277:4 6278:6 | least 6184:2 | 6373:16 | 6282:9 |
| 6201:7 6205:13 | 6279:1 6280:11 | 6205:10 6209:3 | let's 6188:21,22 | limiting 6328:25 |
| 6205:21 6210:8 | 6281:3 6282:2,20 | 6216:18 6220:19 | 6220:21 6221:13 | line 6161:3,6 6165:7 |
| 6228:3 6229:7 | 6312:16 6316:17 | 6221:15 6224:18 | 6222:9 6226:18 | 6165:17,21 |
| 6248:2 6249:11 | 6317:3 6320:22 | 6239:22 6241:15 | 6247:10 6256:6 | 6166:23 6167:5 |
| 6260:14 6273:22 | 6320:25 6321:10 | 6246:5 6279:18 | 6276:10 6339:23 | 6180:8 6181:20 |
| 6302:21 6317:18 | 6321:14,20 | 6280:4 6281:1 | 6357:5 | 6184:1,6,9,10,11 |
| 6332:13 6336:11 | 6322:3,14,18,21 | 6291:22 6296:18 | level 6194:19 | 6184:13,16,20 |
| 6344:13 | 6323:6,11,18 | 6312:1 6332:16 | 6222:4 6264:16 | 6185:1,24,25 |
| lastly 6275:3 | 6324:1,5,10,18 | 6369:20 6370:4 | 6282:15 6303:14 | 6186:10 6187:3 |
| 6281:15 | 6325:8,17,24 | leave 6174:25 | 6303:17 6307:1 | 6187:15,17,23 |
| late 6170:18 | 6326:7,11,25 | 6211:11,12 | 6317:9 | 6188:13 6189:19 |
| 6171:17 6173:13 | 6327:8,17,25 | 6215:19 6217:8 | licensing 6271:19 | 6190:9 6193:6,16 |
| 6211:23 6228:3 | 6328:18,23 | 6264:1 6310:3 | 6275:6 6335:17 | 6194:2,4,4,4,12 |
| 6295:21,23 | 6329:4,9,19,24 | 6336:7 | lie 6315:19 6316:6 | 6196:18,22 |
| 6296:2,6 6371:18 | 6330:3,11,19 | leaves 6186:2 | life 6239:20,21 | 6197:14 6199:5,6 |
| later 6176:2 6190:4 | 6331:6 6332:18 | 6218:9 | 6240:5 6293:15 | 6199:20 6203:12 |
| 6190:18 6195:8 | 6333:5,25 6334:5 | leaving 6263:24 | 6324:6 6366:7 | 6203:17,20 |
| 6201:4 6206:9 | 6334:11,15,22,25 | 6264:13 6274:21 | light 6184:18 | 6207:8 6212:14 |
| 6211:13 6250:19 | 6335:13,22 | lecture 6303:9 | 6288:20 6360:20 | 6213:1 6215:2 |
| 6250:25 6251:6 | 6336:6,18,25 | left 6168:25 6169:1 | lights 6267:19 | 6216:7,10,19,22 |
| 6255:6 6257:17 | 6337:17,21,22 | 6169:3 6177:22 | 6302:15,17 | 6217:1,10 |
| 6285:13 6292:15 | 6338:1,5,12,15,18 | 6234:13 6241:16 | 6304:12 | 6220:15,19 |
| 6351:14 | 6339:14 6341:9 | 6246:7 6261:11 | like 6168:22 6171:1 | 6225:22 6226:1,4 |
| latest 6268:7 | 6341:11 6367:9 | 6265:3 6311:13 | 6171:20 6172:10 | 6226:21 6227:5 |
| LaVerendrye | 6367:23 6369:5,9 | leftover 6372:17 | 6176:8 6179:7 | 6227:10,19,19,21 |
| 6183:11 6184:11 | 6369:16 6371:3 | left-hand 6186:17 | 6192:14 6194:22 | 6227:23 6242:19 |
| 6184:20 6185:6 | 6371:10 6372:8 | legal 6291:4 6304:8 | 6195:6,11 | 6243:4 6250:1,6 |
| 6186:3,5,17,25 | 6373:11 | legislative 6301:3 | 6196:23 6198:16 | 6251:21,25 |
| 6187:10,13 | Lawson's 6162:11 | length 6185:24 | 6198:25 6199:18 | 6252:13 6253:10 |


| Page 6393 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6253:16 6254:6 | 6279:14 6326:5 | 6238:7 | 6249:19 6297:11 | 6348:20 |
| 6254:18,23 | 6331:10,12 | local 6231:11 | 6364:9 6368:9 | losses 6204:11 |
| 6259:19 6261:21 | 6370:8,17 | 6317:6 | longest 6234:10 | lost 6189:21 |
| 6261:22 6262:24 | linked 6242:10 | locate 6183:5 | long-term 6243:18 | 6201:10 6263:11 |
| 6265:13,18 | 6326:14 6337:12 | 6190:14 6205:11 | look 6178:21 | 6299:21 6364:25 |
| 6269:7,9 6271:15 | links 6237:3 6325:1 | 6266:23 6270:22 | 6183:25 6184:24 | lot 6210:14 6212:5 |
| 6271:18 6272:19 | 6325:3 | located 6179:16 | 6191:14 6192:24 | 6232:9 6242:21 |
| 6272:25 6273:6 | liquid 6238:5 | 6186:23 6210:21 | 6196:12 6197:20 | 6258:25 6274:19 |
| 6273:25 6274:8 | list 6161:8 6165:22 | 6226:17 6228:18 | 6198:2 6207:24 | 6277:4 6282:10 |
| 6274:10,10 | 6167:7 | 6268:2 6269:3 | 6210:25 6211:2 | 6290:23,24 |
| 6275:4,16 6276:1 | listen 6311:1 | 6275:13 6314:18 | 6233:16,18 | 6291:6 6294:15 |
| 6276:4 6286:1 | listened 6283:13,14 | 6330:16 6356:4 | 6238:24 6246:24 | 6302:8 6315:23 |
| 6289:8 6295:12 | 6285:2 6315:6 | locating 6202:22 | 6248:21 6251:6 | 6315:24 6369:22 |
| 6299:25 6305:19 | 6321:5 | 6259:5 | 6252:6,7,18 | lots 6273:13 |
| 6306:3,5,15,23 | listening 6298:3 | location 6165:6 | 6257:6 6280:18 | low 6198:11 6222:1 |
| 6307:4,14 6310:2 | 6320:22 6332:24 | 6179:5,15,16 | 6308:10 6309:23 | 6240:15 6241:19 |
| 6312:2,9,13 | litany 6172:18 | 6181:23 6184:12 | 6310:10 6343:8 | 6258:22 6262:9 |
| 6313:9 6316:14 | litigator 6173:6,7 | 6187:11 6196:5 | 6343:15 6346:7,8 | 6298:21 6326:7 |
| 6316:14 6337:6 | little 6169:22 | 6196:11,24 | 6348:9,13 6349:5 | 6326:12 |
| 6338:19,21,24 | 6180:15 6222:16 | 6202:19,20 | 6349:5 6352:8 | lower 6182:5 |
| 6339:24 6354:1 | 6223:24 6228:18 | 6205:5,7,8 | 6358:7,9,12,13,18 | 6220:13,15 |
| 6354:16,20 | 6233:18 6235:7 | 6211:15 6213:5 | 6358:23,24 | 6242:10 6326:3 |
| 6355:10,25 | 6275:17,17 | 6259:7 6261:24 | 6360:19 6362:2 | 6329:16 6339:5 |
| 6356:5,11,11 | 6309:4 6315:3 | 6270:14,18,25 | 6365:25 | 6339:15 6345:17 |
| 6359:19,19,25 | 6330:9 6374:11 | 6309:23,24 | looked 6197:23 | 6350:7 6355:13 |
| 6360:4,6,11,12,16 | live 6249:18 | 6310:11 6327:15 | 6246:13,14 | 6356:5 |
| 6361:2,3,4,6,11 | 6315:22 6319:1 | 6357:20 | 6248:23,24 | lowest 6195:24 |
| 6362:3 6363:13 | 6336:11 | locations 6181:13 | 6249:2,13 | 6294:20 |
| lines 6178:7,19 | lived 6336:10 | 6182:2,9,23 | 6250:19 6251:1 | lucky 6230:12 |
| 6182:8,15 | lives 6327:20 | 6183:1 6190:10 | 6256:10 6282:7 | lump 6245:12 |
| 6183:13 6184:2 | living 6294:6 | 6192:21 6194:24 | 6282:14 6295:21 |  |
| 6185:5 6186:4,6 | 6319:20 | 6195:5 6228:13 | 6305:25 6345:24 | M |
| 6186:11 6187:5 | load 6174:18 | 6228:22 6250:13 | 6350:12 | MacKay 6159:3 |
| 6187:12 6197:13 | 6179:24,25,25 | 6361:8,10 | looking 6190:23 | 6365:12,13,24 |
| 6199:24 6203:2 | 6191:8,23,24 | logic 6313:15 | 6193:11 6199:14 | 6366:13,16 |
| 6214:24 6216:15 | 6192:4 6197:22 | 6365:5 | 6204:14 6220:21 | 6367:8 6369:2,6 |
| 6218:10 6219:13 | 6198:5,20,21,22 | logical 6365:1 | 6243:23 6253:11 | 6369:10 |
| 6219:20 6220:2,4 | 6199:3,5 6200:1 | logically 6289:21 | 6254:3,4 6263:5 | Madam 6372:1 |
| 6220:5,12 6224:9 | 6200:23,24 | 6306:1 | 6273:18 6321:22 | Madden 6159:16 |
| 6224:19 6225:1 | 6222:22 6228:5 | logistics 6281:17,21 | 6324:19,22 | made 6180:1,8 |
| 6229:3,4 6245:20 | 6248:8,12 | 6282:13 | 6328:6 6349:17 | 6181:5 6190:8 |
| 6245:24 6246:1,2 | 6249:17 6258:9 | long 6173:10 | 6358:21 6362:20 | 6196:18 6201:10 |
| 6248:7 6251:18 | 6269:15 6282:12 | 6179:22 6184:15 | 6365:24 | 6202:25 6215:6 |
| 6251:24 6261:17 | 6292:19 6293:7,8 | 6216:11 6218:19 | Los 6211:16,17 | 6247:24 6250:1 |
| 6261:24 6262:7 | 6293:9,14 | 6220:9 6223:13 | 6213:9,11,23 | 6268:13 6297:12 |
| 6265:19 6274:1 | 6294:24 6295:10 | 6225:17 6226:1,4 | 6228:20 6289:2 | 6299:4 6304:19 |
| 6275:7,20 6280:9 | 6295:15,22,25 | 6229:7 6233:20 | 6291:14,17 | 6304:22 6323:21 |
| 6289:16 6297:8 | 6296:2 6300:9,9 | 6236:2,3 6239:8 | 6292:5 | 6323:25 6326:24 |
| 6307:16 6308:7 | 6300:12,15 | 6240:12 6241:16 | lose 6195:5,7 | 6341:20 6345:7 |
| 6310:1 6313:12 | 6302:6 6305:4 | 6243:12,24,25 | 6216:19,21 | 6349:22 6353:7 |
| 6313:14 6314:7,7 | 6306:19 6307:23 | 6244:2 6276:25 | 6217:4,10,10 | 6357:23 6358:16 |
| 6314:8 6319:17 | 6344:5,8,18,21,22 | 6279:15 6287:4,9 | 6229:6 6314:2,6 | 6361:3 6363:5 |
| 6327:2,18 6355:7 | 6345:8,9,12,25 | 6294:18 6326:4 | 6357:20 6363:9 | 6368:8,10,17 |
| 6356:15 6359:21 | 6346:9,23 | 6326:18 6335:1,3 | 6363:13 6364:7 | 6369:11 6370:22 |
| 6360:15 6362:18 | 6349:12,23 | 6335:25 6336:19 | losing 6195:6 | magnitude 6358:8 |
| 6362:23 6371:1 | 6350:15,18,19,19 | 6336:20 6343:7 | 6303:1 | main 6182:14 |
| link 6211:17 | 6351:21 | 6348:12 6366:10 | loss 6191:1 6198:22 | 6223:21 6237:2 |
| 6237:21 6240:21 | loading 6228:15 | 6370:3 | 6201:9,9 6212:12 | 6363:23 |
| 6245:8,10,15 | 6272:9 6273:7,15 | longer 6173:5 | 6221:20 6248:7 | mainland 6234:4 |
| 6275:23 6278:17 | loads 6198:23 | 6240:1,8,9 | 6273:24 6310:15 | 6332:12 |
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| mainly 6235:16 | 6183:19 6188:16 | 6343:20 6344:9 | maximum 6221:2 | 6193:22 6194:1,5 |
| maintain 6302:18 | 6190:16 6191:21 | 6344:16,17,23 | 6221:10 6240:14 | 6194:10 6198:13 |
| 6302:23 | 6195:25 6197:2 | 6345:8 6346:9,17 | 6241:2 6296:15 | 6198:18,19,20 |
| maintaining | 6201:8,24 | 6348:19 6355:23 | may 6178:3 6183:16 | 6200:22,23,23,24 |
| 6210:12 6266:16 | 6202:12 6205:21 | 6355:24 6358:1 | 6198:3 6214:11 | 6208:25 6210:25 |
| maintenance | 6207:1,2,14,18,24 | 6372:20 6373:22 | 6219:2 6220:11 | 6211:3 6212:1,3 |
| 6200:19,20 | 6208:3,8,9,11 | 6373:25 6374:5,7 | 6241:15 6242:13 | 6212:10,12,14 |
| 6210:11 6299:18 | 6209:17,22 | 6375:6 | 6249:7,18 6255:6 | 6213:2 6238:9 |
| 6299:20,21,23 | 6213:15 6214:14 | Manitobans | 6259:14 6261:14 | 6249:16 6254:24 |
| major 6288:9 | 6214:18 6215:6 | 6319:24 | 6262:16,18 | 6255:5 6258:16 |
| 6289:7 6314:7 | 6215:15 6216:9 | manufactured | 6267:3,7,19 | 6273:11,12 |
| 6338:23,23 | 6219:5 6220:5 | 6331:21 | 6268:24 6275:1 | 6291:23 6292:18 |
| make 6170:12 | 6221:1,7,8 6222:3 | manufacturer | 6304:7 6305:20 | 6292:20 6293:1,4 |
| 6181:10 6193:13 | 6222:11 6226:10 | 6206:19 6237:19 | 6312:16 6313:15 | 6296:10,16 |
| 6214:21 6216:5 | 6226:16 6227:20 | 6323:20 | 6314:4 6316:17 | 6297:2,13,15,20 |
| 6230:11 6232:2 | 6228:1 6229:8 | manufacturers | 6316:18,24 | 6298:1 6299:23 |
| 6239:6,12 | 6236:7 6238:17 | 6237:11 6330:14 | 6328:18 6340:1 | 6307:9,14 |
| 6250:12 6252:4 | 6246:24 6248:4 | manufacturing | 6347:13 6369:9 | 6326:13 6338:25 |
| 6254:6,10 | 6248:10,14,16,25 | 6232:12 6280:25 | maybe 6220:5 | 6345:13,14 |
| 6256:18 6277:22 | 6250:2,2 6252:1 | 6332:14 | 6227:12 6294:12 | 6361:18 |
| 6279:21,22 | 6253:8,12,14 | many 6165:13 | 6328:16,16 | melt 6224:9 |
| 6295:6 6304:10 | 6254:15,16 | 6173:6 6181:3 | 6338:6 6350:4 | melting 6224:10 |
| 6306:17 6313:25 | 6255:17 6258:7 | 6188:18 6209:10 | 6351:3 6357:18 | Member 6159:3,3,4 |
| 6314:20 6328:1 | 6258:10,23,23 | 6225:11 6230:9,9 | 6361:16 6367:17 | members 6341:8 |
| 6335:2 6347:7 | 6259:3 6260:16 | 6233:23 6267:9 | Mayor 6159:9 | 6359:10,11 |
| 6354:25 6357:1 | 6261:16,21 | 6273:18 6276:2 | Mazur 6172:22 | 6367:18 |
| 6357:23 6359:23 | 6262:5,11 6263:7 | 6288:8 6293:21 | 6188:17 6254:9 | memory 6329:25 |
| 6361:6 6366:17 | 6263:13,15,18 | 6299:10 6303:20 | 6284:24 6301:12 | men 6304:19 |
| 6370:20,24 | 6264:4,18,20,21 | 6310:24 6369:3 | 6305:15 6361:3 | mention 6279:1 |
| makes 6245:3 | 6265:8 6266:6,13 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { m a p }}$ 6187:2 | Mazur's 6194:3 | 6299:17,18 |
| 6264:18 6327:22 | 6268:18 6269:5 | 6309:24 6337:5 | MCWS 6161:6 | 6317:4 |
| making 6339:3 | 6269:15,24 | 6337:12 | 6165:20 6167:4 | mentioned 6195:8 |
| 6345:10 6347:21 | 6270:12 6271:6,8 | MAPP 6231:16 | mean 6184:22 | 6230:13 6235:25 |
| 6353:10 6354:4 | 6272:6,25 | March 6158:20 | 6196:1 6205:4 | 6258:19 6259:16 |
| 6357:3 6358:20 | 6273:17 6274:6 | 6165:1 6169:21 | 6235:23 6247:4 | 6264:1 6265:16 |
| 6361:13,24 | 6274:22,24 | 6172:1 6173:17 | 6247:12 6316:3 | 6277:11 6287:13 |
| Malaysia 6231:15 | 6275:5 6276:18 | 6183:15 6197:24 | 6325:11 6339:16 | 6330:17 6346:14 |
| 6231:22 | 6276:22 6278:1 | 6349:6 | 6339:17 6347:14 | merged 6230:4 |
| Malaysian 6232:5 | 6282:23 6283:1,2 | margin 6336:24 | 6347:16 | Meronek 6159:11 |
| manage 6277:18 | 6283:3 6286:8 | marked 6169:20 | means 6196:2 | 6161:4 6162:21 |
| managed 6229:1 | 6287:6,11,17 | marks 6347:20 | 6224:16 6276:11 | 6164:3 6165:18 |
| 6234:5 | 6297:23 6300:3,6 | mass 6234:22 | 6293:2 6348:5 | 6167:2 6168:20 |
| manager 6179:18 | 6300:8 6301:3,7 | 6238:4 6239:17 | meant 6348:2 | 6168:21 6169:13 |
| mandate 6301:3 | 6302:2,9,13,16,22 | 6278:14,18 | measures 6161:18 | 6172:2,9 6173:3,4 |
| 6302:4,5,10,19 | 6302:24 6303:3 | 6282:11 6323:2 | 6166:8 6167:24 | 6173:17 6174:11 |
| 6303:4 6304:6 | 6304:25 6305:19 | 6326:14 6332:5,9 | meet 6298:8 6300:9 | 6174:23 6175:11 |
| manifested 6267:18 | 6305:20 6306:3,4 | mass-impregnated | 6300:12,15 | 6175:21 6176:6,8 |
| manipulation | 6306:5,6 6307:5,5 | 6368:2 | 6302:6 6307:23 | 6180:14 6191:17 |
| 6314:5 | 6308:13,21 | masters 6207:1 | meeting 6315:8 | 6199:8 6205:16 |
| Manitoba 6158:1 | 6309:10 6310:7 | material 6172:24 | meetings 6317:12 | 6205:23 6206:2 |
| 6158:19 6159:6,8 | 6311:3 6313:5 | 6173:2,13,18,20 | meets 6356:14 | 6206:11 6209:14 |
| 6159:15,17,18 | 6314:24 6315:3 | 6173:23 6174:2,5 | megawatt 6180:22 | 6229:14,15 |
| 6163:3,5 6170:2 | 6317:7,22 | 6237:25 6370:1 | 6245:10 6347:14 | 6233:13 6246:23 |
| 6174:19 6175:2 | 6319:20 6320:14 | materialize 6331:3 | 6347:15,16 | 6247:8,12,16,20 |
| 6176:16,18,20 | 6324:12 6327:21 | materials 6311:12 | 6354:6 | 6249:22 6251:8 |
| 6177:3,17,18 | 6328:16 6330:5 | matter 6170:21 | megawatts 6180:20 | 6252:1,25 6253:7 |
| 6178:14 6181:8 | 6338:18 6339:5 | 6222:15 6228:25 | 6186:19 6189:8 | 6253:21,25 |
| 6181:16,18 | 6339:10,12,22 | 6302:14 6329:9 | 6189:12,18,20 | 6254:16 6255:16 |
| 6182:6,12,18,21 | 6340:17 6342:3,6 | matters 6169:14 | 6190:5 6191:13 | 6256:20 6257:6 |
| 6182:24 6183:7 | 6342:25 6343:4 | 6371:25 | 6191:15 6192:5,7 | 6258:6,18 6259:2 |
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| Page 6396 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6186:16,25 | 6240:18 6279:20 | 6170:8 6171:7 | notwithstanding | 6199:6 6203:23 |
| 6196:25 6202:23 | 6287:12,18 | 6172:7,25 6173:1 | 6172:13 6226:10 | 6217:13 6223:10 |
| 6215:10 6219:17 | 6295:19 6322:4 | 6174:16 6205:22 | 6298:1 6302:10 | 6224:11,18,20,21 |
| 6220:9 6244:1 | 6330:4 | 6228:3 6244:3 | November 6183:15 | 6224:22,25 |
| 6255:21 6259:19 | new 6169:1 6174:15 | nine 6182:2 | 6187:7 6197:24 | 6258:14 6261:11 |
| 6269:3,21 6270:2 | 6174:16 6181:3 | nominal 6239:20,21 | 6251:12 6349:6 | 6265:13 6276:16 |
| 6270:18 6274:9 | 6183:7 6186:22 | 6240:5,6,8 6324:6 | number 6165:9,20 | 6277:22 6293:7 |
| 6274:15 6275:25 | 6188:9 6190:18 | none 6320:9 | 6216:8 6233:9 | 6293:24 6295:17 |
| 6313:23 6314:18 | 6195:21 6202:4 | nonetheless 6226:23 | 6234:25 6284:24 | 6336:12,14 |
| 6356:4 | 6202:15 6205:2 | 6337:10 | 6287:5,10 6293:3 | 6349:18 6350:23 |
| nearby 6267:20 | 6205:10 6208:15 | non-firm 6296:17 | 6296:10 6297:15 | 6364:1 |
| necessarily 6327:25 | 6210:19 6212:22 | 6296:19 6297:3 | 6297:20 6306:10 | offered 6175:14 |
| necessary 6239:14 | 6213:4,14 6214:7 | 6298:8 | 6306:11 6312:19 | 6178:20,23 |
| 6245:5 6246:17 | 6214:10 6215:23 | non-peak 6351:8 | 6318:13 6323:4 | 6182:24 |
| 6269:12 6281:10 | 6216:1 6234:6 | noon 6206:1 | 6323:23 6340:18 | offering 6182:4 |
| 6281:12 6315:13 | 6240:21 6247:11 | normal 6317:14 | 6341:22 6361:18 | Official 6375:1,6,16 |
| 6330:4 | 6254:4 6255:9 | normally 6225:16 | 6366:11 6368:12 | 6375:20 |
| need 6171:9 6176:5 | 6264:12 6269:18 | 6249:3,8 | 6368:25 6372:5,6 | offshore 6245:25 |
| 6176:21 6181:17 | 6270:13 6275:12 | NorNed 6337:19 | 6372:7,8,14 | off-peak 6197:17 |
| 6209:10 6216:6 | 6278:13 6284:6 | north 6178:7 | numbers 6174:20 | 6198:2 6297:18 |
| 6217:16 6226:7 | 6290:13,13,15,15 | 6179:5,9 6202:19 | 6196:16 6198:5 | 6297:19 |
| 6227:23 6235:12 | 6291:19 6305:10 | 6203:23 6211:18 | 6293:9 6296:19 | often 6191:6 6327:6 |
| 6251:17 6264:2 | 6305:10 6307:25 | 6216:11 6226:21 | 6336:2 | oh 6255:3 6288:4 |
| 6264:10 6298:5 | 6308:20 6310:6 | 6231:3,4 6254:5 |  | 6334:15 |
| 6313:24 6329:22 | 6311:22 6312:3,8 | 6265:19 6268:17 | 0 | oil 6332:8 |
| 6338:6 6356:3 | 6312:12 6318:22 | 6270:5 6294:20 | objection 6342:21 | okay 6165:3 |
| 6359:23 6361:11 | 6330:18 6336:19 | 6361:4 | objections 6173:14 | 6180:17 6181:21 |
| needed 6207:19 | 6345:13 6348:10 | northeast 6185:5 | 6173:21 | 6184:24 6190:11 |
| 6235:4 6241:12 | news 6320:13 | northern 6179:4 | obliged 6301:4 | 6192:8,17,20 |
| 6268:24 6271:24 | 6352:20 | 6180:5,19 6181:4 | observation | 6196:2 6199:6 |
| 6289:7 | Nexans 6232:20 | 6181:19 6221:21 | 6248:15 6264:19 | 6200:11 6204:5 |
| needs 6271:17 | 6237:14 6238:14 | 6261:17,24 | 6326:23 | 6204:24,24 |
| 6273:17 | 6277:12 6278:8,9 | 6269:18 6305:19 | obvious 6306:2 | 6223:9 6226:20 |
| negotiated 6180:18 | 6279:9 6331:15 | 6306:3,5 6307:5 | obviously 6241:11 | 6229:12 6247:10 |
| 6180:20 | 6331:22,24 | northwestern | 6244:11 6246:17 | 6263:11 6265:1 |
| negotiations 6180:6 | next 6171:14 6176:3 | 6370:14,15 | 6292:20 6321:6 | 6330:11 6341:4 |
| neighborhood | 6176:4 6183:4 | north/south | 6321:18 6327:20 | 6348:3 6352:25 |
| 6315:18 6328:3 | 6191:6,7 6196:1 | 6193:16,18 | 6335:22 6338:5 | 6360:7,9,20 |
| 6328:11 | 6198:6 6200:2,11 | 6202:7 6249:25 | 6343:6 | 6362:25 6363:11 |
| neighbour 6243:2 | 6201:22 6204:24 | 6253:9 6306:23 | occasions 6170:11 | 6363:20,21 |
| neighbours 6292:21 | 6212:9,20 | 6307:14 6359:19 | occupied 6330:21 | 6365:9,24 6366:9 |
| neither 6248:25 | 6214:13 6220:7 | 6361:1,6 | occur 6219:12 | 6366:13,16 |
| Nelson 6178:1,5 | 6220:21 6221:13 | Norwalk 6234:8 | 6226:11 6267:17 | 6370:11,18 |
| 6206:20 6208:1 | 6222:10 6234:21 | Norway 6232:17,20 | 6267:18 6296:23 | old 6212:22 6216:2 |
| 6210:2 6217:21 | 6237:2 6240:13 | 6234:14 6337:20 | 6302:25 6351:7 | 6217:12 6225:7 |
| Neptune 6232:15,24 | 6241:8,14 6242:8 | note 6188:25 6276:5 | occurred 6208:7 | 6225:12 6264:13 |
| 6236:3 6243:10 | 6243:9,9,13 | noted 6355:6 | 6262:20 6289:4 | 6289:5,25 6290:9 |
| 6243:14,15 | 6244:5 6245:6,16 | notes 6263:15 | 6296:23 6298:19 | 6290:25 6292:2 |
| 6244:7 6282:4 | 6245:19 6249:23 | 6375:8 | occurrence 6267:17 | older 6364:23 |
| 6326:18 | 6252:17 6254:17 | nothing 6179:9 | occurring 6220:19 | once 6192:13 |
| NERC 6268:17 | 6262:11 6282:17 | 6210:9 6219:22 | 6262:2 | 6259:1 6265:25 |
| 6269:22 | 6283:24 6320:1,8 | 6245:19 6255:2 | occurs 6314:13 | 6290:20,21 |
| Netherlands | 6331:16 6348:11 | 6258:22 6317:1 | October 6183:16 | 6307:22 6321:4 |
| 6234:14 6337:21 | 6360:3 | 6332:2 6338:21 | 6198:3 6220:25 | 6335:8 6337:1 |
| network 6208:23 | NFAT 6366:23 | notice 6162:6 | 6221:1 6229:8 | 6344:2 |
| Neufeld 6188:18 | 6367:1,2,7 | 6171:16 6322:15 | 6302:21 6304:3 | one 6163:4 6175:8 |
| never 6184:19 | nice 6197:3 6210:7 | 6372:5,24 | off 6179:24 6180:1 | 6176:3 6179:13 |
| 6187:18,19 | 6233:16 6234:21 | noticed 6321:2 | 6183:16 6188:6 | 6181:24 6183:3 |
| 6195:1 6197:15 | 6262:1 | noting 6260:22 | 6190:23 6191:20 | 6185:19 6187:14 |
| 6208:4 6234:5,7 | night 6169:24 | 6280:5 6331:8 | 6196:9 6197:22 | 6188:19 6189:12 |


| Page 6398 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6260:13 6263:5 | 6280:1,17,21 | 6210:4 6252:4 | 6340:8,9,9,10 | 6175:6,11 |
| 6266:5 6267:19 | 6281:16 6284:20 | 6268:20,21 | 6345:13,14 | 6182:15 6184:3 |
| 6269:8 6271:2 | 6285:18 6301:9 | 6279:14 6281:7 | 6348:24 6349:2,3 | 6185:10,12,23 |
| 6273:22 6276:9 | 6309:5 6324:7,22 | 6290:4 6327:14 | 6349:7,10,11,13 | 6207:4 6252:5 |
| 6276:13,25 | 6329:18 6354:23 | 6327:19 6346:13 | 6349:16 6350:5 | persuade 6330:7,8 |
| 6280:1,21 | 6354:24 | 6351:20 6368:1 | 6351:6,19,20 | persuasive 6308:16 |
| 6293:24 6294:15 | pages 6375:7 | particularly | 6366:2 | pessimistic 6299:14 |
| 6312:4 6319:23 | paid 6251:4 | 6208:18 6226:1 | percent 6179:25 | phase 6178:6 |
| 6365:25 6366:1 | pair 6333:1 | 6235:2,5 6239:6 | 6191:22 6196:14 | phases 6222:22 |
| overall 6182:5 | panel 6164:4 | 6272:24 6279:5 | 6197:9 6198:1,4,9 | Philippines 6231:14 |
| overestimate | 6168:22 6171:5 | 6333:14 6356:10 | 6200:12 6201:17 | photograph |
| 6344:22 | 6175:18 6205:24 | 6367:12 | 6203:15 6204:20 | 6280:17 |
| overestimating | 6341:8 6359:6,11 | parts 6163:3 | percentage 6366:3 | Physics 6229:21 |
| 6345:9 | 6367:18 | 6199:21 6372:21 | percentages | Ph.D 6229:22,24 |
| overhead 6184:2 | paper 6209:17,24 | 6373:23 | 6365:25 | pick 6195:9 6205:5 |
| 6186:4,5,11 | 6209:25 6210:5 | PARTY 6159:18 | perchance 6227:24 | 6206:4 |
| 6203:1,5,6,12 | 6214:14 6238:1,2 | past 6215:10 | performance | picked 6248:22 |
| 6223:24 6242:19 | 6238:6 6301:8 | Pat 6159:3 | 6267:2 | picks 6184:19 |
| 6245:20,24 | 6307:21 6321:4 | path 6260:8 | performances | picture 6215:17 |
| 6246:1,2 6250:5 | 6329:18 6330:13 | paths 6313:7,10 | 6266:17 | 6220:8 6234:8,13 |
| 6252:22 6256:4 | 6332:24 6355:1,6 | Pathway 6231:17 | performed 6200:20 | 6234:14 6245:18 |
| 6265:17 6275:20 | 6355:13 | pathways 6313:16 | perhaps 6169:19 | 6245:20 6246:7 |
| 6276:3 6280:9 | paragraph 6248:3 | pay 6180:8 6227:3 | 6171:11 6211:12 | 6246:13,15 |
| 6312:25 6315:14 | 6249:23 6251:11 | 6311:20 | 6216:16 6233:13 | 6280:4 6322:16 |
| 6316:14 6321:9 | 6253:12,22 | paying 6294:14,17 | 6269:11 6273:11 | 6336:13,15 |
| 6324:15 6327:18 | 6254:17 6256:21 | peak 6180:11,13 | 6274:17 6303:19 | pictures 6218:13 |
| 6338:19,21,24 | 6256:21 6257:6 | 6183:16 6191:1,2 | 6305:4 6312:15 | 6233:16 6246:6 |
| 6371:1 | 6258:19 6259:3 | 6191:4 6197:21 | 6326:22 6327:23 | piece 6174:15 |
| overlapped 6283:3 | 6260:1 6261:16 | 6198:1,5,5,9 | 6334:4 6359:14 | 6341:16 |
| overload 6274:2 | 6262:11 6265:6 | 6200:2 6248:24 | 6360:2 6361:15 | pieces 6212:6,19 |
| overstated 6282:1 | 6266:13 6271:15 | 6249:2,3,5 | 6364:2 | 6366:5 |
| own 6172:11,12 | 6272:5 6280:3 | 6258:14 6293:8,9 | period 6175:25 | pile 6372:2 |
| 6176:11 6208:16 | parallel 6199:24 | 6346:3 6348:25 | 6181:2 6191:1,2 | Pine 6160:2 |
| 6278:10 6330:23 | 6211:25 6216:18 | 6349:3,12,16,16 | 6192:13 6198:3 | 6177:24 |
| 6364:13 | 6216:23 6370:15 | 6349:18,18,20,22 | 6204:15 6206:21 | Pipeline 6276:8 |
| owners 6281:8 | paralleling 6263:10 | 6349:24 6350:6,6 | 6208:20 6230:24 | pipes 6328:4,9,10 |
| owner's 6207:4 | 6265:11 | 6350:9,18,19,21 | 6249:11,16,18 | 6328:13 |
| owns 6331:22 | parameters 6240:14 | 6350:23 6351:6,6 | 6257:12 6276:23 | Pirelli 6230:20 |
| o'clock 6170:7 | Paris 6209:19 | 6351:19,19,21 | 6276:25 6279:19 | 6231:4 |
| 6305:4 | Parkway 6244:2 | PEGUIS 6159:20 | 6297:19 6299:18 | Pittsburgh 6177:19 |
|  | part 6177:14,16 | Pennsylvania | 6319:3 6351:2 | place 6165:9 |
| P | 6179:9 6181:24 | 6177:20 | 6366:1 | 6177:19 6179:13 |
| P 6181:24 6188:17 | 6183:20 6200:14 | pensions 6179:1 | periods 6230:18 | 6198:19 6208:16 |
| page 6161:2 6162:2 | 6200:14 6203:23 | people 6178:23,23 | 6248:12 6297:18 | 6218:19 6223:19 |
| 6163:2 6188:15 | 6205:15 6214:4 | 6208:14 6209:10 | 6366:9 | 6224:11 6225:18 |
| 6245:18 6248:1 | 6248:2 6258:21 | 6298:20 6301:11 | permit 6276:3 | 6263:11,25 |
| 6248:21 6251:10 | 6259:6 6263:23 | 6311:1 6315:21 | permitted 6265:24 | 6264:2,9 6275:7 |
| 6252:1,17 6253:7 | 6271:17,24 | 6332:24 | permitting 6272:1 | 6275:12 6283:18 |
| 6255:18 6256:20 | 6273:24 6274:16 | per 6190:5 6192:5,7 | 6275:17,18,19,20 | 6286:20 6300:14 |
| 6258:6,20 6259:2 | 6279:17 6290:12 | 6197:21 6198:1,2 | 6276:11 6315:12 | 6330:25 6375:9 |
| 6259:25 6260:14 | 6296:9 6301:4 | 6201:19 6203:13 | 6335:24 6370:23 | placing 6331:1 |
| 6260:17 6261:15 | 6309:10 6320:23 | 6204:3 6241:9,10 | Perpignan 6337:6 | plain 6228:23 |
| 6263:5,13,14 | 6321:24 6364:10 | 6245:1 6255:5 | person 6169:3 | plainly 6274:12 |
| 6264:18 6265:7 | 6365:20 6367:1 | 6274:18 6279:3,3 | 6230:23 6300:23 | Plains 6184:15 |
| 6266:5,10,21 | partially 6297:6 | 6279:12,13 | personal 6275:13 | plan 6188:7 6250:8 |
| 6268:15 6270:10 | participants | 6300:22 6302:12 | 6313:22 | 6250:9 6258:2 |
| 6271:2,12,13 | 6176:15 6359:9 | 6303:4,5,19,20 | personally 6172:6 | 6292:17 6296:9 |
| 6272:4,5 6273:23 | participated | 6304:7 6323:15 | 6265:21 | 6358:15 |
| 6273:23,23 | 6180:23,25 | 6333:20 6338:20 | persons 6334:14 | planet 6325:15 |
| 6275:4 6276:18 | particular 6176:13 | 6339:1 6340:1,4,5 | perspective 6174:13 | 6336:16 |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| planned 6231:2 | 6357:10,12,22,24 | power 6177:4,8,10 | 6214:9 6220:6 | price 6244:8,9,11 |
| 6257:1 6305:3,6 | 6358:17,20 | 6177:22 6180:5 | 6237:4 6242:11 | 6285:11 6312:14 |
| 6305:11 | 6362:12 6364:14 | 6180:18,20 | 6266:15,18 | 6323:14,16,20 |
| planning 6177:11 | 6368:14 6369:4 | 6181:5 6182:19 | 6295:6 6358:2 | 6324:3 6330:10 |
| 6177:14,14 | 6370:19 | 6189:9 6193:21 | presentation | 6339:13 |
| 6179:2,19 | pointed 6210:17 | 6199:23 6203:7 | 6161:22,24,25 | prices 6279:23 |
| 6180:18 6181:11 | 6215:8 6226:25 | 6207:18 6208:17 | 6162:3,8,10,12,18 | 6330:2 |
| 6207:2 6214:15 | pointing 6253:8 | 6213:12 6214:1,4 | 6162:19,23 | pricing 6244:6,22 |
| 6258:23 6275:2 | points 6214:8 | 6224:6 6228:5,19 | 6166:11,12,14,16 | 6312:17 |
| 6296:25 | 6216:5 6300:7 | 6229:9 6231:17 | 6168:5,7,10,13 | primarily 6292:20 |
| plans 6187:23 | pole 6222:24 6241:9 | 6240:2,23 6241:5 | 6171:9 6181:10 | 6296:11 6350:10 |
| 6188:2 6250:3 | 6241:10 6270:17 | 6254:4 6268:11 | 6182:1,1 6194:3 | primary 6172:20 |
| 6251:20 6354:16 | poles 6222:22 | 6269:14,19,25 | 6229:13 6233:14 | 6286:14 6302:5 |
| plant 6231:6 6232:9 | 6262:21,23 | 6270:4 6272:7 | 6254:9 6265:17 | 6327:12 |
| 6232:10,17 | polyethylene | 6273:13,25 | 6271:21 6272:14 | prime 6182:11 |
| 6233:5,6 6278:10 | 6234:25 6337:13 | 6288:12,24 | 6276:17 6277:10 | principles 6358:23 |
| 6278:12,13,16 | polymer 6242:11,11 | 6289:1 6291:18 | 6304:1 6316:11 | prior 6295:11 |
| 6279:3,13 | polypropylene | 6292:6 6293:4 | 6321:6 6324:9,20 | priority 6300:7,15 |
| 6305:10 6330:18 | 6238:3 | 6294:6 6296:11 | 6336:10 6337:19 | 6301:2 |
| 6330:24 6331:22 | pony 6261:13 | 6297:4 6298:11 | 6345:5 6354:25 | privately 6323:25 |
| 6332:3,6,11,14 | pool 6298:11 | 6302:23 6303:10 | 6365:16 6369:11 | probabilities |
| plants 6231:5,8 | pooling 6280:20 | 6312:3 6314:8 | 6372:6,12,12 | 6257:11 |
| 6278:7,16 | populated 6182:17 | 6361:18,25 | 6373:1,3,5,13,15 | probability 6191:23 |
| 6330:21 | portion 6265:12 | Powerpoint 6345:3 | 6373:20 6374:8 | 6199:15 6257:14 |
| play 6181:6 | 6347:24 | 6354:25 | presentations | 6260:6,9 6262:2,9 |
| please 6170:2 | posed 6283:6,15,17 | practical 6262:15 | 6174:5 6186:9 | 6303:24 6310:14 |
| 6176:7 6199:2 | 6283:25 6287:25 | practically 6173:19 | 6206:3 6222:11 | 6310:15,19 |
| 6229:16 6243:10 | 6359:25 | practice 6218:18 | 6283:13 6359:17 | 6311:1 6318:11 |
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| replacing 6195:17 | representative | 6167:1,10,16 | 6215:4,9,18 | ring 6228:13 |
| 6202:5 6210:1 | 6351:11 | 6253:14 6270:5 | 6216:7,8,12,21 | 6259:18,18 |
| 6225:13 6290:4 | represents 6349:11 | 6272:11,25 | 6217:2 6225:4 | 6268:24 6269:5 |
| 6324:16 | reproduced 6210:4 | 6319:5 6369:10 | 6226:3,18 | 6270:1 6272:23 |
| replicated 6252:2 | reproduction | responsibilities | 6227:17,25 | 6274:14 6313:25 |
| replied 6311:18 | 6227:14 | 6179:20 | 6228:7,18 | 6314:2,3,11,19 |
| replying 6286:19 | request 6201:6 | responsibility | 6250:14,21 | 6355:12,14,25 |
| report 6161:20 | 6343:4 | 6208:9 6269:25 | 6251:19,22 | 6356:15 |
| 6162:13,15,16,24 | requested 6175:22 | 6274:24 | 6252:19,21 | ringgit 6232:5 |
| 6163:6 6166:20 | require 6213:8,18 | responsible 6171:3 | 6253:4 6259:18 | rise 6223:3 |
| 6168:1 6181:21 | 6233:24 6271:19 | 6231:5 | 6259:20,24 | risk 6241:24 6248:6 |
| 6182:25 6183:9 | 6275:17 6293:4 | rest 6240:24 | 6263:9 6264:12 | 6256:24 6264:14 |
| 6184:13 6188:1 | 6307:24 6314:4 | restart 6180:21 | 6264:15 6265:10 | 6276:20 6304:24 |
| 6188:15,15 | 6315:23 | restoration 6218:18 | 6265:14,19,22 | 6351:25 6358:6 |
| 6189:14 6193:11 | required 6188:13 | 6219:8 6261:18 | 6269:8 6270:1,13 | risks 6263:19 |
| 6193:11,13 | 6193:17 6240:2 | 6261:21 | 6272:16,20 | 6264:6,8 6281:22 |
| 6196:13 6200:6,7 | 6266:17 6274:23 | result 6248:11 | 6273:10 6274:11 | 6300:12 6356:21 |
| 6201:23,25 | 6301:4 6312:7 | 6267:3 6274:2 | 6275:13 6284:2,6 | 6358:14 |


| Page 6403 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| River 6178:1,5 | 6185:18 6191:14 | 6219:9 6258:22 | 6205:14 6210:12 | separated 6260:11 |
| 6206:20 6208:1 | 6194:18,19 | 6261:22 6262:1,4 | 6211:25 6212:16 | separately 6202:2 |
| 6210:2 6211:18 | 6199:11 6200:12 | 6262:6,19 6263:3 | 6212:25 6214:5 | separating 6263:19 |
| 6217:21 6328:11 | 6200:15 6204:15 | 6265:20 6273:20 | 6214:25 6219:4,6 | 6286:12 6288:8 |
| 6370:16 | 6211:21 6213:6 | 6299:12 6358:2 | 6219:23 6226:14 | 6288:21 6298:16 |
| road 6223:12 | 6216:4 6231:23 | 6358:12,13,24,25 | 6226:18,18 | 6300:18 6301:15 |
| 6244:4 | 6235:18 6236:22 | scenarios 6218:22 | 6228:10,16 | 6301:21 6319:11 |
| roaring 6218:1 | 6239:2 6247:21 | 6299:15 6320:14 | 6233:19 6234:22 | separation 6256:25 |
| Robert 6159:20 | 6255:12 6258:13 | 6358:3 | 6235:1 6236:11 | 6286:17,20,24 |
| robust 6267:25 | 6269:17 6289:3 | scenic 6245:23 | 6237:22 6238:16 | 6308:22 |
| rocket 6181:11 | 6307:1 6309:5 | schedule 6275:5 | 6238:17 6242:3 | September 6177:5 |
| role 6181:6 | 6310:20 6319:14 | 6334:18 | 6246:16 6250:25 | 6200:10,11 |
| Rolls 6210:10 | 6324:20 6346:25 | scheduled 6171:2 | 6262:1 6264:6 | series 6313:11 |
| room 6171:25 | 6350:13 6352:15 | 6276:20 | 6267:18 6273:2 | seriously 6273:9 |
| 6296:5 6311:20 | 6357:14,16 | science 6177:1 | 6289:2,2 6293:9 | 6315:25 |
| rotating 6248:13 | 6361:9,19,24 | 6181:11 6315:24 | 6308:8 6309:1 | serve 6306:18 |
| roughly 6365:19 | 6366:6 | Scotland 6229:22 | 6314:14 6318:22 | served 6207:21 |
| route 6161:16,22 | SAPOTAWEYAK | 6230:9 6238:11 | 6328:15 6352:8 | 6208:12 |
| 6166:6,10 | 6159:17 | 6331:11 | 6352:12,16,17 | service 6196:15 |
| 6167:20 6168:4 | Sargeant 6159:2 | scratching 6297:24 | 6355:22 6360:12 | 6237:3 6238:25 |
| 6181:14 6182:22 | 6161:6,10 | screen 6181:22 | 6368:4 6374:12 | 6239:25 6269:1,9 |
| 6184:18,18,25 | 6165:21,25 | 6308:11 | seeing 6331:2 | 6269:13,17 |
| 6185:2,3,8 6186:3 | 6167:5,11 | sea 6220:10 6222:4 | seek 6171:13 | 6274:14 6275:8 |
| 6186:9 6196:3 | Saskatchewan | 6325:5 6368:11 | 6174:24 | 6286:21 6291:19 |
| 6204:1,1 6227:16 | 6177:7,10,18,22 | second 6193:19 | seem 6278:4 6282:4 | 6291:20 6295:14 |
| 6238:21 6244:13 | 6179:20 6221:6,8 | 6215:1 6251:10 | 6294:18 6330:21 | 6299:1,3 6305:9 |
| 6245:1 6265:18 | 6297:14 | 6253:22 6256:21 | seems 6215:4,12 | 6305:10 6308:15 |
| 6280:13 6315:10 | satisfy 6246:19 | 6259:2 6269:15 | 6246:3 6274:4 | 6362:10 6365:18 |
| 6371:6 | satisfying 6268:19 | 6270:2 6272:5 | 6333:2 6367:11 | set 6277:1 6296:13 |
| routes 6182:3,11 | saved 6274:18 | 6273:5 6290:8 | seen 6184:6 6189:5 | setting 6289:22 |
| 6184:1,5,21 | saving 6227:2 | 6308:20 6310:6 | 6190:22 6197:15 | seven 6233:20 |
| 6185:9 6205:14 | 6251:3 6272:2 | 6311:24 6331:20 | 6204:7 6220:1 | 6235:1 6313:1 |
| routing 6275:5 | savings 6204:22 | 6346:6 6352:4 | 6263:24 6343:24 | seventh 6354:23,24 |
| 6315:6 6367:15 | 6256:16 6266:3 | 6355:11,13,25 | 6343:25 | several 6170:11 |
| Royce 6210:10 | 6274:21 | 6356:5,11,18 | segment 6325:6 | 6172:25 6173:25 |
| rule 6352:15 | saw 6229:7,8 | 6362:25 | selection 6196:3 | 6213:16 6231:8 |
| run 6170:15 | 6284:21 6293:13 | seconded 6178:25 | 6232:1 | 6232:10 6366:12 |
| 6265:21 6308:8 | 6302:20 6306:11 | secondly 6169:22 | selections 6181:14 | severe 6182:19 |
| 6329:7 6363:3 | 6329:17 6332:16 | 6179:22 6248:23 | self 6313:17 | 6219:12 6221:5 |
| running 6219:1 | saying 6196:3,12 | 6249:22 | sell 6297:4 6327:5 | 6229:3 6274:3 |
| 6259:23 6290:19 | 6217:23 6239:20 | secret 6223:18 | seller 6298:7 6327:5 | shallow 6234:17 |
| 6291:7 6295:22 | 6240:25 6244:8 | secretary 6159:5 | sending 6199:4 | Shannon 6159:9 |
| 6312:13 6359:21 | 6257:10,14 | 6165:9 6343:10 | senior 6287:5,10 | 6169:24 6170:5 |
| runs 6280:5 | 6267:15 6270:21 | 6372:1 | 6301:6 6303:16 | Shaun 6159:22 |
| 6370:13 | 6287:2 6291:3 | section 6216:21 | sense 6181:12 | Shawinigan |
| runway 6217:24 | 6298:18 6299:4 | 6242:3 6244:7 | 6228:24 6239:7 | 6178:11 |
| runways 6217:24 | 6309:23 6318:17 | 6246:5 6265:6 | 6252:4 6264:3 | sheath 6234:25 |
| rushing 6369:23 | 6339:14,25 | 6266:11 6269:7,9 | 6307:19 6323:7 | shed 6198:23 |
|  | 6348:3 6364:12 | 6269:20 6271:13 | sensible 6268:25 | 6292:18,25 |
| S | says 6170:1 6191:22 | 6314:3 6335:4 | sent 6169:24 6177:6 | 6293:10 6295:22 |
| safe 6336:24 | 6248:9 6253:14 | sectionalization | 6230:14 | shedding 6198:21 |
| sake 6311:19 | 6256:22 6258:10 | 6189:6,10 | sentence 6248:3 | 6198:21,22 |
| 6363:25 | 6258:21 6259:6 | sections 6242:23 | separate 6218:5 | 6199:2,3 6200:23 |
| sakes 6329:5 | 6266:14 6280:4 | see 6170:2 6186:18 | 6234:18 6241:10 | 6200:24 6249:17 |
| sale 6180:19,22 | 6281:19 6292:25 | 6187:3 6188:3,21 | 6245:11 6250:13 | 6258:8,9,19,22 |
| 6367:7 | 6293:1 6299:11 | 6189:15 6190:24 | 6284:1,13 | 6293:14,16 |
| sales 6180:18 | 6320:4 6358:7 | 6191:11 6192:1 | 6287:18 6292:14 | 6294:4,9,24 |
| 6201:10 6269:18 | 6360:5 | 6193:1,14,24 | 6294:10 6304:20 | 6295:10,25 |
| 6370:24 | scanned 6343:10 | 6196:14 6198:12 | 6304:23 6319:1 | 6296:3 |
| same 6182:3 | scenario 6218:21 | 6200:25 6201:25 | 6349:8 | sheds 6295:16 |


| Page 6404 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| shield 6223:24 | significant 6173:12 | sitting 6339:8 | society 6209:19,21 | 6232:14 6235:9 |
| 6224:20 | 6181:3 6242:24 | situation 6203:16 | 6268:11 | 6235:14 6236:24 |
| shining 6223:2 | 6248:12 6256:23 | 6213:6,25 | socioeconomic | 6237:8 6250:11 |
| ship 6239:8 | 6266:18 6274:21 | 6214:11 6218:24 | 6315:5 | 6250:24 6253:5 |
| shore 6243:12 | 6281:20,25 | 6226:7,12 | solar 6209:6 | 6254:10 6255:13 |
| short 6172:14 | 6286:22,23 | 6237:18 6238:19 | sold 6276:7 | 6255:15 6257:21 |
| 6174:3 6176:25 | 6288:9 6326:17 | 6279:24 6289:4 | solid 6231:22 | 6263:3 6270:7 |
| 6193:13 6227:16 | 6326:21 6363:4 | 6292:9 6293:10 | solution 6251:6 | 6279:12 6285:8 |
| 6249:15 6277:6 | 6368:13 | 6298:18 6303:3 | 6254:20 6265:2 | 6301:7 6304:4 |
| 6333:10 6340:15 | significantly | 6314:6 6334:8 | 6294:9 6317:17 | 6306:17,20,25 |
| 6362:5 6366:9 | 6209:22 6226:5 | 6335:23 6359:4 | 6317:20,21 | 6307:12 6308:9 |
| 6369:8 | 6233:22 6241:5 | 6363:24 6368:22 | solve 6217:19 | 6310:22,25 |
| shorten 6226:4 | 6368:3 | situations 6208:18 | 6250:20 | 6313:1 6314:23 |
| shorter 6237:16 | similar 6213:6 | 6317:16 | some 6169:17,18 | 6333:19 6340:6 |
| 6286:1 | 6214:3 6232:14 | six 6185:16,18 | 6171:9 6172:15 | 6353:8,9,22 |
| shortest 6185:10,11 | 6234:25 6237:24 | 6187:12 6218:20 | 6176:2 6184:8,14 | 6360:23 6361:12 |
| shortfall 6353:3 | 6281:11 6289:3 | 6219:2,10 | 6192:24 6196:8 | 6361:16 6371:6 |
| shortly 6189:4 | 6291:15 6340:18 | 6249:19 6251:18 | 6201:2 6205:18 | sometimes 6267:18 |
| 6216:9 | 6341:19 6371:3 | 6251:24 6262:7 | 6206:23 6210:19 | 6279:22 6295:23 |
| shoulder 6183:14 | simple 6316:5 | size 6211:6 | 6211:21 6214:5 | 6317:15,15 |
| 6197:16,23,25 | simplistic 6357:7 | sizing 6178:18 | 6215:22 6217:6 | somewhat 6291:15 |
| 6198:8 6248:24 | simply 6173:7 | Skagerrak 6235:24 | 6217:22 6218:12 | 6311:10 |
| 6249:13 6258:15 | 6264:23 6283:20 | 6282:5 6326:19 | 6219:6,14 6220:6 | somewhere 6191:3 |
| 6297:19 6349:5 | simulations 6267:8 | 6331:15 | 6220:22 6224:18 | 6203:24 6205:6 |
| 6350:23 6351:10 | 6268:8 | skill 6375:10 | 6233:16 6237:2 | 6289:22 6340:2 |
| show 6189:3,11 | simultaneously | skilled 6334:14 | 6239:1 6241:16 | 6342:10 6348:13 |
| 6193:6 6205:4 | 6217:20 6303:1 | skills 6263:17 | 6243:3 6244:10 | 6365:22 |
| 6215:17 6216:9 | since 6165:12 | 6317:9 | 6245:22 6246:2 | soon 6257:23 |
| 6220:9 6226:14 | 6172:3 6176:19 | sky 6262:14 | 6246:25 6249:7,7 | sophisticated |
| 6261:13 6320:16 | 6207:16 6233:10 | slide 6183:2 6191:6 | 6250:23 6251:6 | 6329:11 |
| 6350:10,13,14 | 6235:6,15 6276:7 | 6191:7,18 | 6252:9,22 6256:5 | sorry 6191:19 |
| 6352:13 6360:18 | 6314:24 6319:20 | 6195:22 6196:2 | 6260:4 6261:12 | 6199:8 6202:7 |
| 6360:21 | 6323:13 6340:23 | 6198:6 6201:22 | 6262:4 6268:18 | 6263:11,11 |
| showed 6204:1 | 6369:21 | 6204:24 6205:13 | 6269:11 6282:9 | 6264:7 6266:11 |
| 6222:6,7 6308:6 | Sinclairs 6230:9 | 6212:9,21 | 6285:3,12 | 6273:4 6278:9 |
| 6350:14 6369:12 | single 6227:19,21 | 6214:13 6220:7 | 6290:10 6293:21 | 6288:5 6309:19 |
| showing 6190:3 | 6241:10 6259:19 | 6220:21 6221:13 | 6297:21 6303:12 | 6328:6 6363:10 |
| 6255:7 6337:5 | 6274:10 6277:20 | 6222:10 6234:21 | 6307:25 6309:8 | 6372:14 |
| shown 6195:23 | 6313:9 | 6237:2 6240:13 | 6311:14 6312:7 | sort 6190:24 |
| 6215:11 6221:2,9 | sir 6209:14 6233:14 | 6241:8,14 6242:8 | 6313:15 6314:4 | 6246:24 6263:1 |
| 6243:8 6255:14 | 6247:9 6251:15 | 6243:8,9,9,13 | 6315:6 6317:12 | 6267:25 6280:11 |
| 6257:19 6309:24 | 6259:12 6261:10 | 6244:5 6245:6,16 | 6319:3 6325:2,5 | 6281:14 6330:23 |
| 6350:12 | 6342:22 6344:15 | 6245:18,19 | 6330:24 6332:25 | 6339:3 6363:16 |
| shows 6188:22,23 | 6344:21 6345:19 | 6365:16,17 | 6335:23 6336:5 | 6365:5 |
| 6189:23 6200:8 | 6346:21 6347:3 | 6369:12 | 6338:3,7,22 | sorted 6178:25 |
| 6210:5 6214:17 | 6348:25 6354:17 | slides 6169:17 | 6343:8 6344:7,16 | 6365:5 |
| 6221:23 6258:14 | 6355:2,8,20 | 6249:14 | 6345:7 6346:22 | sorts 6213:19 |
| 6350:1 | 6358:3,21 | slightly 6223:8 | 6348:18 6355:18 | 6244:18 |
| shrinking 6211:7 | sister 6230:10 | 6237:25 6280:15 | 6356:7,10 6366:4 | sound 6323:16 |
| shut 6334:19 | sit 6170:8 6172:11 | 6327:17 | 6366:9,10 | 6335:11,13 |
| side 6172:19 | site 6186:21 6203:9 | slow 6279:7 | someone 6209:5 | source 6196:17,21 |
| 6186:18 6187:20 | 6244:18 6264:20 | 6295:23 | 6220:6 6297:3 | 6204:8 6211:4 |
| 6207:9,9,10 | 6271:18 6281:18 | small 6178:21 | 6317:21 6319:12 | 6267:9,23 6268:3 |
| 6225:23 6254:12 | 6284:2,15 | 6209:4 6212:19 | 6321:24 | 6307:25 6374:4 |
| 6272:20 6273:14 | 6290:14 6309:2,9 | 6237:12 6240:3 | something 6192:15 | sources 6291:17 |
| 6292:2 6335:3 | 6309:16 6310:9 | smaller 6211:3 | 6193:24,25 | 6338:10 |
| signal 6199:4 | 6312:4 6337:20 | 6212:16 | 6194:11,19 | south 6178:8 |
| signed 6188:18 | 6337:21 6356:8 | smarter 6208:14 | 6198:14,24 | 6179:10 6187:4 |
| significance | sited 6309:1 | smoke 6305:7 | 6199:18 6201:20 | 6202:19 6215:9 |
| 6355:18,20,21 | 6311:23 | smoothing 6364:24 | 6202:20 6215:14 | 6226:2 6229:25 |


| Page 6405 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6231:8 6269:18 | spliced 6333:23 | 6253:22 6360:21 | 6320:19 | 6269:6 |
| 6270:19 6310:11 | splices 6235:3,4,12 | starts 6195:2 | status 6183:3 | stress 6238:8 |
| 6355:14 6370:16 | 6235:13,18,20,22 | state 6266:22 | 6190:13,23 | strictly 6281:10 |
| southeast 6186:3 | 6236:1 6276:20 | 6274:12 6340:10 | 6192:10 | 6325:22 |
| 6220:25 6229:8 | 6277:22 6333:13 | 6367:19 6370:15 | stay 6192:9 6200:15 | strikes 6361:19 |
| 6232:4 6302:22 | 6368:7,8,12,13,15 | stated 6271:14 | 6352:3 | struggle 6352:7 |
| 6303:2 | 6368:19,21,25 | 6275:14 6322:7 | staying 6295:14 | studied 6208:2 |
| southern 6165:6 | 6369:3 | 6338:18 6375:9 | 6346:8 | 6217:15 6226:8,9 |
| 6181:16 6182:12 | splicing 6236:5,6,10 | statement 6248:16 | Ste 6226:2 | 6226:13 6311:2 |
| 6182:18 6195:25 | 6236:20 6241:12 | 6257:3 6264:25 | steel 6223:24 | studies 6177:17,19 |
| 6197:2 6204:1 | 6276:23 6277:7 | 6265:15 6270:24 | Steinbach 6221:4 | 6178:16 6207:12 |
| 6259:5,18 | 6277:18,19,23 | 6272:12 6281:18 | Stenotype 6375:8 | 6209:11 6219:14 |
| 6264:21 6269:4 | 6312:17 6328:20 | 6296:1 6305:22 | step 6282:17 | 6220:1,8 6222:6 |
| 6269:25 6283:9 | 6329:1,8,15 | 6309:4 6345:10 | STEWARDSHIP | 6226:14 6228:11 |
| 6283:18 6284:9 | 6332:23,23 | 6353:8,11 | 6159:6 | 6231:25 6243:3 |
| 6286:13 6305:19 | 6333:4,17 6334:9 | 6358:20 | stick 6223:9 | 6267:9 6310:13 |
| 6306:4,6 6307:5 | 6334:19 6335:9 | statements 6247:24 | 6290:25 | 6322:1 |
| 6308:21 6309:11 | splicings 6266:1 | 6267:6 6347:22 | sticks 6223:14 | study 6179:6 6208:7 |
| 6310:7 6370:8 | splitting 6193:20 | states 6180:5,19 | still 6178:12,24 | 6221:20,22 |
| southwest 6205:3 | 6270:15 | 6181:5 6209:2 | 6181:7 6194:17 | 6228:16 6229:23 |
| 6310:11 | spoken 6357:25 | 6221:15,16 | 6195:6 6197:12 | 6243:5 6246:18 |
| Spain 6245:9 | spot 6205:6 | 6248:3 6249:23 | 6215:24 6216:17 | 6268:7 6273:2,9 |
| 6326:12 | Spruce 6179:22 | 6254:23 6273:24 | 6222:13 6223:23 | 6275:2 6282:16 |
| span 6196:7 | squares 6350:16 | 6307:16 6354:11 | 6224:1,2 6231:19 | 6297:17 6307:15 |
| 6257:25 | St 6203:23 | 6356:12 6370:17 | 6256:18 6257:21 | 6307:15,17 |
| spare 6199:21 | stabilized 6266:1 | station 6179:7 | 6269:10 6274:21 | 6310:22,23 |
| 6238:17,18,21,22 | staff 6176:15,16 | 6181:19 6182:2,8 | 6290:24 6295:21 | 6314:14,15 |
| 6238:25 6239:3,5 | 6179:12 6300:6 | 6182:16 6183:6,8 | 6297:24 6299:24 | 6338:24 |
| 6239:11,12 | 6300:13 6305:16 | 6183:10 6185:1 | 6313:5 6321:7 | studying 6268:12 |
| 6243:14,14,15 | 6339:11 | 6186:16,18,25 | 6327:10 6334:5 | 6296:25 |
| 6245:4 6257:1 | stage 6220:6 | 6187:1 6188:3,10 | 6361:24 6363:19 | stuff 6225:10 |
| 6263:20 6264:9 | 6241:16,24 | 6190:15,19,20 | 6363:20 6364:20 | 6252:11 6291:4 |
| 6264:10 6334:5 | 6242:12 6367:12 | 6195:21 6210:6,8 | 6367:11 6371:9 | 6304:8 6305:8 |
| SPD 6342:6 | stages 6305:16 | 6210:20,23 | Stockwell 6160:3 | sub 6328:21 |
| speaking 6173:19 | stand 6224:23,23 | 6211:5,19 6212:1 | stone 6273:19 | 6329:15 6334:20 |
| special 6281:7,9 | 6290:18 | 6212:11,14,20,21 | stop 6180:1 6196:3 | 6336:17 |
| specialists 6338:22 | standard 6222:18 | 6212:25 6213:15 | stopped 6196:4 | subject 6170:21 |
| specifically 6333:18 | 6222:21 6238:6 | 6216:17 6217:23 | 6282:3,5 | 6181:21 6264:6 |
| specifications | 6240:13 6281:4 | 6218:2 6220:24 | stopping 6250:17 | 6265:25 6286:12 |
| 6231:25 | 6322:21 | 6234:2 6245:16 | stops 6327:16 | 6292:12 6324:25 |
| specify $6253: 15$ | standards 6269:22 | 6252:11,13 | storage 6243:18 | submarine 6233:8 |
| 6293:21 | 6369:20 | 6257:11 6264:21 | storm 6200:5 | 6235:4,9,9,16 |
| speed 6290:21 | star 6320:18 | 6264:23 6270:16 | 6201:9,13,13 | 6236:11,13 |
| 6314:12 | start 6176:9 6180:9 | 6270:19 6283:19 | 6220:25 6221:5 | 6239:5 6241:25 |
| spend 6201:20 | 6181:9 6188:22 | 6284:6 6289:9,25 | 6221:16,21 | 6242:2,23 6277:6 |
| 6210:6 6258:25 | 6190:23 6193:6 | 6290:4,9,14,20 | 6222:12 6224:4 | 6322:16 6325:1,2 |
| 6273:18 6286:5 | 6210:14 6217:12 | 6291:18,24 | 6224:17 6229:3 | 6325:7,19,23 |
| 6293:23 6370:23 | 6232:16 6253:19 | 6292:2 6298:25 | 6302:22 6303:2 | 6332:8 6333:12 |
| spending 6210:18 | 6306:22 6336:12 | 6310:6 6311:24 | 6304:3,16 | 6337:20 6367:25 |
| 6364:21 | 6341:13,18 | 6313:24 6314:1 | 6310:20 6357:14 | 6368:5,10,22 |
| spent 6173:6 | 6348:8 6359:13 | 6318:10 6351:16 | 6357:17 | submissions 6219:5 |
| 6177:12 6197:4 | 6364:6,14 | 6362:19 6366:8 | storms 6222:8 | submit 6170:13 |
| 6208:6 6230:21 | started 6177:10 | stations 6181:23 | 6224:13 6229:1 | 6175:22 |
| 6231:21 6232:9 | 6179:23 6208:16 | 6212:5 6228:12 | 6351:11 6352:1 | submitted 6169:16 |
| 6320:23 6364:18 | 6208:19 6232:2 | 6254:4 6260:10 | story 6352:20 | 6176:9 6203:21 |
| 6370:20 | 6336:14 | 6291:16 6298:24 | straight 6184:10 | subsequently |
| splice 6241:13,25 | starting 6177:5 | 6319:11 6367:3 | Strait 6238:12 | 6231:7 |
| 6328:21 6333:7,9 | 6188:24 6191:12 | statistician 6352:6 | 6239:6 | subsidiary 6178:13 |
| 6333:11,17,20 | 6197:24 6203:9 | 6361:16 | Straits 6331:19 | 6276:7 |
| 6335:1,2 6368:10 | 6233:17 6253:20 | statistics 6311:3 | strength 6259:22 | substantial 6171:18 |


| Page 6406 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| substation 6309:17 | 6244:14,16 | system 6181:18 | takes 6250:15 | 6316:2 6319:25 |
| 6309:18,21 | 6277:14,18 | 6182:5 6188:23 | 6300:13 6304:4 | 6321:21 6323:20 |
| subtotal 6253:1 | 6279:21 6280:23 | 6189:2 6195:4 | taking 6222:21 | 6324:25 6335:19 |
| succeed 6298:3 | 6281:11 6370:4 | 6198:20 6200:20 | 6299:11 6305:8,8 | 6350:15 6365:22 |
| 6339:10 | suppliers 6232:19 | 6204:11 6207:2 | 6346:22 6352:14 | telling 6250:17 |
| succeeded 6290:10 | 6236:9 6277:15 | 6214:7 6225:18 | talk 6186:15 | 6299:2 6328:19 |
| 6297:22 | 6278:3,8 6330:14 | 6225:23 6229:6 | 6187:25 6192:8 | tells 6188:21 |
| success 6290:10 | supplier's 6206:23 | 6266:17 6267:2 | 6195:19 6213:11 | 6246:15 6295:1 |
| successful 6232:21 | supply 6180:12 | 6269:5,25 | 6228:20 6248:17 | temperature |
| sudden 6256:1 | 6248:8 6291:17 | 6272:23 6273:7 | 6251:16 6252:16 | 6222:16,25 |
| suddenly 6305:7 | 6302:23 6307:24 | 6286:8 6288:12 | 6254:17 6260:4 | 6223:2,3,6,7 |
| suffer 6182:19 | supplying 6240:2 | 6289:14,19 | 6266:5 6303:9 | 6238:7 6240:15 |
| 6192:11 6302:10 | 6330:22 | 6295:18 6297:1 | 6344:5 6348:23 | 6240:19 6241:1,2 |
| suffered 6212:12 | support 6259:22 | 6300:16,22 | 6348:24 | 6328:19,25 |
| sufficient 6293:16 | 6269:6 | 6309:11 | talked 6192:21,22 | 6329:15 6334:17 |
| suggest 6211:10 | suppose 6289:21 | systems 6177:4 | 6204:6 6292:7 | 6335:5 6337:2 |
| 6228:20 6288:19 | 6294:2 | 6210:3 6225:12 | talking 6165:16 | temperatures |
| 6301:19,25 | supposed 6298:12 | 6278:12 | 6184:5 6196:6 | 6221:1,9 6222:2 |
| 6320:4 6355:10 | sure 6170:12 6184:7 |  | 6198:12,13 | 6222:19 6249:7 |
| 6357:9 | 6185:3 6206:1 | T | 6199:25,25 | 6328:22 6336:17 |
| suggested 6193:14 | 6227:20 6259:13 | table 6190:1 6252:7 | 6213:7 6235:2 | Templeton 6178:10 |
| 6194:7 6205:14 | 6260:2 6282:11 | 6254:13 6255:17 | 6236:18,18 | temporarily |
| 6228:24 6251:17 | 6292:8 6295:8 | 6255:24 6256:19 | 6242:3 6255:8,9 | 6262:21 |
| 6289:1 6321:11 | 6301:10 6303:6 | 6325:21 6346:2 | 6279:11 6297:7 | temporary 6262:23 |
| 6367:15 | 6305:14 6310:21 | 6347:8 6348:23 | 6297:12 6302:25 | ten 6316:18 6320:17 |
| suggesting 6184:12 | 6313:25 6332:18 | 6349:11 6353:1 | 6305:6,8 6314:10 | 6366:5 |
| 6186:21 6210:20 | 6347:7 6348:1 | tables 6350:5 | 6333:19 6340:3 | tend 6235:17 |
| 6218:17 6309:22 | 6355:18 6357:1 | TAC 6161:12 | 6360:5 6374:3 | 6267:24 |
| 6346:10,25 | 6357:23 6361:17 | 6166:2 6167:14 | talks 6266:6 | tends 6220:10 |
| 6366:24 | 6366:17 | tackle 6288:7 | tampering 6316:9 | tent 6329:3,6,10 |
| suggestion 6262:12 | surely 6315:13 | $\boldsymbol{t a g}$ 6312:14 | tank 6279:8 | tents 6334:24 |
| 6281:2 6328:2 | surface 6223:12 | take 6168:19 | tanks 6279:9 | term 6297:11 |
| 6344:20 | surplus 6189:17 | 6171:20 6174:12 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a p }} 6265: 13$ | 6370:3 |
| suggests 6202:3 | 6190:3,4 6360:25 | 6176:22 6178:14 | tapes 6238:1,3 | terminal 6179:5 |
| 6367:17 | surpluses 6352:16 | 6187:14 6195:15 | 6240:21 | 6283:9 6284:10 |
| suitable 6333:17 | Surrey 6231:7 | 6199:5 6201:15 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a r }}$ 6262:15 | 6308:24 6312:3 |
| summarize 6286:3 | survey 6282:19 | 6201:16 6204:17 | tasks 6305:15 | terminals 6362:8 |
| summarized | surveys 6231:25 | 6204:19 6208:14 | Tasmania 6234:3,5 | terminated 6184:1 |
| 6293:19 | 6282:17 | 6218:1,6 6239:7 | TATASKWEYAK | 6187:20 |
| summarizes | suspect 6302:17 | 6242:13 6245:8 | 6159:21 | terminates 6310:1 |
| 6324:22 | 6315:22 6335:20 | 6245:11 6246:17 | team 6277:19 | termination |
| summary 6209:12 | suspected 6355:17 | 6247:4,4 6249:4 | teams 6277:16,19 | 6233:18 6251:14 |
| 6273:17 | sustained 6225:22 | 6256:12,13 | tech 6169:3 6279:7 | 6252:13 6259:5 |
| summer 6180:12 | Sweden 6237:8 | 6258:4,16 6260:2 | technical 6207:9 | 6312:7 |
| 6200:17,21 | 6241:23 6371:4,8 | 6260:23 6261:3 | 6263:17 6291:1 | terms 6174:10 |
| 6299:18,24 | switch 6215:25 | 6276:17 6279:21 | technicians 6217:22 | 6236:10 6253:1 |
| 6351:25 | 6309:10,20 | 6281:1 6286:20 | technologies 6268:8 | 6258:11 6259:15 |
| summertime | switched 6224:14 | 6292:1 6307:11 | technology 6212:2 | 6276:23 6278:24 |
| 6350:24 6371:21 | switching 6252:11 | 6310:16 6314:15 | 6230:2,6 6231:3 | 6279:11,25 |
| sun 6223:2 | 6305:6 | 6319:5,6,21 | 6266:15,18 | 6281:2,21 6316:5 |
| super 6340:7 | switchyard 6311:23 | 6334:3 6340:15 | 6267:10 6369:14 | 6342:25 6347:19 |
| supplemental | sworn 6169:4,7,9,11 | 6340:25 6347:8 | 6369:16 6370:1 | 6348:20,22 |
| 6161:20,21 | Sylmar 6211:19,25 | 6348:8 6356:12 | telecommunicatio... | 6351:8 6352:20 |
| 6166:9,19 6168:1 | 6212:3,10,14,25 | 6356:13 6362:3 | 6290:21 | 6357:10 6358:5 |
| 6168:3 | 6213:15 6289:25 | 6363:12 6370:3 | tell 6174:18,20 | 6367:9 |
| supplied 6237:15 | 6290:20 6291:24 | taken 6179:11 | 6179:12 6192:3 | terrible 6214:11 |
| 6244:14 6281:10 | sympathetic 6172:8 | 6208:10 6213:8 | 6201:4 6244:17 | 6220:25 6221:16 |
| 6281:11 | 6172:23 6173:2 | 6237:13 6258:4 | 6249:10 6285:16 | territory 6173:8 |
| supplier 6206:20 | synchronous | 6276:3 6320:15 | 6289:10 6291:9 | Terry 6159:2 |
| 6211:1 6237:14 | 6178:17 6267:5 | 6335:24 6375:8 | 6301:2 6312:16 | Teshmont 6178:9 |


| 6178:12 6192:12 | 6350:13 6366:6 | 6269:20 6329:23 | throwing 6268:19 | today 6165:4,16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6200:6,7 6208:24 | things 6192:24 | 6330:1 6334:2 | thrust 6264:11 | 6169:17 6171:4 |
| 6231:13 6257:17 | 6199:14 6211:6 | thoroughly 6174:4 | 6354:16 | 6172:1,7,15 |
| 6271:5 6317:3,5,6 | 6219:11 6225:15 | 6247:11,12 | thunder 6200:5 | 6173:16 6174:6 |
| 6317:21,23 | 6227:4 6238:20 | 6302:3 | Thursday 6171:9 | 6174:15 6210:24 |
| 6318:6,14 6320:4 | 6243:4 6244:19 | though 6243:25 | 6173:22 6297:23 | 6224:16 6225:10 |
| 6351:13 6357:18 | 6245:3 6267:25 | 6322:24 6326:7 | 6342:25 6374:8 | 6238:25 6286:4 |
| Teshmont's 6319:3 | 6282:6,14 | thought 6197:3 | thyristor 6195:17 | 6288:10 6290:18 |
| test 6281:6,7,9 | 6296:22 6299:10 | 6233:15 6306:10 | tie 6254:18 6350:11 | 6295:6 6296:5,16 |
| 6369:21,24 | 6315:1 6318:6 | 6317:6 6319:9 | time 6171:2,9 | 6302:16 6305:1 |
| 6370:3 | 6322:8 6330:12 | 6320:12 6333:5 | 6172:14 6173:13 | 6306:14 6309:15 |
| tested 6239:23 | 6360:25 | 6337:7 6366:16 | 6175:23 6176:2 | 6314:6 6321:12 |
| testimony 6251:12 | think 6189:12,18 | 6369:11,13 | 6177:7 6178:9,13 | 6330:17 6331:2 |
| 6346:15 | 6190:8 6191:15 | thoughtful 6341:16 | 6178:15,20 | 6341:21 6352:7 |
| testing 6232:11,12 | 6192:2 6195:8 | thousand 6189:12 | 6179:24 6180:11 | 6354:5 6371:23 |
| 6233:3,4 6332:6,7 | 6202:18 6204:18 | 6198:14,15 | 6180:12,24 | 6372:3 |
| thank 6168:18,21 | 6216:24 6218:24 | 6243:16,17,21 | 6189:12 6196:21 | together 6179:9 |
| 6169:5 6171:19 | 6218:25 6219:15 | three 6177:12 | 6206:21 6207:3 | 6236:19 6237:14 |
| 6174:7 6175:16 | 6226:8,22 6227:6 | 6189:24 6190:9,9 | 6208:13 6210:5 | 6277:12 6318:18 |
| 6205:16 6209:15 | 6227:18 6232:15 | 6194:23 6195:4 | 6211:21 6213:9 | Tokyo 6278:10 |
| 6229:15 6246:21 | 6234:19 6235:3 | 6197:24 6199:16 | 6213:13,18 | 6331:23 |
| 6246:22 6261:2,2 | 6237:1,10,18 | 6228:11,12,21,22 | 6216:3 6223:13 | told 6244:20 6288:6 |
| 6261:10 6271:1 | 6239:13 6240:6 | 6230:24,25 | 6227:7 6229:7 | 6289:19 6312:6 |
| 6271:10 6276:12 | 6240:24 6243:2,9 | 6231:12,22 | 6230:8,20 6232:9 | 6323:5 6325:8 |
| 6282:20,22 | 6245:5 6247:7 | 6234:6 6238:16 | 6232:23,25 | 6337:14 |
| 6302:7 6330:11 | 6249:21 6251:16 | 6242:13,19 | 6236:7,22 | tomorrow 6374:4 |
| 6338:13 6339:7 | 6252:17,21 | 6246:11 6250:13 | 6237:10,16 | 6374:12 |
| 6340:13,14 | 6253:5 6256:8 | 6270:17,22,23 | 6239:8 6240:12 | top 6186:17,17 |
| 6345:15 6347:25 | 6257:9,15,17 | 6278:7 6281:4,13 | 6241:12 6247:22 | 6189:22,23 |
| 6348:15 6351:17 | 6260:19 6267:15 | 6306:18 6309:5 | 6249:15 6253:16 | 6190:9 6194:2,11 |
| 6359:5,6,7,8 | 6271:9 6277:7,20 | 6320:8 6321:22 | 6261:18 6263:2 | 6200:14 6234:1 |
| 6367:8 6369:6,7 | 6278:6 6279:20 | 6321:23 6328:14 | 6269:17 6271:25 | 6273:23 6299:9 |
| 6370:6 6371:2,11 | 6280:12,18 | 6333:23 6334:8 | 6273:18 6275:17 | 6352:10 6355:10 |
| 6371:16,22,22 | 6282:14 6284:19 | 6335:7,13 6338:3 | 6275:19 6276:11 | 6360:5,11 |
| 6374:2,13 | 6293:25 6294:13 | 6349:8,9,11,21 | 6276:23,25 | 6363:12 6364:1 |
| thanks 6199:7 | 6294:16 6296:23 | 6356:15 6359:21 | 6277:7,23 | topic 6308:17 |
| 6253:24 | 6299:12 6309:19 | 6361:8,10,22 | 6281:13 6292:2,4 | 6315:23 6335:21 |
| their 6174:3,4,5,20 | 6311:4,18 | 6362:8 6369:17 | 6293:7,8 6295:15 | topics 6165:16 |
| 6180:13,13 | 6313:13 6316:10 | 6370:4 | 6295:15 6298:19 | tore 6212:17 |
| 6186:8 6196:19 | 6318:16 6324:20 | three-eighths | 6301:18 6303:5 | tornado 6200:4 |
| 6201:11 6202:18 | 6325:3,8,25 | 6223:25 | 6305:3,11 | 6352:1 |
| 6213:16 6214:7 | 6329:12 6336:6,7 | through 6170:12 | 6308:19 6314:15 | tornadoes 6257:16 |
| 6243:4 6252:15 | 6336:18 6341:11 | 6172:11,12,16,18 | 6318:14 6319:14 | total 6185:6 6186:5 |
| 6252:20 6256:8 | 6342:15,21 | 6174:2 6175:4,12 | 6333:24 6335:18 | 6197:9 6202:7 |
| 6264:4 6268:12 | 6344:2 6346:14 | 6179:7 6183:16 | 6335:25 6337:16 | 6233:8,25 6265:2 |
| 6274:6 6285:8 | 6349:20 6350:2 | 6184:17 6190:12 | 6343:8 6344:1,1 | 6267:21 6286:6 |
| 6297:24 6307:15 | 6351:4,12 | 6198:3,6 6202:2 | 6359:6 6366:1,7,9 | totally 6355:19 |
| 6317:8,9 6327:12 | 6352:25 6355:23 | 6206:3 6207:6 | 6374:11 6375:9 | touch 6272:13 |
| 6332:1,2 6354:16 | 6357:17,21 | 6219:13 6220:2 | timely 6170:14 | 6277:7,10 |
| 6364:12 6367:7 | 6362:9 6363:17 | 6222:14,14 | times 6192:24 | 6280:11 6325:24 |
| themes 6165:6 | 6365:8 6368:24 | 6231:24 6233:14 | 6213:16 6234:7 | touching 6242:25 |
| themselves 6255:10 | 6369:8 6370:1,10 | 6247:4,4 6249:18 | 6236:5,5 6295:17 | towards 6178:19 |
| thereabouts 6364:2 | 6371:16 | 6280:6 6297:1 | 6296:2 6312:25 | 6295:13 6352:9 |
| they'd 6178:24 | thinking 6189:13 | 6299:24 6307:21 | 6321:12,18 | 6352:10 |
| thing 6190:24 | 6236:10 6285:11 | 6311:4,5 6332:23 | 6334:8 6341:22 | tower 6262:20,24 |
| 6202:4 6216:5 | thinks 6321:17 | 6335:16 6336:10 | 6358:1 6366:12 | towers 6217:5 |
| 6219:24 6237:24 | third 6186:24 | 6343:10 6352:19 | timing 6182:9 | 6218:11 6219:22 |
| 6239:19 6240:20 | 6211:6 6229:13 | 6361:20 | 6192:23 6281:2 | 6224:3,22 |
| 6242:16 6247:5 | 6237:21 6239:13 | throughout 6301:13 | title 6325:9 | 6315:15 6318:13 |
| 6296:24 6333:7 | 6251:11 6264:20 | throw 6276:10 | titled 6188:16 | 6318:20 |


| Page 6408 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| track 6290:5 | 6246:16 | Twin 6295:13 | unavailable | undertaken |
| trained 6339:11 | tremendous | two 6163:4 6169:25 | 6172:21 | 6208:21 6238:12 |
| transcript 6158:18 | 6221:14 6303:12 | 6171:12 6172:3 | uncertainty 6282:9 | 6275:2 |
| 6375:8 | trench 6234:12 | 6172:17 6176:19 | undefined 6264:6,7 | undertaking |
| transcripts 6339:21 | 6241:11,21 | 6178:7 6184:7 | under 6172:10 | 6161:14 6166:3 |
| 6340:3 6344:13 | 6242:3,7 6246:18 | 6191:20 6195:6,7 | 6180:17 6215:7 | 6167:17 6343:20 |
| Transengerie | 6280:18 | 6196:15 6197:13 | 6216:22 6220:14 | undertakings |
| 6276:6 | trenches 6241:10,15 | 6198:10 6208:1 | 6232:22 6248:1 | 6161:8 6165:22 |
| transfer 6217:17 | 6242:4 6245:11 | 6211:23 6213:1 | 6255:22 6259:21 | 6167:7 |
| 6267:19 | trenching 6335:9 | 6214:22,24 | 6276:19 6279:6 | underwater |
| transferred 6276:9 | trial 6173:9 | 6220:23 6222:6,7 | 6280:22 6281:16 | 6367:10 |
| transformers | tried 6290:8 | 6222:8,23 6224:6 | 6359:16 | undeveloped 6187:5 |
| 6202:16,16 | 6350:14 | 6230:18,18 | undergo 6369:20 | undoubtedly 6324:2 |
| 6212:18 6348:7 | trip 6297:10 | 6234:17 6236:18 | underground | unfortunately |
| 6364:23 | tripped 6269:9 | 6236:19,21,22 | 6183:18 6186:1 | 6237:6 |
| transition 6234:1 | 6289:16 6313:9 | 6237:13 6238:8 | 6203:1 6209:1 | unit 6197:21 6198:1 |
| 6245:16 | tripping 6274:3 | 6239:22 6241:8,9 | 6217:2 6225:19 | 6198:2 |
| transmission | 6288:13 6313:18 | 6241:18 6243:17 | 6236:17 6243:5 | United 6206:24 |
| 6158:6 6177:11 | tropical 6241:3 | 6244:14 6246:6 | 6246:9 6256:6 | 6209:2 6221:15 |
| 6178:2 6181:19 | trouble 6298:12 | 6249:18 6257:20 | 6275:15,18,24 | 6221:15 6254:23 |
| 6183:13 6193:16 | 6319:22 | 6260:12 6263:23 | 6276:10 6277:5 | 6303:8,12 |
| 6193:18 6197:12 | troubles 6229:5 | 6277:15,19,21,22 | 6280:8 6312:12 | 6307:16 6354:11 |
| 6197:13,14 | 6295:14 | 6277:25 6281:1 | 6312:18 6315:10 | 6356:12 |
| 6198:7 6199:20 | true 6239:9 6259:14 | 6283:12 6295:23 | 6315:19 6316:1 | units 6200:21 |
| 6207:5,8,17 | 6275:2 6287:17 | 6301:11,16 | 6316:24 6317:1 | 6299:3 |
| 6208:17,18,22 | 6292:9 6300:6,17 | 6303:1 6306:19 | 6321:7,13 | university 6176:20 |
| 6209:10,18 | 6319:4 6327:16 | 6307:16 6320:8 | 6322:20,25 | 6177:2 6207:1 |
| 6210:3,3 6212:13 | 6353:16 6375:7 | 6326:16 6328:14 | 6324:7,17,24 | 6225:8 6229:21 |
| 6214:15 6216:15 | trust 6220:13 | 6331:9 6332:23 | 6325:6,9,12,15,22 | 6229:25 6311:3 |
| 6218:9 6220:5 | 6276:8 6295:19 | 6334:1,10,11,13 | 6325:22 6326:4 | unless 6217:9 |
| 6221:7 6224:19 | try 6247:10 6255:6 | 6339:8 6340:24 | 6327:11,15 | 6301:18,23 |
| 6225:12 6228:4 | 6286:2 6295:4 | 6350:5 6354:13 | 6328:9,19 | 6302:1 6335:19 |
| 6228:15 6229:2 | 6303:18 6318:1 | 6355:7 6359:14 | 6329:21 6333:8 | 6343:1 |
| 6241:5 6243:5 | 6328:4 6330:22 | 6359:20 6360:1 | 6336:4,16 | unlike 6270:14 |
| 6248:7 6257:1 | 6332:25 6350:16 | 6361:23 6362:23 | 6367:10,20,24 | 6303:2 |
| 6261:17,24 | 6358:14 | 6367:2 6370:17 | 6368:3 6369:3 | unplanned 6257:2 |
| 6263:21 6264:10 | trying 6208:7 | 6372:20,21 | undersea 6209:1 | unsuccessful |
| 6264:11 6265:18 | 6226:5 6298:22 | 6373:23 | understand 6173:25 | 6234:16 |
| 6265:23 6269:21 | 6324:14 6332:19 | two-week 6175:25 | 6174:25 6175:3 | until 6170:7 |
| 6270:20 6271:16 | 6351:24 6359:24 | Tymofichuk | 6276:21 6284:3 | 6172:21 6193:5 |
| 6272:9,22 6273:7 | 6362:21 6363:16 | 6188:19 | 6285:2 6286:11 | 6200:17 6206:21 |
| 6273:16 6274:20 | Tuesday 6158:20 | type 6199:19 6214:3 | 6287:3 6289:24 | 6208:15 6217:11 |
| 6275:7,12,15,24 | 6165:1 6173:17 | 6232:11 6234:11 | 6291:2,13 | 6228:9 6234:25 |
| 6276:4 6289:14 | tundra 6336:15 | 6242:17 6281:6,7 | 6296:19,21 | 6264:14 6268:24 |
| 6295:12 6296:13 | tunnel 6245:12 | 6323:1,1 6332:6,7 | 6303:5 6307:2 | 6272:18 6278:4 |
| 6306:23 6314:7,7 | turbine 6307:15 | typical 6236:14 | 6316:4 6318:8,23 | 6293:7 6300:18 |
| 6325:16 6327:2 | turbines 6194:10 | typing 6255:20 | 6320:23 6322:10 | 6342:25 6346:18 |
| 6339:24 6348:20 | 6308:1 |  | 6323:12 6327:9 | 6347:1,10 |
| 6351:8 6361:1 | turbulate 6219:23 | U | 6339:2 6347:7 | 6366:25 6370:25 |
| transport 6244:3 | 6220:3 | Ultimate 6188:16 | 6350:1 6353:14 | unusual 6338:8 |
| transportation | turbulence 6219:16 | 6253:13 6271:7 | 6354:4 6357:2,20 | upfront 6370:21 |
| 6280:14 6281:17 | 6219:19 | 6342:3,5 6374:6 | understanding | upset 6170:7 |
| 6281:22,23 | turn 6165:8 6255:3 | ultimately 6298:7 | 6289:18 6290:18 | urgency 6307:19 |
| transported | 6351:18 | umbrella 6315:1 | 6314:16 6328:20 | urgent 6298:9 |
| 6243:23,25 | turned 6230:14 | um-hum 6321:14 | 6355:12 6359:16 | USD 6245:13 |
| 6282:8 | Turning 6336:9 | 6323:6 6334:15 | understood 6311:4 | use 6186:14 6198:2 |
| travel 6230:23 | 6345:2 | unacceptable | 6339:20 6348:1 | 6217:9 6224:12 |
| tree 6223:12 | turns 6223:14 | 6251:14 6272:9 | 6350:3 | 6239:12 6244:24 |
| trees 6219:18 | 6244:25 | unanswered | undertake 6305:16 | 6245:24,25 |
| 6220:3 6223:16 | twice 6192:7 | 6303:15 | 6342:14 | 6254:8,9,25 |


| Page 6409 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6262:23 6283:20 | varies 6366:8 | viewpoint 6185:22 | 6300:1 6304:10 | weekend 6172:22 |
| 6290:21 6324:13 | variety 6251:13 | views 6286:14 | 6307:13 6308:10 | weeks 6172:3,17 |
| 6327:4,11 | various 6181:13 | violate 6302:5 | 6308:21 6310:17 | 6199:18,25 |
| 6334:24 6336:3 | 6182:8 6184:4 | virtually 6327:24 | 6315:25 6329:14 | 6200:25 6218:20 |
| 6339:23 6348:23 | 6225:24 6234:23 | viscous 6238:2 | 6335:4,21 | 6219:2,10 |
| 6349:15 6368:23 | 6317:10 6324:22 | 6278:10 6331:22 | 6341:18 6344:5 | 6249:18,19,20 |
| used 6169:17 | vary 6233:21 | visions 6252:2 | 6349:8 6357:1,23 | 6262:7 6319:21 |
| 6184:19 6191:9 | VECs 6162:5 | visited 6332:6 | 6358:13 6364:6 | 6329:7 6351:15 |
| 6195:18 6201:18 | 6166:18 6168:16 | visualize 6332:20 | 6367:3 | welcome 6264:5 |
| 6201:23 6202:22 | vegetation 6162:24 | 6332:22 | Wantagh 6244:1 | well 6173:23 |
| 6225:8 6227:3 | 6372:19 6373:21 | voice 6227:12 | wanted 6179:12 | 6174:17,23 |
| 6230:3 6245:21 | ventilated 6329:11 | voids 6240:17 | 6191:25 6200:15 | 6179:11 6180:19 |
| 6246:1,2,4 6252:8 | venture 6187:24 | voltage 6193:15,16 | 6214:21 6365:4 | 6181:16 6182:4 |
| 6262:21 6284:24 | versus 6203:1 | 6196:17,21 | 6366:17 6370:19 | 6183:18 6188:1 |
| 6315:11 6322:24 | 6346:3 6361:3 | 6204:8 6207:14 | wanting 6327:11 | 6188:19 6189:17 |
| 6336:16 6337:13 | very 6171:16 | 6209:18 6211:4 | wants 6173:21 | 6194:18 6213:2 |
| 6346:3 6347:19 | 6172:14 6174:17 | 6220:11,13,15,18 | 6281:6 6341:15 | 6216:20 6221:15 |
| 6349:9,22 | 6189:19 6191:11 | 6224:15 6225:1 | warm 6222:13 | 6225:19 6226:6 |
| 6350:10,17,23 | 6209:9 6210:24 | 6225:23 6229:24 | 6223:8 6329:7 | 6227:4 6236:8,13 |
| 6351:5,20 6354:1 | 6218:19 6221:24 | 6235:11 6237:23 | 6336:19 | 6238:22 6239:4 |
| 6354:13 6368:2 | 6222:1 6232:21 | 6237:23 6241:19 | warmed 6317:5 | 6241:19,20 |
| using 6191:8,10 | 6234:17,24 | 6241:20 6267:9 | warmer 6222:16 | 6242:23 6245:15 |
| 6198:5 6222:20 | 6237:22 6238:19 | 6267:23 6268:3 | warned 6320:18 | 6246:19 6252:18 |
| 6250:24 6256:4 | 6238:21,23,23,25 | 6305:19 6306:3,4 | Warren 6160:3 | 6255:1 6258:24 |
| 6257:15 6268:7 | 6238:25 6239:1,3 | 6306:15 6307:4 | Washington 6214:2 | 6261:8 6262:18 |
| 6280:13 6284:25 | 6239:18,18 | 6312:2,9,13 | wasn't 6170:7,20 | 6271:22 6273:3,4 |
| 6285:11 6325:15 | 6240:15,25 | 6313:8 6325:16 | 6179:11 6187:10 | 6274:5 6275:1 |
| 6345:14 6346:9 | 6244:15,16,19 | 6326:8,8,12 | 6325:13 | 6278:6 6279:1,10 |
| 6348:24 6351:19 | 6253:4 6258:23 | 6329:21 6332:5 | watch 6200:13 | 6280:11 6281:3 |
| usual 6279:24 | 6259:20 6261:1 | 6337:15 | water 6159:6 | 6289:11 6291:10 |
| 6322:4 | 6262:8,16 6279:6 | voltages 6220:14 | 6213:12 6234:17 | 6292:7,11 |
| usually 6191:5 | 6279:13,22 | 6242:10 6326:3 | 6234:17 6235:6 | 6295:11 6296:1,4 |
| 6249:5 6281:13 | 6285:21,21 | volume 6158:16 | 6292:6 6316:6,8 | 6297:21 6299:25 |
| utilities 6180:9 | 6291:5 6292:6,8 | 6350:9 | 6328:10 6333:14 | 6300:20 6301:1 |
| 6197:6 6201:7 | 6294:7 6295:23 | volunteer 6343:1 | way 6176:10 6188:2 | 6301:12,25 |
| 6343:25 6344:10 | 6296:1 6299:22 | VSC 6196:17 | 6190:25 6192:14 | 6312:15 6314:11 |
| 6344:16 6345:20 | 6304:10 6308:16 | 6266:17 | 6199:11 6210:12 | 6316:4,23 |
| utility 6324:12 | 6311:20 6316:8 |  | 6212:8 6215:9 | 6318:23 6319:4 |
| 6327:10 | 6317:13,24 | W | 6216:3,11 6218:2 | 6323:15 6334:12 |
| utility's 6234:19 | 6321:5 6326:16 | W 6188:17 | 6218:8 6225:20 | 6336:6,18 6339:6 |
| utilized 6237:5 | 6326:21 6331:25 | wait 6370:25 | 6226:2,21,21 | 6344:1 6356:20 |
| utilizing 6186:1 | 6332:4 6336:13 | waiting 6231:19 | 6227:7,17 | 6357:24 6359:7 |
| U.K 6230:1,5 | 6338:8 6345:18 | Wales 6238:10 | 6234:20 6247:14 | 6363:7 6365:20 |
| 6237:21 6303:10 | 6366:1 6368:3 | 6331:11 | 6249:2 6250:23 | 6367:18 6368:12 |
| U.S 6189:9 6214:4 | 6369:8 | walk 6316:7 | 6251:21 6252:23 | 6369:16 6371:22 |
| 6221:21 6231:9 | VIC 6323:1 | walking 6311:5 | 6275:14 6280:13 | well-informed |
| 6269:16 6270:3 | vice 6287:13 | wall 6208:1 | 6323:7 6335:3 | 6264:5 |
| 6273:6 6297:14 | vice-president | Wang 6301:12,12 | 6353:19 6363:22 | went 6165:12 |
| 6338:22 | 6231:1 | want 6171:2,3,15 | 6365:1 | 6170:9 6179:1 |
|  | vicinity 6183:10 | 6181:10 6195:3 | weak 6368:14 | 6180:3 6198:5 |
| V | 6190:20 | 6198:2 6216:13 | weather 6219:14 | 6206:25 6211:4 |
| value 6204:20 | Victoria 6234:3 | 6225:20 6234:23 | 6220:1,24 | 6218:11 6221:6 |
| 6340:1 | 6245:17 6370:15 | 6237:7 6241:24 | 6233:22 6261:25 | 6231:24 6232:5 |
| valves 6195:17 | 6370:15 | 6244:17,18 | weave 6174:4 | 6296:2 6302:16 |
| 6202:15 6212:18 | view 6174:23 | 6245:24 6247:3 | Webb 6159:6 | were 6165:13 |
| 6348:6 6364:22 | 6206:23 6210:22 | 6247:23 6248:17 | wee 6170:9 | 6170:13 6171:15 |
| Vancouver 6214:2 | 6227:21 6235:22 | 6249:14 6257:24 | week 6171:14,14 | 6172:7 6178:7,10 |
| variation 6270:16 | 6239:4 6262:4 | 6258:3 6265:2,3 | 6173:16,19 | 6178:19,22,24 |
| 6339:23,24 | 6289:21 6329:13 | 6276:17 6281:8 | 6176:2,3,3,4 | 6180:21 6181:5 |
| 6340:11 | 6351:7 | 6293:17,23 | 6199:23 6218:25 | 6189:12 6199:14 |
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| 6222:10 6274:6 | 6257:13,22,25 | 6223:7 | 108 6161:18 6166:7 | 17 6251:2 6257:15 |
| 6301:11 6324:21 | 6258:4 6259:1 | 0.1 6221:3 | 6167:23 6331:19 | 6257:24 6259:1 |
| 6334:17 6340:2 | 6267:9 6274:18 | 06 6165:15 6166:22 | 109 6161:20 | 6293:24 6319:17 |
| 6341:21 6353:24 | 6276:2 6281:1,5 | 07 6165:18 6167:1 | 6166:19 6168:1 | 6372:17 |
| 6365:21 6371:24 | 6281:14 6285:3 |  | 6204:15,18 | 17/18 6191:14 |
| wrong 6259:7 | 6285:13 6287:5 | 1 | 11 6201:21 6266:10 | 170 6203:19 6204:2 |
| wrote 6189:14 | 6287:10 6291:8 | 1 6202:14 6237:7,8 | 6372:19 | 180 6275:23 |
| 6283:7 6287:23 | 6292:15 6293:25 | 6255:17 6310:14 | 11.1 6257:21 | 1800 6189:20 |
| 6301:16 6302:1 | 6294:15 6318:25 | 6310:20,21 | 11:05 6229:11 | 6210:25 |
|  | 6319:3,18,18 | 6319:25 6320:5,5 | 110 6161:21 6166:9 | 186 6202:19 |
| X | 6320:2,9,12 | 6320:6,11,12,16 | 6168:3 6185:8 | 190 6186:5 6203:6 |
| X 6255:5 | 6324:8,17 6335:7 | 6323:4 6340:20 | 1100 6191:13 | 6203:12,13 |
| XLDC 6369:18 | 6335:8,11,13 | 6340:22,22,24 | 6212:3,14 6255:5 | 194 6197:8 |
| XLPE 6246:10 | 6340:18 6345:9 | 6351:12,13,13 | 111 6161:24 | 1958 6176:21 |
| XL-DC 6323:1 | 6348:11 6351:16 | 6357:4,5,7,9,15 | 6166:12 6168:7 | 6177:1,9 |
|  | 6352:18 6366:5,6 | 6357:16,19,19 | 112 6161:25 | 1960 6176:19 |
| Y | 6366:10 6369:14 | 1-3 6194:23 | 6166:13 6168:9 | 6177:6,16 |
| yard 6309:10,20 | 6369:18 6370:5 | 1-3(b) 6251:9 | 113 6162:3 6166:15 | 1960s 6211:1 |
| 6331:3 | 6370:23,24 | 1-5 6248:21 | 6168:12 | 1960/61 6177:3 |
| yeah 6253:3 | yesterday 6165:14 | 1.1 6184:4 6202:18 | 114 6162:5 6166:17 | 1966 6206:18 |
| 6254:22 6255:19 | 6166:11 6169:16 | 1.2 6201:23 6202:5 | 6168:15 | 1967 6177:9,22 |
| 6279:20 6321:1 | 6169:21 6311:7 | 6202:22 6204:4 | 115 6274:1 | 1970 6178:19 |
| 6322:8 6323:21 | 6372:18 | 6204:14 6255:24 | 116 6372:22 | 6179:2 6206:21 |
| 6347:12,16 | York 6169:1 | 6255:25 6286:6 | 117 6252:12 | 6206:25 6230:21 |
| year 6190:5 6192:5 | younger 6173:7 | 6339:6 | 12 6208:6 6268:16 | 1970s 6207:6 |
| 6192:7,13 6196:6 | 6208:13 | 1.3 6192:20 6194:12 | 6271:14 | 1972 6178:1 6207:2 |
| 6201:19 6204:15 | youth 6320:23 | 6285:9 6308:6,7 | 12th 6173:17 | 1980 6207:16 |
| 6204:19 6227:2,2 |  | 1.3(b) 6192:18 | 12-year 6208:20 | 6295:11 |
| 6231:22 6237:11 | Z | 1.4 6350:12,13 | 12:00 6261:5 | 1984 6180:3,21 |
| 6274:18 6279:3 | Zealand 6234:6 | 1.43 6256:1 | 120 6186:11 | 1986 6207:13 |
| 6279:13 6293:24 | zero 6188:23 | 1.5 6345:3 6346:8 | 6237:11 6279:3 | 1990s 6211:23 |
| 6302:21 6307:20 | 6239:24 6328:21 | 6350:13,18 | 6368:7 | 6290:1 |
| 6308:3 6319:25 | 6329:15 6334:21 | 1.6 6348:16 | 1200 6198:18 | 1991 6218:14 |
| 6321:7 6323:9 | 6336:17 6352:19 | 1.63 6256:2 | 6200:23,24 | 1992 6192:4 |
| 6327:24 6331:4 |  | 1.7 6349:8,11 | 6249:16 6258:17 | 1994 6237:10 |
| 6331:16 6332:13 | \$ | 1.9 6221:2 6351:18 | 6292:20 6296:10 | 1996 6199:22 |
| 6332:16 6340:22 | \$1.2 6284:22 6339:1 | 6352:4,8 | 6297:2,2,13,15,20 | 6200:11 6201:9 |
| 6340:24 6344:13 | \$1.3 6204:22 6251:4 | 1:00 6261:4,6 | 6298:5 | 6218:11 6262:21 |
| 6345:12,13,14 | 6256:16 | 10 6191:22 6236:22 | 1200-megawatt | 6263:4 6318:22 |
| 6346:9,13 6347:1 | \$1.63 6256:12 | 6259:2,25 6372:8 | 6248:18 | 6340:22 |
| 6349:25 6351:12 | \$1.631 6253:1 | 10:50 6229:10 | 125 6185:24 | 1997 6232:3 |
| 6351:13 6353:4 | \$102.5 6203:14 | 100 6265:12,17 | 13 6273:23 6275:4 | 6319:24 6320:4 |
| 6365:6 6369:21 | \$11.1 6201:12 | 6300:22 6302:12 | 6329:18 | 6320:11 6340:21 |
| 6369:24 | \$26 6204:11 | 6303:4,4,19 | 1300 6194:5 | 6340:23 |
| years 6173:6 | \$292.5 6203:5 | 6304:7 6320:12 | 14 6241:2 6372:12 | 1998 6221:14 |
| 6176:19 6177:12 | \$3.14 6285:14 | 6323:15 6340:22 | 14th 6161:8 | 6224:4 |
| 6178:25 6187:6 | \$3.28 6201:15 | 6340:23,24 | 6165:23 6167:8 |  |
| 6187:18 6190:6 | 6285:22 | 6366:2 | 14-degree 6241:6 | 2 |
| 6192:14 6195:12 | \$322 6201:19,20 | 103 6161:8 6165:22 | 1400 6191:15 | 2 6161:6 6165:20 |
| 6199:16 6204:20 | 6227:1,3 6274:18 | 6167:7 6214:16 | 6346:15 | 6167:4 6237:6 |
| 6207:21 6208:6 | 6294:13 | 103.1 6214:16 | 15 6207:21 6208:12 | 6251:10 6257:7 |
| 6208:12 6210:8 | \$4 6307:4 | 104 6161:10 | 6340:9 | 6270:15 6276:18 |
| 6210:11,13 | \$4.18 6194:6 | 6165:24 6167:10 | 150 6370:10,11 | 6305:21 6323:23 |
| 6218:8,24 6225:7 | 6249:25 6306:8 | 105 6161:12 6166:1 | 1500 6191:16 | 6362:17 |
| 6230:9,17,19,19 | \$55 6204:3 | 6167:13 6185:7 | 1500-megawatt | 2,000 6354:6 |
| 6230:22,25,25 | \$6.6 6201:14 | 1050 6191:13 | 6190:25 6346:12 | 2,000-megawatt |
| 6237:13 6239:22 |  | 106 6161:14 6166:3 | 6346:19 6347:3 | 6371:5 |
| 6239:25 6240:5 | 0 | 6167:16 | 6347:11 | 2,500 6273:11 |
| 6242:13 6243:3 | 0 6222:8 | 107 6161:16 6166:5 | 16 6372:13,14 | 2.2 6264:18 |
| 6249:11 6257:12 | 0-degrees 6222:5,25 | 6167:19 | 16.1 6253:5 | 2.3 6265:7 |
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| $2.56232: 5$ | 6346:4 6352:23 | 6313:12 | 322 6204:7,9,18 | 6304:3 |
| 20 6222:2 6230:19 | 6355:1 | 24 6336:11 | 34 6188:15 | 5.6-degrees 6221:11 |
| 6230:19,21 | 2013 6158:20 | 25 6190:6 6260:7 | 345 6234:9 | 50 6203:18 6217:3 |
| 6234:11 6257:18 | 6165:1 6287:23 | 6339:3 6340:8 | 35 6277:1 6339:3,25 | 6227:9 6257:16 |
| 6284:20 6285:18 | 6321:8 | 250 6185:2 6279:12 | 6340:4,5,8 | 6265:23 6334:10 |
| 6320:5,6 6345:12 | 2013/14 6191:12 | 6332:11 | 370 6252:20 6253:4 | 6351:12 6366:10 |
| 6349:12 6351:13 | 6352:19 | 26 6280:13 | 6312:20 | 500 6180:4,4,20,22 |
| 6351:14 6366:6 | 2017 6190:24 | 27 6196:14 6260:8 | 3800 6193:22 | 6181:4 6187:14 |
| 20-megawatt | 6194:25 6196:7 | 275 6312:21 | 6307:9 | 6187:17,23 |
| 6209:6 | 6196:12 6198:13 | 28 6158:16 6287:23 | 385 6204:9 | 6188:3,12 |
| 200 6192:13,14 | 6204:16 6205:11 | 6288:3 |  | 6193:15 6207:7 |
| 6199:15 6257:12 | 6253:2 6257:25 | 28th 6203:22 | 4 | 6214:22 6215:1,3 |
| 6260:6 6296:17 | 6275:8 6278:5 | 6286:18 6288:4 | 4 6169:21 6202:7 | 6217:2 6227:6,10 |
| 6310:14,20 | 6284:20 6286:9 | 292.5 6203:10 | 6232:5 6252:17 | 6227:18,18,21,23 |
| 6312:15 6320:5 | 6293:18 6308:15 |  | 6253:8,12 | 6228:13 6232:18 |
| 6357:4,5,7,15,19 | 6315:8 6336:3 | 3 | 6255:18 6280:22 | 6233:17 6234:22 |
| 6357:19 6371:6 | 2017/18 6191:15 | 3 6194:23 6252:1,2 | 6281:16 6282:5 | 6235:11 6242:13 |
| 2000 6188:23 | 6346:9,11 | 6252:4 6270:11 | 6326:19 6331:15 | 6242:15 6243:6 |
| 6189:18,21 | 2019 6200:18 | 6270:12 6280:1 | 4,000 6260:11 | 6249:25 6250:6 |
| 6191:13 6194:1 | 6348:8 6364:6 | 6280:17 6301:9 | 6310:21 6357:9 | 6251:21,25 |
| 6194:10 6198:13 | 6365:5 | 3rd 6283:7 6287:25 | 6357:16 | 6253:9 6254:5,18 |
| 6208:8 6211:3 | 2019/20 6346:18 | 6288:1 | 4.18 6202:9 6254:8 | 6254:23 6259:18 |
| 6212:1,10,12 | 2020 6189:1 6190:7 | 3,000 6222:4 | 6254:14 | 6259:20,23 |
| 6222:23 6223:5 | 2021 6352:19 | 6273:12 | 4.2 6286:7 | 6265:21,22 |
| 6238:9 6245:9 | 2023/24 6347:1,10 | 3,800 6186:19 | 4.3 6286:7 | 6268:23 6269:5,6 |
| 6291:23 6307:10 | 2025 6190:7 | 3.1 6203:15 6285:21 | 4.35 6244:13 | 6269:9,15,20 |
| 6307:14 6326:13 | 6191:13 6193:5 | 3.1.3 6266:11 | 4.37 6202:24 | 6270:1 6271:15 |
| 6338:25 | 6193:10,18 | 3.14 6202:6 6286:6 | $4.56245: 1$ | 6272:23 6273:4,5 |
| 2000s 6212:4 | 6195:2 6196:7 | 3.17 6202:24 | 4.6 6204:3 | 6273:25 6274:8 |
| 2000-megawatt | 6197:1 6198:15 | 3.2 6271:13 | 4.8 6361:5 | 6274:10,10,14 |
| 6194:4 6211:5 | 6204:16 6205:12 | 3.22 6204:12 | 4:08 6374:14 | 6275:15 6279:19 |
| 6243:7 | 6250:1,11,17 | 3.28 6196:21 6197:9 | 40 6185:4 6186:4 | 6295:12 6299:23 |
| 2001 6208:19 | 6257:25 6258:1 | 6202:13 | 6195:12 6210:8 | 6313:9,14,25 |
| 6297:17 | 6259:4 6285:4 | 3.37 6255:20 | 6210:11 6218:8 | 6314:2,11,19 |
| 2002 6232:16 | 6286:4 6306:20 | 3.98 6196:23 | 6219:17 6230:17 | 6326:16,21 |
| 6275:25 | 6307:8,11,12,20 | 3:00 6305:4 | 6239:22 6240:5 | 6338:24 6354:1 |
| 2004 6246:7,13 | 6307:25 6308:3 | 3:03 6341:2 | 6249:11 6257:22 | 6355:7,10,25 |
| 2005 6246:14 | 6308:23 6353:4,9 | 3:15 6341:1,3 | 6258:5 6319:18 | 6356:5,11,15 |
| 2006 6246:14 | 6353:19,22 | 30 6210:13 6218:8 | 6324:8,17 | 6359:19,24,25 |
| 6276:9 | 6360:22 6362:3 | 6219:17 6222:2 | 6348:11 6366:10 | 6360:4,6,12 |
| 2007 6231:15 | 6363:3,10 | 6225:7 6227:9 | 40-degree 6249:6 | 6361:2,3,6,11 |
| 6232:23 6323:5,9 | 6364:12 6366:25 | 6232:7,13 | 400 6198:14 | 6367:13 6368:2 |
| 6323:13 6326:18 | 6367:6 | 6239:25 6241:4 | 6235:11 6245:17 | 6369:14,18 |
| 2009 6221:5,6 | 2032 6192:6 | 6291:8 6348:11 | 6246:10 6279:15 | 54 6202:15 |
| 2010 6188:24 | 6345:14 | 6366:10 | 6331:11 | 55 6240:15,16,20,22 |
| 6193:11 6209:16 | 2050 6188:25 | 300 6189:8 6198:19 | 415 6279:17 | 6241:6 |
| 6250:9,10 | 6190:6 6250:16 | 6200:22,24 | 44 6192:5 6345:13 |  |
| 6270:10 6271:4 | 6306:19 6361:10 | 6208:21 6209:8 | 45 6242:24 | 6 |
| 6308:5 6309:5 | 21 6310:8 | 6258:16 6279:12 | 450 6234:14 | 6 6179:25 6197:9 |
| 6341:22 6342:2 | 213 6204:19 | 6298:1 | 6331:13 | 6201:21 6245:13 |
| 6344:21 | 215 6331:16 | 31 6196:14 | 4500 6235:10 | 6245:15 6252:13 |
| 2010/14 6342:6 | 22 6251:12 6352:19 | 3100 6213:2 |  | 6257:21 6258:6 |
| 2011 6197:7 | 22nd 6187:7 | 3100-megawatt | 5 | 6258:20 |
| 6201:14 6344:21 | 225 6203:19 | 6211:17 6212:21 | 5 6158:20 6165:1 | 6.1 6204:20 |
| 6349:23 | 6252:22 | 6290:13 | 6235:15 6244:21 | 6:29 6169:23 |
| 2012 6187:7 6191:8 | 23 6233:1 | 32 6233:8 6241:4 | 6256:20 6328:24 | 60 6240:22 |
| 6191:10 6192:4,6 | 230 6184:16,20 | 320 6242:12 | 6329:12,16 | 60s 6225:8 |
| 6197:7 6251:13 | 6185:3 6187:12 | 6326:13 6369:13 | 6335:5 6337:3,3 | 600 6237:23 6238:8 |
| 6283:7 6345:13 | 6251:18,23,24 | 6369:22 6370:8 | 5th 6172:1 6220:25 | 6278:18 6332:4 |
| 6345:14,22,25 | 6274:1 6309:18 | 6371:4,9,10 | 6221:1 6302:21 | 61 6177:6 |
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