MANITOBA CLEAN ENVIRONMENT COMMISSION

HOG PRODUCTION INDUSTRY REVIEW

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Held at Royal Canadian Legion

Stonewall, Manitoba

APPEARANCES:

Clean Environment Commission:

- Mr. Terry Sargeant Chairman
- Mr. Edwin Yee Member
- Mr. Wayne Motheral Member
- Ms. Cathy Johnson Commission Secretary
- Mr. Doug Smith Report Writer

Presentations:

PAGE

Jim McCowan

Bill Massey	Citizens of Grosse Isle	274
John Preun	Manitoba Pork Marketing	296
George Matheson	Farmer	301
Peter Vise,	Precision Feed & Envirotech	
	Systems	305
Bill Matheson	Concerned citizen	310
Craig Mackie,	Concerned citizen	312
Ben Hofer	Farmer	322
Mr. Kleinsasser	Concerned citizen	36
Robert Hofer	Farmer	339
Calvin Penner	Farmer	342

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

NO EXHIBITS MARKED

1 Tuesday, March 6, 2007

2 Upon commencing at 1:00 p.m.

3

4 THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon, ladies 5 and gentlemen. Welcome. I'm glad to see that a number of you moved up a row or two. We are 6 7 really not quite as scary as we may look. 8 My name is Terry Sargeant. I'm the 9 chair of the Manitoba Clean Environment 10 Commission, as well as the chair of this panel. 11 With me on the panel are Wayne Motheral and Edwin 12 Yee. 13 I have a few opening comments to make, 14 and then we will turn to the presentations or 15 those who have indicated so far that they wish to

16 make presentations this afternoon.

17 The Clean Environment Commission has been requested by the Minister of Conservation to 18 conduct an investigation into the environmental 19 20 sustainability of hog production in Manitoba. The Terms of Reference direct us to review the current 21 environmental protection measures in place 22 23 relating to hog production in this province, in order to determine their effectiveness for the 24 25 purpose of managing the industry in an

1 environmentally sustainable manner.

2 Our investigation is to include a public component to gain advice and feedback from 3 4 Manitobans. This will be by means of public 5 meetings, such as this one, in the various regions of Manitoba to ensure broad participation from the 6 7 general public and affected stakeholders. We have also been asked to take into 8 9 account efforts underway in other jurisdictions to 10 manage hog production in a sustainable manner. 11 Further, we are to review the contents of a report prepared by Manitoba Conservation 12 entitled "An Examination of the Environmental 13 14 Sustainability of the Hog Industry in Manitoba." 15 At the end of our investigation, we will consider 16 various options and make recommendations in a 17 report to the Minister on any improvements that may be necessary to provide for the environmental 18 19 sustainability of hog production. 20 To ensure that our review does include 21 issues of importance to all Manitobans, the panel

has undertaken to hold 17 days of meetings in 14 communities throughout agri Manitoba. Today is our second day. These meetings started yesterday in Winnipeg and will conclude with what is

currently the final schedule -- the final meeting
 is scheduled for April 27th.

At these meetings, it is open to any groups or individuals to make a presentation to the panel on issues related to hog production in our province. For the most part, presentations are to be limited to 15 minutes. Exceptions may be made in some cases where a presenter needs more time.

10 Presenters will be asked to take an 11 oath promising to tell the truth. Presentations 12 should be relevant to the mandate given to the 13 Commission by the Minister and to the issues 14 described in the guide to public participation in 15 this review. If a presentation is clearly not relevant, it will be ruled out of order. And if a 16 17 presentation is clearly repetitive, it may also be ruled out of order. 18

Members of the panel may ask questions of any presenter during or after the presentation. There will be no opportunity for other presenters to question or cross-examine presenters.

In addition to the public meetings,
the CEC is engaging consultants to assist us in
this review. The results of those research

1 endeavours will be posted on our website upon 2 receipt, which, for the most part, will be in late June. Parties, and that includes really anybody 3 4 with an interest, will be invited to provide 5 comment on any of those reports if they so wish. A reasonable, albeit brief period of time, will be 6 7 allowed for this. Written submissions will also be accepted. Information as to how to submit 8 9 written suggestions is available on our website, and the deadline for such written submission 10 11 sincerely May 7th.

12 We also realize that many people are 13 reluctant to make presentations in public, for a 14 variety of reasons. To counter that, we have 15 engaged a graduate student from the University of Manitoba to meet with, or discuss on the phone, 16 17 with people who would rather not speak at the meetings. These meetings or conversations with 18 19 this woman will be kept in confidence. 20 Information as to how to contact her is available 21 on our website, as well as at the table at the side of the room. 22 23 Some administrative matters. If you

24 wish to make a presentation today and haven't 25 already indicated, please register with Joyce at

1 the table at the side. As is our normal practice, 2 we are recording these sessions. Verbatim transcripts will be available on our website in a 3 4 day or so. 5 In respect of cell phones, final comment, I would ask that they be turned off or at 6 7 least that the ring tone be turned off. And if you must take a call, I would ask that you leave 8 9 the room, please. That's all I have to say by way 10 of opening comments. 11 We have three individuals or groups 12 that have indicated they wish to make 13 presentations this afternoon. The first is 14 Mr. Bill Massey and Mr. Jim McCowan; is that 15 correct? 16 MR. McCOWAN: Yes. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Would you please come up to the front table? Would you please state 18 your names for the record, and then I will ask the 19 20 commission secretary to administer the oath? 21 MR. MASSEY: Bill Massey, Grosse Isle. MR. McCOWAN: And James McCowan, 22 23 Grosse Isle. BILL MASSEY and JIM McCOWAN, having been sworn, 24 25 present as follows:

THE CHAIRMAN: You may proceed. 1 2 MR. McCOWAN: I will begin by thanking the Commission for hearing our submission. We 3 4 have been given this opportunity to speak before 5 This presentation is a joint effort of the you. 6 Concerned Citizens of Grosse Isle Committee has been in existence now for a number of years. The 7 residents of Grosse Isle wish to thank the 8 9 Commission for the opportunity to present our 10 concerns about the hog production industry in 11 Manitoba and, more specifically, in our area. We 12 wish to commend the government for placing a 13 moratorium on further construction and providing 14 the opportunity for Manitobans to express their 15 opinions at hearings such as this. Well done! Our group was formed when the Rock 16 17 Lake Colony Hutterite Colony at Grosse Isle 18 proposed an expansion of their hog operation. As 19 a community, we had some grave concerns about this 20 proposal, because of its proximity to the village 21 of Grosse Isle and the number of private residences within a mile of the proposed barn 22 23 site. 24 And this slide, effectively the center

24 And this slide, effectively the center 25 of the yellow circle, of course, is the location

1 of the proposed barn. And, actually, subsequently that's been built. And then the individual 2 residences are numbered with the "R" numbers. 3 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Can I just interrupt? The circle is one mile from the barn? 5 6 MR. McCOWAN: That's one mile. And 7 the Village of Grosse Isle is right on -- sorry, in the corner of the picture, just outside of the 8 9 one mile circle. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 11 MR. McCOWAN: The rural Municipalities of Rosser and Rockwood are looking at Grosse Isle 12 13 as a potential residential growth area and are 14 proposing water and sewer for the community. And 15 I see in the paper that the government of Manitoba, and I quess the Federal Government, have 16 17 already committed several million dollars now to 18 furthering this goal. 19 One of the problems that we have is 20 that the local schools are facing declining 21 enrollment and are actively looking at ways of 22 attracting families to the area. And we feel that 23 this may prove challenging with the hog facility 24 in such close proximity to a town that's hoping to 25 be growing.

Another concern with this location is
 the storage lagoon in the historic Grant's Lake
 Marsh drainage basin, which is part of the
 Sturgeon Creek watershed.

5 And slide number 2, the center of the slide effectively is the marsh. This photograph 6 7 was taken in the summer in 2005 at the height of 8 the rainy season. This whole area, Warren, Grosse 9 Isle, Grosser, experienced upwards of 20-inches of 10 rain, in a very short period of time, over about six weeks. So it's very illustrative of the 11 potential flooding that could be faced right in 12 13 and around where this particular lagoon is. 14 When the lagoon was constructed, the 15 colony was considering test wells around the 16 lagoon. Later, when they wished to expand their 17 hog operation, test wells were again a consideration. To the best of our knowledge, none 18 have been drilled, and there is no plan to do so 19 20 at this point.

And this slide is a picture of the lagoon and the water that actually got right up to the edge of the lagoon in the summer of 2005. And this is just a short distance from the Grant's Lake Marsh.

1 As you can see from the aerial 2 photograph, the lagoon is located on the very edge of a natural drain, which flows directly into the 3 4 Sturgeon Creek drain, which flows past and 5 sometimes into Grant's Lake. It continues as Sturgeon Creek and empties into the Assiniboine 6 7 River, at Grant's Mill in Winnipeg. 8 As you can see from this slide, 9 spillage or leakage from this holding facility will very quickly pollute the waters of Grant's 10 Lake and Sturgeon Creek. The colony also assured 11 residents that trees would be planted around the 12 lagoon. To our knowledge, this has not been done. 13 14 In the fall of 2005, residents noticed 15 liquid manure on the surface of a field directly beside Grant's Lake. Conservation was contacted 16 17 and the colony received a letter of warning about 18 the improper application of manure. As you can 19 see, in this slide, the location of available 20 spreading lands are fields on three sides of Grant's Lake. The colony uses lands adjacent 21 Grant's Lake to spread manure. We believe that 22 23 this should not be allowed to happen. 24 Actually, on the sheets here, the ones 25 marked in red, they are not showing up very well

in red. But that is basically the lands right
 around the marsh that are available to the colony
 for spreading manure. It shows up much better in
 the book.

5 As you are undoubtedly aware, this is outdated technology for the handling of animal 6 7 wastes. Far better systems exist that are 8 environmentally safer, less of a health risk and make better use of manure as a plant nutrient. 9 And we haven't included this article, actually, in 10 the presentation, but we do have it with us and 11 can make it available to the Commission, if they 12 would like to have a look at it. It is one of 13 14 many, many other alternatives to dealing with 15 livestock waste.

16 And we believe the Province of 17 Manitoba and the hog industry need to work 18 together to make the industry profitable and 19 sustainable without posing unacceptable hazards to 20 the environment.

Another source of concern for us is the possible contamination of the aquifer in our area, and the amount of water that is used in this type of technology. Of the nine residences shown in this slide, R2 has been occupied by the same

1 family for the past 37 years. And, in fact, 2 that's our own residence. And in that time, in our well, we have been faced with high nitrates. 3 4 And one of the things that we have noticed over 5 several years of water testing is that the pH of 6 the water has gone from being overly basic to 7 slightly acidic. Now, I have no scientific explanation for that. You know, the only thing 8 9 that we could attach to that potentially is the 10 demand on water out of the aquifer, and whether or not that would have some long-term effects, over a 11 30 or 40 year period, of the water quality. 12 13 R4 residents had an 80-foot well go 14 dry in the past five years. And they subsequently 15 drilled a 140 well, but got salty water, which 16 hasn't been a problem in our immediate area. 17 We estimate that the hog operation will use as much as 20,000 gallons of water a day. 18 And that is based on the Recommended Code of 19 20 Practice for the Care and Handling of Farm 21 Animals, table 4, page 16, of the Agriculture and 22 Agrifood, Canada book. This does not include 23 other waters needed on the colony for human 24 consumption and the other livestock that exists. 25 As a point of interest as well, the

1 municipalities have drilled a heavily used public 2 well into this same aquifer just immediately to the east of the colony. It is actually located 3 4 between the colony and the Village of Grosse Isle. 5 What we're concerned about is what will be the long-terms effects of such extensive 6 use of this aquifer? Most of the rural residents 7 8 in our area can drink beautiful, good-tasting 9 clean water that we pump from the ground on our 10 respective properties. We want to keep it that 11 way for ourselves and future generations. 12 Another serious concern that has just 13 recently become apparent, since the new facility 14 was opened last year, has been the odour. 15 Although livestock has been in the new facility for only a relatively short period of time, six 16 families out of the ten residences within the 17 18 mile, and also people in Grosse Isle, have noticed 19 an unacceptably strong pig smell. 20 And in the booklet here, there is a 21 graph of the actual winds and from which direction 22 they blow. As you can see from the slide, the 23 most frequent winds are from the south. The most 24 serious odour problem so far has been experienced by the R3 residents, which is 1900 feet due north 25

1 of the barn. The Village of Grosse Isle, which is 2 just over a mile away, is affected by west-northwest and west winds a total of 3 4 13.8 percent of the time. The colony had suggested, right at the initial development stage 5 6 of the project, that they were looking at odour 7 filters to be installed, if odour was a problem, as part of a research project in conjunction with 8 9 the University of Manitoba. To the best of our 10 knowledge, nothing has been done.

We applaud the Province's initiative 11 12 to maintain good air quality in regards to the 13 regulation and enforcement of measures to reduce 14 the negative results of burning crop residue. 15 Severe penalties and strict enforcement have quickly brought this problem under control. We 16 17 believe that noxious odours also negatively affect the quality of life and health of Manitobans. 18 And, in our opinion, the same standards should 19 20 apply.

Those of us that live within a mile radius, ten residences now in total, as well as the people living in Grosse Isle, are keeping data on the odour problem that we experience. We will be registering complaints with Conservation as

1 these situations occur. However, if this process 2 is unsuccessful in addressing our concerns, what alternatives are left for citizens to pursue? 3 4 This facility is located in the Woodlands Municipality. However, two of the 5 residences within the mile limit are in the 6 7 Rockwood Municipality and three are in Rosser. 8 The Village of Grosse Isle is located in the 9 Rockwood and Rosser Municipalities. The majority 10 of the people affected in this particular 11 situation have no say because they are not part of the Woodlands Municipality. 12 13 When the colony decided not to expand, 14 and to replace existing facilities, the size of 15 the proposed barn seemed to be larger than required for the number of animal units that's on 16 17 their farm. A further investigation revealed that because of a mistake in rewriting the regulations 18 19 in the Farm Practices Guidelines, the colony was able to reduce the number of feeders in their 20 21 operation by approximately 90 animals and increase the number of weanlings by nearly 2,000. It was 22 23 our investigation that pointed out this 24 discrepancy, and the engineering firm admitted 25 making a mistake in providing information to the

1 Municipality.

2 When the Municipality issued a building permit for this development, the size of 3 4 the barn was estimated, no conditions were placed 5 on construction, such as drilling the test wells 6 around the lagoon, nor, in spite of our 7 suggestion, was a bond required to cover any unforeseen expenses. The only cost to the colony 8 9 was a \$50 building permit. 10 During the construction of the barn, truck traffic hauling created -- or, sorry, during 11 the construction of the barn, truck traffic 12 13 hauling material created dust hazards and damaged 14 roads in the municipalities of Rosser and 15 Woodlands. However well-meaning, and perhaps because of a lack of experience and knowledge, the 16 17 officials in the Woodlands Municipality did not 18 take the necessary steps to ensure that the 19 project development did not negatively impact on the residents, most of whom do not live within the 20 21 municipality's boundaries. Rosser Municipality 22 had to absorb the cost of the necessary dust 23 control and to repair the road damage incurred 24 within its boundaries by this construction. So to summarize, we have looked at 25

1 these issues that we have touched on briefly 2 throughout this, and we have some suggestions that 3 we would like to submit to the Commission. 4 1: That the Province ensure, as much 5 as possible, that Municipal representatives are properly informed and trained to deal with these 6 7 issues before a permit for an ILO can be issued. 2: The Province take steps to hold 8 9 the Municipalities accountable for actions that 10 impact negatively on residents and jurisdictions outside of their boundaries. And this one is 11 particularly important, again, because we actually 12 13 have three municipalities involved just because of 14 the location of this particular facility. 15 3: That ILO's, storage lagoons, test wells, et cetera, be monitored by Conservation and 16 17 sufficient staff be put in place for this purpose 18 and to provide enforcement. 19 4: That no ILO will be built closer 20 than one mile from any residence and only a 21 limited number can be located within two miles. 5: That the appropriate containment 22 23 dikes or berms be constructed around all storage 24 lagoons to contain possible spills or a failure of 25 the lagoon wall. And maybe just to expand on that

1 just a little bit, when we looked at this, you 2 know, we were thinking, well, you know, in terms of secondary containment. Now, as a small farmer 3 4 myself, for example, with fuel storage, if you 5 have more than 1,000 gallon fuel storage container, it has to be either a doubled wall 6 7 container or some form of a diking system in 8 place. Whereas the lagoon, if there is a wall 9 failure, an overflow situation, well, that's it, there is no second line of defence. 10 11 And one of the things that we considered that might be feasible is to build a 12 13 secondary lower dike out away from the lagoon, 14 something that you could actually farm right over 15 top of it. Obviously, it wouldn't have to be the 16 same height as the lagoon wall. That way, if 17 there ever was a failure, then at least it 18 maintains that, rather than allowing it to flow 19 freely to wherever the lowest point is. In this 20 case, maybe into Grant's Lake or into Sturgeon 21 Creek. 22 6: The set-back distances for surface 23 water courses need to take into consideration

24 conditions in wet years, as illustrated in all of 25 the slides we have shown.

7: Spreading of manure should not be
 permitted in ecologically sensitive areas.

3 8: That the Province review the 4 minimum capacity for 200 days of storage for lagoons to allow for adverse weather and moisture 5 conditions. Clearly, in the fall of 2005, the 6 7 conditions never existed to properly be able to 8 inject the manure from the lagoon just because of 9 the incredible amount of rain that we had had over the course of the summer. 10

9: That a comprehensive water usage policy be put in place, and that if any one user exceeds a pre-determined limit of water usage, to measure the actual withdrawal rates, to monitor the aquifer water levels and the water quality on an ongoing basis to protect the groundwater sources in the province.

18 10: That the Province develop a
19 process to determine unacceptable levels of odour,
20 create policies on this problem, and put in place
21 enforcement and penalties similar to the crop
22 residue burning standards.

11: That the Province require that a
bond of at least 10 percent of the value of the
project be posted before any ILO can be

1 constructed.

2 And just to summarize, the concerns that we raise this afternoon are nothing new. We 3 4 know of the problems that have occurred with this 5 technology in jurisdictions where this type of hog industry has been allowed to develop in our 6 7 country and in the Unites States. We know there 8 are better ways of dealing with the animal waste. 9 We hear of the pollution in Lake Winnipeg. We 10 know the province is now also looking at Lake 11 Manitoba. We understand that the hog industry is 12 part of the cause of pollution, and other sources 13 need to be addressed as well. This is too big a 14 problem for local governments or citizens alone to 15 resolve. The Province needs to continue to take 16 the lead in making the necessary tough decisions 17 and enforcing the regulations to ensure, as much as possible, a clean environment now and in the 18 19 future. 20 Thank you very much. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. McCowan. 22 When was this barn that you were talking about 23 built?

24 MR. McCOWAN: It was started in the 25 summer or the fall, I guess, of 2004.

1 THE CHAIRMAN: Was there a new manure 2 storage facility, a lagoon, built at that time as 3 well? 4 MR. McCOWAN: This particular lagoon 5 was actually built prior to the barn. It was not used until the barn was actually built. But it 6 7 was actually constructed, I believe, two summers before that, so in 2002. I am not 100 percent 8 9 sure. But it actually was put in before the barn 10 was constructed. 11 THE CHAIRMAN: And where is the lagoon located? You had it in that first slide you 12 13 showed us. 14 MR. McCOWAN: In the very -- it is the 15 very first page. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 16 17 MR. McCOWAN: It is the yellow rectangle in that picture the one outside of the 18 yellow one mile circle. 19 20 THE CHAIRMAN: The one that says 21 "outside of the existing R.M."? MR. McCOWAN: Yes. So directly west, 22 23 about a mile and a quarter of the colony. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: And do you know how 25 many animal units are kept in that barn?

MR. MASSEY: 788, I think it is. 1 THE CHAIRMAN: Animal units? 2 3 MR. MASSEY: Yes. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: So about 1,000 animals, or I guess more, if there are weanlings. 5 6 MR. MASSEY: There are 1,250 sows and 7 3,480 feeders and about -- I think about 4,000 weanlings, but I could be out a little bit. 8 9 THE CHAIRMAN: 1,250 sows? 10 MR. MASSEY: 1,250 sows, yeah. THE CHAIRMAN: 3,400? 11 MR. MASSEY: 3,400 feeders and I think 12 around 4,000 weanlings. Now, not all of the 13 animals are in that barn. The colony still has --14 what's the term for the young stock? 15 MR. McCOWAN: Brooder. 16 MR. MASSEY: Not brooder, that's 17 chicken stock. 18 19 MS. JOHNSON: Nursery. 20 MR. MASSEY: Yes, nursery, thank you. 21 The nursery is in a separate facility or part of 22 it, anyway. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: So that would be a lot 24 more than 700 or 800 animal units, wouldn't if? 25 It?

1 MR. MASSEY: Oh, yes, there would be. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: That would be 5,000. 3 MR. MASSEY: 5,000 to 7,000 at any 4 given time. 5 THE CHAIRMAN: And they have had a lot 6 of animals, even before this new barn was built? 7 MR. MASSEY: Yes. They had 10 biotechs that existed on the property that were 8 9 just recently de-commissioned. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: By biotechs do you mean hoop? 11 MR. MASSEY: Yes. There were five of 12 those. And approximately 3,800 animals inside 13 there, I believe. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, thank you. 15 Wayne, do you have anything? 16 MR. MOTHERAL: Yes. Grosse Isle is --17 when you say there is Rockwood and Rosser 18 involved, is Grosse Isle kind of in between both 19 or one of those? 20 21 MR. McCOWAN: Actually, yes. The east/west street there that runs kind of through 22 23 the center of town actually is the dividing line between the Municipalities of Rockwood and Rosser. 24 So the south side of the town is in Rosser and the 25

1 north side is all in Rockwood.

2 MR. MOTHERAL: Okay. And the barns 3 are in? 4 MR. McCOWAN: Woodlands. 5 MR. MOTHERAL: Woodlands. Does Woodlands have a development plan? 6 7 MR. MASSEY: I don't believe they do, but I'm not totally certain on that. I know they 8 9 are not part of the South Interlake Development 10 Group. MR. MOTHERAL: Probably one thing, and 11 it's interesting in the new Planning Act where 12 municipalities must come into the livestock 13 14 operation policy, they must have that in place. 15 That would maybe be to the residents' advantage. MR. McCOWAN: In the future? 16 MR. MOTHERAL: Yes, I'm talking in the 17 18 future. 19 MR. McCOWAN: Yes, absolutely. 20 MR. MOTHERAL: And to develop that 21 plan, obviously, there will be public meetings where the public will have input into that. So 22 23 sometimes your best avenue is in your own local area in your planning policies. And hopefully 24 25 when the municipality is about to establish that

1 operating policy, that you make sure you have your 2 input into your distances, your set-backs. I 3 guess you know all about that. 4 MR. MASSEY: One of our biggest 5 problems, of course, is the majority of the people who are affected by this development do not live 6 7 in the Municipality of Woodlands, and that's an issue. And that's a concern for us that decisions 8 9 will be made in the Municipality of Woodlands which will affect residents of Rockwood and Rosser 10 negatively. And we really have no input into that 11 situation. 12 13 MR. MOTHERAL: This is where a larger 14 planning area is advantageous. 15 MR. MASSEY: Exactly. MR. MOTHERAL: So you can pass those 16 17 things on to your local municipal people. That's 18 all, thanks. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Edwin? 20 MR. YEE: Yes, just one point of 21 clarification. You noted in your presentation 22 that they are using outdated technology for 23 handling the animal waste. Can I just ask for a 24 clarification what sort of technology you're 25 referring to?

1 MR. McCOWAN: Well, you know, right 2 off the bat, there was an article in the Western Producer about a separator that basically 3 4 eliminates a lot of the water out of the -- rather 5 than dumping it out into the lagoon and dealing with the tens of thousands of liquid hog manure, 6 7 it is actually broken down. It is de-watered and, 8 you know, the nutrients are separated out of the 9 waste. And from that perspective, although always 10 the first thing that comes up is, yes, but there is a cost to that. Well, of course there is a 11 cost to building a lagoon as well. 12 13 And, you know, we look at it and we 14 say that it is more environmentally sustainable. 15 Well, if it is de-watered, then those nutrients 16 are available on a dry basis, then it's something 17 that -- even if it is something that cannot be 18 used by the livestock operator themselves, it is 19 something that maybe they can turn around and 20 sell. Certainly if you look at the cost of fertilizer, lately it is not something that's 21 22 going down in value. It is just going up 23 astronomically. So it may actually be an 24 opportunity to generate extra revenue out of the 25 hog operation by dealing with the waste product.

1 So in terms it maybe ending up being revenue 2 neutral or even revenue positive, depending how you look at it. 3 4 MR. YEE: Thank you. 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Just to comment on that, I am not sure if it's fair to say that it is 6 7 outdated technology, because most operations in the province are still using this technology. 8 9 There are new technologies coming online, such as the one that was featured in Western Producer 10 which are better, but so far not widespread. And 11 in some cases, some of them, one we heard about 12 yesterday afternoon, extremely expensive. Others 13 14 are much less expensive, but still relatively new 15 in the process. I want to thank you very much for your 16 17 presentation and, in particular, for your specific 18 recommendations. Thank you. MR. McCOWAN: Thank you very much. 19 20 THE CHAIRMAN: The next person on the 21 list, and I may well mispronounce his name, John 22 Preun? 23 MR. PREUN: Preun. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Right, a German name.

25 Mr. Preun, would you state your name for the

1 record, please, and the commission secretary will

2 administer the oath.

3 MR. PREUN: John Preun, President of4 Manitoba Pork Marketing.

5 JOHN PREUN, having been sworn, presents as 6 follows:

7 MR. PREUN: Thank you for listening to our presentations today. I believe that I have 8 9 something to add as a grain and hog farmer, and 10 also President of the Manitoba Pork Marketing. 11 Manitoba Pork Marketing represents marketing for 12 over 600 hog producers in Manitoba, as well as in 13 Saskatchewan. A recent decision by the Provincial 14 Government to impose a moratorium on our industry 15 will have dire consequences on the businesses if this is not looked after quickly and properly. 16 The livelihoods of all of these 17 families contribute significant financial benefits 18 to the province. The results of the Clean 19 20 Environment Commission hearings will not only 21 impact its producers, but the industry as a whole; 22 therefore, it is paramount that the correct 23 approach be taken to these hearings and the right 24 decisions made. And I'm sure that the producers 25 will embrace all recommendations coming out of

1 these hearings, providing that they are practical

2 and affordable.

3 Sound science should be used to 4 determine the outcome of the hearings. As all hog 5 industry stakeholders -- and all hog industry 6 stakeholders should have input because they all 7 have a vested interest in the outcome.

8 Time is of the essence. We recommend 9 that this issue be dealt with as quickly as 10 possible so that we can arrive at tangible 11 solutions to minimize the economic damage to our 12 industry.

13 We realize that due diligence needs to be done here because I am a resident of this 14 province and I do care about the environment. 15 The 16 family farms I represent are all responsible 17 stewards of the land. They do what they can to make sure that the environment stays safe. They 18 produce safe and healthy food at affordable 19 20 prices. They also raise their families on these 21 farms, and many hope that some day their children will follow in their footsteps. And because of 22 23 this, the environmental sustainability is 24 extremely important to them.

25 Manure management has come a long way

1 over the years. Gone are the days of manure piles 2 and winter spreading. They have been replaced with engineered lagoons, manure injection 3 4 processes that put the nutrients into the ground 5 where they are needed. All hog farms soil test to identify nutrient requirements for specific crops 6 7 and file Manure Management Plans as required -- as a requirement of the development agreement with 8 9 our municipalities.

10 On my farm, manure is considered an integral part of our soil fertility plan. It is a 11 12 valuable resource and not a waste material. The 13 value of manure on our farm alone is roughly 14 \$66,000 a year. And it helps us minimize our 15 dependents on costly commercial fertilizers and, therefore, it is in my own interests to use it 16 17 wisely.

I believe that the hog producers have 18 been unfairly singled out with the hog moratorium. 19 20 While the industry may be contributing to the 21 phosphorous problem, I doubt that you will find that we are the entire problem. I believe we have 22 23 to examine other contributors to the problem: Industries, the City of Winnipeg, towns and 24 25 municipalities in the province that dispose of

1 human waste. And we have to make the standards 2 the same. I believe that the City of Winnipeg, if you add all together what phosphate-based soaps 3 4 and detergents that they use, I am sure that they 5 are a big contributor to the problem. 6 Over the years, my own family farm has 7 worked with Manitoba Agriculture conducting studies, straw covers, soil testing, water 8 9 samples, test bores for sampling water, manure analysis. We have always exhibited a willingness 10 to work with the government to address the issues 11 12 facing our industry and the environment. And I 13 would suggest that all producers work with the 14 government to address this issue because our 15 future is at stake here. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Preun. 16 17 How large is your hog operation? 18 MR. PREUN: Pardon? 19 THE CHAIRMAN: How large is your hog 20 operation? 21 MR. PREUN: We have 470 sows, farrow 22 to finish. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: And from that you get 66,000 worth of fertilizer a year? 24 25 MR. PREUN: Based on the suggested

1 retail price of fertilizers this year, that's what 2 the value is at our operation. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: That's pretty 4 significant, isn't it? 5 MR. PREUN: Very significant. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: That's not a large 7 operation, 400 animals. 8 MR. PREUN: Not by today's standards, 9 no. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: I am just a little curious about the Manitoba Pork Marketing. Could 11 12 you just explain a little bit more what that is or 13 what that organization is? 14 MR. PREUN: Manitoba Pork Marketing is a co-op which markets hogs for the 600 producers 15 in Manitoba. We don't market for all of them, but 16 a fair number of them. Most of the Hutterite 17 18 brethren market through the co-op. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: So it's through the 20 co-op? 21 MR. PREUN: Yes. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Wayne? 23 MR. MOTHERAL: Nothing. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Edwin? MR. YEE: No. 25

1 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, 2 Mr. Preun. 3 MR. PREUN: Thank you very much. 4 George Matheson? Mr. Matheson, would you state 5 your name for the record, please, and then take 6 the oath? 7 MR. MATHESON: George Matheson. 8 GEORGE MATHESON, having been sworn, presents as 9 follows: 10 THE CHAIRMAN: You may continue. MR. MATHESON: As introduced, my name 11 is George Matheson. I live one and a half miles 12 south of Stonewall, where I farm with my wife of 13 14 26 years and our four children, ages 10 to 20. I 15 have been a hog producer for 25 years and have capacity for an 85 sow, farrow to finish 16 17 operation. I am one of just a few remaining hog 18 producers in the Stonewall area. 19 I consider my operation to be 20 environmentally safe and sustainable, with minimal 21 disease and odour problems. My barns and sheds 22 are approximately 200 metres north of my house and 23 in the same yard. Most of my pigs are raised 24 outdoors in deep straw bedded sheds. This 25 includes all hogs from 50-250 pounds, as well as

all gestating sows. Only farrowing sows and pigs
 less than 50 pounds are is kept in a climate
 controlled environment indoors.

4 I have about 530 acres of cropland. A 5 year's supply of manure from my pigs would cover about 100 of those acres. Thus, every five years 6 7 my land has the potential to be spread with 8 manure. This is more than enough land base for 9 this size of operation. The manure has been very 10 good for the soil, as the straw becomes like compost and adds a lot of fiber. My farm's soil 11 12 is classified as a clay-loam and I am pleased with 13 its quality. I avoid spreading close to ditches 14 so that surface water run-off is protected. Ι 15 have soil tested and will add synthetic nitrogen, phosphorous, sulphur and potash where applicable. 16 17 Because the manure is spread over such a large land base, I have never had any groundwater 18 quality problems. 19

I grow four different crops and rotate from an oilseed to a cereal. The rotation is wheat, flax, barley, and canola, and each crop will utilize the soil's nutrients in a different say. It is a very sustainable farm in terms of soil quality.

1 My barns and outdoor sheds are about 2 300 metres from the nearest road. Straw bedding is a great way of reducing odours. And I am 3 4 inclined to spread long distances from public roadways so that what odours there my be are not a 5 nuisance to my neighbours. I have 70 acres of 6 7 natural trees on my property and they reduce the wind movement and erosion, and this further 8 9 reduces odour transfer. Mortalities are composted 10 in a straw layered system. 11 Our hogs are produced for the 12 commodity market. I also sell government 13 inspected pork products direct to a growing public 14 clientele interested in a naturally raised 15 product, which I feel is of superior quality. Like all hog farms in Manitoba, my facilities and 16 17 animal husbandry practices must meet C.Q.A., 18 that's Canadian Quality Assurance, standards to ensure that the public receives a safe product. 19 20 This means that all production records are 21 reviewed annually and all facilities are inspected 22 every two years. I keep disease transmission to 23 other farms to a minimum by introducing new stock 24 only once or twice per year from a high health 25 herd.

1 In some ways, my hog farming methods 2 are not typical in today's provincial industry. It is just one of many methods producers use to 3 4 raise hogs in an environmentally safe and 5 sustainable fashion. The Manitoba provincial manure regulations are among the toughest 6 7 standards in North America. I am proud of my farm's environmental 8 9 record, soil quality, minimal odour emissions, and 10 humanitarian animal husbandry methods. 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, 12 Mr. Matheson. 13 MR. MOTHERAL: When you say you 14 produce enough manure to do 100 acres a year, 15 that's sufficient for your crops for that particular -- for 100-acres? 16 17 MR. MATHESON: In most cases, I will also add synthetic fertilizers, yeah. But that's 18 19 roughly the land that I will cover in a year. 20 MR. MOTHERAL: And do you soil test? 21 MR. MATHESON: Yes, I have soil 22 testing. 23 MR. YEE: I was just wondering, did 24 you have any odour complaints from nearby 25 residences or neighbours?

1 MR. MATHESON: I have had one over the 2 course of my history of production, yeah. There is a neighbour directly east of me, probably 3 4 within 600 metres of my facilities. There was a 5 mediator from the Manitoba Pork Council who came out to speak to both parties and came up with an 6 7 acceptable solution to it, and that really took 8 care of the problem. 9 MR. YEE: Thank you. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: How long have you been farming in this location? 11 12 MR. MATHESON: 25 years come this May. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: And you've only had the 14 one complaint in 25 years? MR. MATHESON: Yes. 15 16 THE CHAIRMAN: That sounds pretty 17 good. Thank you very much, Mr. Matheson. 18 MR. MATHESON: You're welcome. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Would you please state 20 your name for the record and then the commission secretary will administer an oath? 21 MR. VISE: My name is Peter Vise. 22 23 PETER VISE, having been sworn, presents as 24 follows: 25 MR. VISE: As I said, my name is Peter

1 Vise. I speak as a self-interested person in this 2 industry. I am the owner, the family owner. I am the owner of a small Manitoba company, Precision 3 4 Feed and Envirotech Systems. We have about 20 5 employees working for us. And with the dependents, I mean, we have about 80 people that 6 7 are directly dependent on the welfare of this 8 industry. I'm sure that if you extend that in 9 relatives terms to the industry, we are well in 10 excess of \$1 billion, and we are relatively small. 11 There are thousands and thousands of people in Manitoba that are in the same boat as we are. 12 13 Now, having said that, I think that 14 all of those people in the industry are fully 15 aware that just because their economics and viability depends on it, that they should not be 16 17 given a licence to pollute. And, therefore, they 18 have a very great self-interest to make sure that 19 the industry is economically viable and 20 sustainable. And I'm sure they all work towards 21 that same interest. 22 Now, the only thing that they probably 23 ask, all ask for, and we ask for it, is that the 24 facts and eventual decisions on your part are made on the basis of science, rather than biased

1 opinions, from people that are opposed to this 2 industry. We are not afraid of the science backing us up and the rules and regulation that 3 4 may have to apply to this industry to make sure 5 that we do not contaminate the environment and that it is a sustainable industry. 6 7 The greatest problem today, I hear, is 8 not hogs, although they seem to be in the 9 limelight quite a bit, it's the CO2 pollution. 10 And the hog industry has very little to do with 11 that. They say that is the greatest short-term 12 industry and, basically, that comes directly from 13 people. So I think all this industry asks for is 14 they are treated at the same level and in the same evaluation as others are, rather than on bias. 15 16 And you take, as I said, the industry 17 is not objecting to following the rules and regulations. But that manure should be looked 18 upon, as I think I heard somebody mention, as a 19 20 rich essential nutrient for agriculture. Now, can 21 you put it on in synthetic form or you can cycle 22 it through the hogs. Now, if phosphate is the 23 biggest problems in hogs, I'm a livestock 24 nutritionist, and the hog consumes about three 25 kilograms of phosphate per animal. One goes back

in the manure as indigestible manner and the other
 two go out in meat and bone and are part of the
 species intake cycling.

4 Now, if raise eight million, but we 5 don't finish all of these hogs, we are looking at 8 million kgs of phosphates produced, yeah, 6 7 8 million from the hog industry. They tell me that Manitoba has around 10 million-acres of 8 9 arable land, and that they apply about 15-30 k's 10 of phosphate a year, and that comes to 150,000 11 tons a year. The manure industry only has about 12 8,000 tons of it, not even 5 percent, that comes from the hog industry. So the problem is not the 13 14 volume of phosphate, it is the distribution of the 15 phosphate and, to some extent, nitrogen, too, are the main things. 16

So I don't think anybody in the industry is objecting to rules that whatever is put -- is taken out of the soil can be put back. And I think the whole industry will, you know, very favourably look upon that, as I said, as long as the facts are based on science.

Now, there are also new technologies
that will make the extraction of phosphates and
then distribute it over a wider base than there

1 currently is. And these new technologies, you

2 know, they will be implemented.

You know, I wish this subject matter could be put into a more dynamic speech like, you know, John F. Kennedy: Don't ask what the country can do for you, but, you know, what you can do for your country? However, this subject matter does not lend itself very well to that, I would think. And I think this is better to be

10 compared with every time a mother changes a 11 diaper, should it be a diaper, should it be a 12 Pamper? Should it be a diaper, should it be a 13 Pamper? Now, you can go into the advantages of 14 Pampers and diapers. And, you know, the ultimate 15 line is, I would say, don't throw out the baby with the bath water because it is a very viable 16 17 industry and a lot of people are dependent on it. So that's my comments. 18

19 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Vise.
20 MR. MOTHERAL: Well, I just found your
21 comments on phosphorus very interesting. That's
22 great.

23 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much,
24 Mr. Vise. Now, is there anyone else who would
25 like to make a presentation this afternoon?

2 observation?

1

3 THE CHAIRMAN: Certainly. My name is
4 Bill Matheson.
5 BILL MATHESON, having been sworn, presents as

6 follows:

7 MR. MATHESON: My comment to the board or the commission would be to not get hung up on 8 9 numbers per se. When you ask the particular size 10 of these operations, whether they are 400 or 3,000 or 2,000, make sure you understand the principle 11 of animal units, that's the common denominator. 12 And I didn't hear you ask that of anyone. You 13 14 asked numbers, more like how many weanlings, how 15 many stockers, how many sows. It's all irrelevant. Animal units is the common 16 17 denominator that will put every presentation on equal footing. 18

19 THE CHAIRMAN: Actually, I believe I 20 did ask. The first question when I was asking 21 Mr. McCowan, I asked about animal units, and they 22 gave numbers of actual animals. And then we sort 23 of loosely, in our heads, tried to translate it. 24 And I think we came up with a few thousand animal 25 units, but we are aware of the concept of animal

1 units.

2 MR. MATHESON: Yes, that would be my point. Because numbers of animals, it's animal 3 4 units which is a measuring factor which puts 5 everybody who is going to make a presentation to you on equal footing. 6 7 THE CHAIRMAN: No. We are aware of that. We have been briefed by people in various 8 9 departments of the Manitoba Government, well briefed by them on these factors, including the 10 11 animal units. MR. MATHESON: It is one thing to be 12 briefed and another to understand it, though. 13 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, I think we 15 understand it. Certainly Wayne does because he is 16 a farmer. 17 MR. MATHESON: Okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Now, does anyone else 18 want to make a presentation or an observation? It 19 20 is going to be a long afternoon. 21 What we will do, then, is remain here until 5:00. If any of you decide you want to say 22 23 something between now and 5:00, we will hear you 24 out. If other people show up who want to make a 25 presentation, we will reconvene and hear them.

1 At 5:00 we will break for supper. We 2 will be back after supper as we have at least one person confirmed to present after supper. So we 3 4 will adjourn for now. And if anyone wants to say 5 their peace, just let one of us know and we will 6 reconvene. 7 (PROCEEDINGS RECESSED AT 2:00 P.M AND RECONVENED AT 7:00 P.M.) 8 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Good evening, ladies 10 and gentlemen, and welcome back. We have two 11 people who have indicated they wish to make 12 presentation this is evening. If there are any others who wish to do so, I would ask that you 13 14 just let Joyce, at the side table, know. The 15 first person who is on our agenda for this evening is Craig Mackie. 16 17 I would also just like to remind you of my earlier admonition, please turn off cell 18 19 phones. 20 Mr. Mackie, would you state your name 21 for the record and then Miss Johnson, the 22 commission secretary, will administer an oath? 23 MR. MACKIE: Yes. I'm Craig Mackie. 24 I'm a resident of Winnipeg. And I have a cabin on Lake Winnipeg that I love very dearly. 25

1 CRAIG MACKIE, having been sworn, presents as

2 follows:

3 MR. MACKIE: It's great to see so many 4 people caring about the state of Manitoba as it 5 pertains to nutrient loading in our wonderful lake. I have set the timing on this. If I get a 6 7 little big lagged, I am going to have to go back. 8 Sorry, folks. Yes, let me read it to script. 9 At first glance, the sun rises like 10 every day across the 18 miles from Gimli to Victoria Beach. And that's actually where my 11 12 family enjoys our morning coffee. That's the perch right from our cabin in Lockwood. I have 13 14 been going up there since I was born in 1954. 15 Lake Winnipeg is the 10th largest fresh water lake in the world. Let's see if I can 16 17 do this now. We enjoy a lot of things, like everybody else, canoeing, fishing, running into 18 those beautiful waves when we get those southeast 19 20 squalls. And we share a mutual respect for the 21 history of the lake it's its very, very important 22 heritage.

23 One of the things that we enjoy doing, 24 my father-in-law is a retired Dean of Science from 25 the University of Manitoba, we participate in an

1 annual "Mizzen Mast" flag pole raising ceremony, 2 where all of the flags fly from our heritage, me being Scottish, he being from the Isle of Man. 3 4 And the "Triskele" is actually that three-legged 5 symbol that you see on that red flag. 6 But overloading of nutrients into that 7 lake is a big term, and it needs to be kind of 8 determined as to what it really entails. Well, 9 the truth is that that lake has numerous sources 10 of nutrient loading, but phosphorous is really our 11 big kicker and, to a lesser extent, nitrogen. 12 Nitrogen will fix and kind of almost look after 13 itself. But there are countless sources 14 contributing to the problem from urban, as well as 15 rural areas alike. A nice shot of the Gimli 16 harbour. 17 The interesting thing about the lake

18 is that it represents the third largest watershed in North America. Of course, the Great Lakes 19 20 would be number two, and the Mississippi Delta would be number one. What people don't realize is 21 22 that when good old Lake Agassiz left, it left a 23 39-1 watershed to surface area ratio. We have 24 water flowing as far away from the Rockies and the 25 Continental Divide to the south. So it is just

1 under one million square kilometers that

2 represents water flowing into that beautiful body 3 of water.

4 The Northern States, sorry, 5 agricultural land and major cities along that waterways, all contribute significantly to the 6 7 nutrient loading ultimately discharged into the south basin, making its way into the north basin. 8 9 We have a group of marine biologists that I was lucky enough to travel with this year 10 on the Namao, which is the retired Coast Guard 11 cutter that goes on to Lake Winnipeg. And they 12 13 told me that there are 60 different in-flow rivers 14 and major waterways that contribute to the water 15 flow.

16 The Winnipeg River representing about 17 45 percent of the total flow, Saskatchewan 18 26 percent to the north basin, Mississ R north 19 basin, the Red River represents only 11 percent of 20 the flow.

21 So there is only one outflow, and 22 that's the real kicker guys and gals, is that 23 Nelson is the only outflow on that beautiful long 24 lake, and it is impeded by some flow because of 25 our hydroelectricity. I am not saying it's wrong,

1 I am just making a point.

2 Well, on the phosphorus loading, research has demonstrated that about 64 percent of 3 4 the total P, P being phosphorous, expelled through 5 the Red River system is coming up the Red. 13 percent from the Winnipeg, but that's our main 6 7 nitrogen source, pulp and paper. A lit bit of 8 cottage country to the east. And, of course, we have got about five percent from the Saskatchewan 9 10 river that comes out at the Grand Rapids. 11 Algal blooms, or phytoplankton, as the marine biologists reference, they determine that 12 13 photosynthetic process need phosphorus to grow. 14 Well, it sounds a little bit like Grade 11 or 12 15 science, but it's on a bigger scale. 16 Also on the phosphorus loading piece, 17 in years where water levels are reduced, like last 18 year, the photosynthetic capabilities are greatly enhanced. In other words, you've got less body 19 20 mass, less waves, a little bit more of this 21 chlorophyll A that is in large quantities. And 22 eutrophication, which, by the way, is just 23 diminishing the amount of moisture and adding to 24 more solids in the scheme of things, is more 25 prevalent.

1 Lake Winnipeg Research Consortium, 2 they have done some great work. They are sponsored by a number of different sources. But 3 4 again I mention this Coast Guard cutter, the great 5 Namao, which is Cree for sturgeon, which used to be an absolutely prolific indigenous species to 6 7 our lake, does research. There are 60 sample 8 sites a year, three times a year, if they can 9 afford it.

10 The cyanophyte, which is the 11 blue/green algae, is really the one they are sort 12 of concentrating on as kind of the culprit. This 13 has created a reduction of our bio-diversity, and 14 a decline in the health of the lake's ecosystem. 15 I am probably replicating a lot of things that have been said before me, but I want this to be 16 17 understood from a cottage owner's standpoint. 18 Oxygen levels have been dropping since 2003 in the lake. And when the algae decays, it 19 20 sinks to the bottom, destroying the zoobenthos, or 21 the live organisms that are within that mud pack, 22 that is so much our bentonite bottom. Most people 23 think that the pollution in the lake is that gray 24 colour. That is just our good old waves in the 25 lake churning up the bentonite bottom.

1 Research has charted since 1969. That 2 is, by the way, when we launched the Triskele, where you saw us raising the Mizzen Mast. So the 3 4 work there was done specifically on what species 5 and crustaceans are disappearing or actually 6 proliferating as the evolution of the different 7 changes in phosphate loading has manifested 8 itself. This has been creating what they call a hypoxic or "dead zone", and this is really the 9 issue. This is what's creating our big concern in 10 11 Lake Winnipeg. 12 The data is compelling, and we can all 13 do something about the problem. And I stress 14 "all", because whether it is agriculture based, 15 like have been pinpointed over the last two or 16 three weeks over the hearings, or it's from normal 17 urban fertilization, run-offs, detergents or other 18 sources, we all contribute to the Lake Winnipeg degradation. The good news is as a group we can 19 20 do something about it. We can be part of the 21 solution. 22 That's the little sonar group that is 23 used on the boat just to manage the depth. Now, there is an economic and 24 25 environmental balance to everything we do. We

1 know that our hydroelectricity is huge. Great, 2 great, it's one of our big economic drivers here 3 in Manitoba. Oil in the west. We have got our 4 hydroelectricity and alternative energies.

5 There is big tourism. A \$20 million fisheries business that is really one of the main 6 7 fabrics for the Icelandic and indigenous folks 8 that have fishing licences. It is very, very 9 important that we maintain that thrust, but so is 10 environmental stewardship for our childrens' future. I don't think there is anyone in the room 11 that disputes that. 12

13 And here I will get to my point. 14 Let's stop casting aspersions to strictly one 15 segment of the AG industry. Let's take a look at a little bit fresher approach. Our hog producers 16 17 are among the most strident lands stewards I know. They use GPS. They use all sort of water set-back 18 and spread regulations to do their due diligence 19 20 with all of the modern technology to make sure 21 that those nutrients are spread on land acres 22 where it really pays back and gets absorbed by the 23 crops.

24 They work with industry officials to25 ensure that they are doing their part to meet or,

in a lot of cases, exceed all of the guidelines
 set by our municipal and provincial directors when
 it comes to fertilization.

4 They recognize that agriculture is a 5 contributor to the problem, but also are willing to be part of the solution. If I hear one more 6 7 time that: Those stinking hogs have polluted the 8 lake! I stand on a soapbox every weekend that people will listen to me and say: I love that 9 10 lake. It's not just the hog industry. Part of it. It doesn't matter if it's 1 percent or if 11 it's 15 percent. The point is we can all be part 12 13 of the solution. That's my message today. 14 Now, on the Lake Winnipeg Research

15 piece, there is website and general fact and data 16 information. There is local information days at 17 Victoria Beach, Hecla, Gimli Harbour.

18 I would compel anyone sitting here 19 tonight to join me and my wife for a cup of coffee 20 some time up at our Scuttlebutt Lodge north of 21 Gimli. And we will go and talk to Dr. Al 22 Christopherson and some of the marine biologists 23 as to what they are doing. They won't cast 24 aspersions or point fingers at the hog industry. 25 They will say: The lake is sick and there is

1 something we can all do about it.

2 Now, Colin McNairnay is a local Manitoba Conservation officer who wrote a song to 3 4 bring the lake's condition down to a child's 5 comprehension level. I have a copy of that. I would love to play it for you as I close here. 6 7 But I think what it does is it captures the essence of in '69 through '79 Lake Eerie was 8 9 dying, decaying. It was hit with so much 10 intervention from industry, it was almost beyond reproach to solve it. They got it done. 11 12 We're not dead. We're dying. And 13 what we need to do is understand that the big, big 14 play, as we go forward with industry, we have to 15 recognize that whether it is the Huterian brethren, who are so well represented tonight, or 16 independent producers, and it doesn't have to be 17 just hogs, poultry, dairy, beef, they all have to 18 19 be strident stewards of the land and work with all 20 political persuasions as to a final fix on this 21 lake. So if you'll indulge me, I am going to 22 23 try and get this to play. If it doesn't work, I am not going to sing it. I will give you copies 24

of it afterwards. Keep in mind, this was written

25

1 and performed by some very, very elementary kids 2 who need to understand. Okay, folks. 3 (PLAYING SONG "I LIKE FISH", BY COLIN MCNAIRNAY) 4 MR. MACKIE: Anyway, thanks very much. I will entertain any questions. I am not selling 5 this disc, but I will give the disc to the group. 6 7 So questions from the panel? 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Mackie. 9 Any questions? 10 MR. YEE: No. 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Thanks very much for your presentation. 12 13 MR. YEE: Thanks very much. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Would you state your 15 name for the record, please? MR. HOFER: Ben Hofer, Grosse Isle, 16 17 Manitoba. BEN HOFER, having been sworn, presents as follows: 18 THE CHAIRMAN: You may proceed. 19 20 MR. HOFER: Good evening, members of 21 the Clean Environment Commission panel, ladies and 22 gentlemen of the audience. My name is Ben Hofer. 23 I am speaking here today as secretary of Rock Lake Huterrite Colony, Grosse Isle, Manitoba. I am 24 also a hog producer, and I represent 44 of the 98 25

Manitoba colonies. My colleague, James Hofer,
 represents the balance. I represent about one
 million hogs annually.

I'm inclined to think that Hutterites,
in general, pride themselves in thinking that they
are good stewards of the land. Water quality is
paramount to the quality of life on a Huterrite
colony.

9 So here we are, 2007, wondering: What 10 are we doing right, what are we doing wrong to our environment? There is an old saying that 11 12 hindsight is always better than foresight. So we 13 pick nutrient management and manure management. 14 Let's try a little hindsight. Who could we 15 truthfully say was the first environmentalists and nutrient manager? I would like to quote from the 16 good book Deuteronomy 23, verse 12 and 13, the 17 18 following passage:

19 "And God said unto his servant Moses:
20 Speak unto the Children of Israel and
21 thou shall have a place without a
22 camp, thou shall have a paddle and dig
23 their width and turn back and cover
24 that which cometh from you so you
25 defile not the land."

Here we are, 3,500 years later, doing the same thing to the pig manure, injecting directly into the soil. I think it's safe to say that that practice has the blessings of our creator.

6 In my earlier presentation, I 7 mentioned that phosphorus is an essential element 8 in building body tissue in both humans and animals 9 and plant tissue. Now if you talk to most 10 scientists and soil engineers, they will tell you 11 phosphorus is very stable in the soil and pretty 12 much stays where it is placed, until the next crop 13 will uptake with its roots to produce more plant 14 tissue. The same scientists and soil engineers 15 will tell that you nitrogen is a mover and a 16 migrator. I firmly believe that the only way that phosphorus from manure can ends up in a lake, in a 17 water, stream or lake, is if the manure itself is 18 19 washed into the stream, river or lake. This is 20 highly unlikely if the manure is injected directly 21 into the soil.

22 Proponents of straw-based livestock
23 operations will often times have you believe that
24 a straw-based livestock operation is more
25 environmentally friendly than a liquid, manure

1 based system, but it has no merit. I personally 2 asked Dr. John Gad, a hog expert from the U.K., his opinion on that matter. His answer was: 3 4 There is absolutely no difference. To produce a 5 250-pound hog, the same amount of excrement comes 6 out the rear end. 7 More hindsight, when Christopher column bus discovered America in 1492, I think it 8 9 is safe to say that he found the land in a 10 pristine state. And yet these lands were 11 literally recovered were roaming herd of millions of buffalo, deer and antelope. 12 13 I would like to quote one of the 14 naysayers to the hog industry at the previous 15 presentation, if you took every hog from Manitoba and placed them nose to tail, you would have a 16 17 line from here to Thunder Bay. Well, 18 Mr. Naysayer, you forgot to do your math. Four 19 million of these hogs leave Manitoba as babies. 20 Your excrement pie would shrink considerably. I think I can assure you that the excrement pie from 21 those millions of buffalo would make your pie look 22 23 like a powderpuff. 24 I am inclined to think that people in

25 general prefer natural fertilizer over chemical

1 fertilizer. As I mentioned in my earlier

2 presentation, if you removed all of the hogs from 3 the Province of Manitoba, not one acre would go 4 unfertilized. If you placed those buffalo and 5 deer and antelope nose to tail, you would almost 6 certainly glow.

7 More history: As mankind settled down 8 on the prairies and interfered more and more with 9 the balance of nature, problems started to arise. 10 Next stop, silent spraying, Ritchie 11 Carson. Now here we have a good example of due diligence, good science and good government 12 13 interaction. After studying the problem, 14 identifying the problem, action plan: DDT off the 15 map. The DDT was getting into the food chain. 16 And the egg shells were breaking prematurely 17 before the hatchling was ready to emerge, problem 18 rectified, so spraying is no longer silent. 19 Land use approval. It appears that as 20 a person gets older and reaches retirement age, he 21 or she dreams of this nice quiet place in the 22 country, just a short drive from the big city, 23 close enough so the grandchildren can come out for 24 the weekends. When the land agent showed them the

25 place, the wind was blowing from a different

direction, the land was green and the pits didn't
 need to be pumped, not yet, anyways.

Harvest time, fast forward, crops have to come off. Lots of trip with a grain truck over dry, dusty gravel roads. The wind is blowing towards that retirement home and all of that dust isn't doing grandma's asthma any good. The feed lots need to be cleaned and the pits pumped.

9 The grandchildren are out for that 10 weekend burger bash, the steaks are in the fridge. Grandma, what's that horrible smell? Answer: The 11 12 colony is cleaning out that feed lot and pumping those pits. Well, grandma, can't you do anything 13 14 about it? I called the R.M. They told me that 15 the land agent who sold you the property should have told that you there is a caveat registered on 16 17 that property that says it is subject to agricultural odours. Suggestion to the panel: 18 Subdivisions smaller than 40-acres should not be 19 20 allowed. That age-old advice: If you can't take 21 the heat, stay out of the kitchen advice, should still be adhered to. 22

At this point, I would like to advise the panel that Rock Lake Colony is situated one mile from the Town of Grosse Isle, and our lagoon

has a cover on it. We file a manure management
 plan annually with Manitoba Conservation.

3 Spray drift, that's another story by
4 itself, but that would make this presentation too
5 lengthy.

6 Groundwater quality and supply. Here 7 in the Interlake, we are sitting on a giant water aquifer. The water is found in the limestone 8 9 rock. Drill a hole anywheres in the Interlake 10 area 100 feet deep and you will have a good supply of clean water. There is a catch, however. That 11 water is 40 to 44 grain hardness. To use that 12 13 water for dishwashing and laundry, you pretty well 14 have to soften it. How is that done? Our pioneer 15 forefathers used wood ashes and they used ice water. When water freezes, it drops most of its 16 17 minerals.

In the Interlake area, most everybody 18 uses a commercial water softer. To regenerate a 19 20 softener, it takes saltwater solution to backwash. 21 In most cases, this happens automatically. It is 22 my understanding that potassium chloride will do 23 the same thing and is a little more environmentally friendly. It takes way less 24 25 phosphate-laden detergent to do laundry and

dishwashing when the hardness is removed by a
 softener before washing. So, in essence, a
 softener does more good for the environment than
 harm, just by creating less phosphate usage.
 Winnipeg City water consists of approximately 12
 grain hardness.

7 Surface water quality. There are a 8 few colonies that are not blessed with the 9 adequate water supply that we have here in the 10 Interlake. Those colonies usually resort to 11 building a larger water reservoir. The reservoir 12 is usually replenished with spring run-off water 13 and then processed for human consumption washing. 14 This system has its merits because the water is 15 snow melt, with very little, if any, hardness; therefore, requiring very little softening. In 16 17 some cases chlorination is used for drinking 18 water. In my case, here at Rock Lake, we use surface water, run-off water, for irrigation 19 20 purposes only.

21 Soil quality. A major problem for a 22 good number of stewards of the land is alkaline 23 and saline soils. Now, there is a challenge. How 24 to make that soil fertile? Here is where history 25 can help us again. We have all heard the riddle:

1 Why do they have dikes in Holland? Now, the 2 naysayers to the hog industry will tell you: It's to keep the manure in. But the real reason is to 3 4 claim lane from the sea. So once the water is pumped out, they Dutch grow salt tolerant colure 5 6 to remove salt from the soil. This process takes 7 approximately ten years. This must be sustainable agriculture at its best because the Dutch have 8 9 been doing this for hundreds of years.

10 There are as many hogs in Holland and 11 Belgium as there are in all of Canada. Bear in 12 mind, you can drive across Holland and Belgium in 13 two and a half hours one way and two hours the 14 other way. Holland and Belgium is also home to a 15 giant dairy industry and millions of cows.

16 Now, at this point, I would like to 17 tell you a little story about -- it's not in the presentation. I have a nephew who lives in Paris. 18 19 He alternates between Paris and Antwerp, Belgium. 20 And he writes software for the second biggest 21 software company in the world, which I believe it 22 is SEM, they call it. Microsoft is the biggest. 23 So he was over here for a visit just 24 when we were installing this tarp on our lagoon. 25 And apparently software writers have a problem

1 getting enough physical exercise. So he enjoyed a 2 little physical work, so we put him on a shovel helping bury electric lines at the lagoon. So I 3 4 asked him: Did you notice that you were amongst 5 many pigs in Belgium and Holland? You are spending half your time there. Yeah, they have as 6 7 many pigs in Holland and Belgium as they have in Canada, I hear. He said: Huh, I wonder where 8 9 they are? That's the answer he gave me. 10 Odour, at Rock Lake we control odour 11 with a negative pressure tarp cover. We find this 12 cover very effective for odour control and very 13 essential. Bear in mind, as I mentioned earlier, 14 the colony is situated one mile from a residential 15 area. I would like to add, at this point, 16 17 that we are working together with a firm called

17 that we are working together with a firm called 18 Expert Technology. A covered lagoon is a perfect 19 opportunity to collect gases to burn off methane 20 gases for the generation of carbon credits. 21 Expert personnel tell me that they have one flame 22 burning in Alberta from a lagoon. In our case, 23 the apparatus is sitting on side, but not hooked 24 up yet.

25 Disease transmission. The hog

industry today has a pretty clean record regarding major disease outbreaks. The chicken industry has seen Avian influenza. The cattle have seen BSE. The hog industry has learned early on the importance of bio-security and animal husbandry. For a hog operation to be any kind of a profit center, a disease-free status is paramount.

8 Climate change. Most hog operations 9 are net users of energy. And in most cases, use 10 fossil fuels and hydro power for energy sources. There are exceptions. One colony uses biomass 11 12 flax straw. One colony in the states is 13 successfully burning turkey manure, which is wood 14 shaving based with 20 percent coal and 80 percent 15 shaving mixture. A colony in Alberta is just putting the finishing touch on a four million Btu 16 17 solar heating system.

If scientists and environmentalists 18 are to be believed, all of the CO2 emissions are 19 20 causing atmospheric changes in our climate. Speaking of CO2 emissions, this is one where we 21 22 should give credit or credit is due. All of us 23 old-timers will remember when the tractors of old 24 spewed black diesel into the atmosphere under 25 load. Today's new electronic run diesel engines

1 doesn't spew black smoke, even if you tried to 2 make it do so. So hats off to the diesel manufacturers and fuel companies who have cleaned 3 4 up their act by taking the sulphur out of diesel 5 fuel and cleaned up their emissions. So where else can we eliminate CO2 emissions? The Dutch 6 7 boiler manufacturer advises me that they can 8 remove all emissions except mercury. 9 Wind power. Now, here is an area 10 where we dropped the bomb. When this land was 11 settled, quarter section by quarter section, virtually every farm had a windmill turning on it, 12 13 usually for the purpose of pumping water. I spoke 14 earlier about the Dutch and their sustainable 15 agriculture. Well, the Dutch never dropped their wind generation technology and today are exporting 16 17 that expertise to other countries. I feel quite 18 comfortable that that clean source of energy was 19 instrumental in developing that big sow herd in 20 Belgium and Holland. People, in general, seem to 21 think that to generate wind power generation you 22 have to feed power into the hydro grid, and that 23 is not necessarily true. Wind power can be used 24 to heat water, which can be used later, or to produce hydrogen, or even to evaporate liquid 25

1 manure and sell the dry material to greenhouses. 2 I remember when I arrived as a boy at Rock Lake, we had a wind power generator charging batteries. 3 4 At this point, I would like to remind 5 the panel that industries can be here today and gone tomorrow. Winnipeg used to be home to a 6 7 thriving beef packing industry, gone. A flour 8 milling industry, gone. A brewing industry gone. 9 Sewing and knitting industry, leaving fast. Brick 10 manufacturing, gone. Bridge building, gone. 11 Foundry casting building, gone. Wire 12 manufacturing, gone. Steel for shipbuilding with 13 Kunig Steel, gone. Boiler manufacturing, steel 14 and iron, gone. Tannery, Dominion Tannery, gone. 15 Cement manufacturing, gone. Co-op Implements, 16 gone. CIL implements, gone. Sugar industry, 17 gone. Road grading equipment manufacturing, 18 Austin Western, gone. And our once old remaining 19 Maple Leaf kill floor is very tired, almost gone. 20 So regarding the present pause in the 21 hog industry, let's not throw the baby out with the bath water. So in closing, I would like to 22 23 remind the panel that the hog industry in Manitoba 24 is a driving force in the Manitoba economy. Thank 25 you for listening.

1 And my typist added a little here: 2 Don't work for the next election, work for the best interests of Manitoba. May the Good Lord 3 4 bless you all and make good decisions for Manitoba 5 for years to come. Thank you. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Hofer. 7 And I certainly hope we can live up to that last bit of direction, making good decisions for the 8 9 province. 10 I am just curious about one thing. You said you didn't want to lengthen your 11 12 presentation, but what is a spray drift, or what 13 do you mean by spray drift? 14 MR. HOFER: Well, we have quite a few 15 horror stories to tell when it comes to spray drift. You have got a little two or four acre 16 17 subdivision, with your lane road going all around 18 it. And it is almost impossible when you are spraying with Ester, for instance. And this 19 20 individual has a couple of tomato plants. And 21 Ester is very volatile and usually they end up 22 kaputs, so we have had quite a few of those 23 scenarios. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, thank you. 24

MR. MOTHERAL: I had the same

25

1 question. But, you see, I'm a farmer, Terry isn't. I knew what a spray drift was. I know I 2 killed my own shelter belts. 3 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Do you have any 5 questions, Wayne? 6 MR. YEE: No, I don't. THE CHAIRMAN: Edwin? 7 MR. YEE: No. 8 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, 10 Mr. Hofer. Now, does anyone else wish to make a presentation this evening? It's your last chance 11 in Stonewall. There will be 15 other 12 opportunities in other communities, but the last 13 chance in Stonewall. 14 15 Yes, sir. MR. KLEINSASSER: Would questions be 16 17 appropriate at the moment? 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, what kind of questions, sir? 19 20 MR. KLEINSASSER: What percentage of 21 token environment pollution comes from the hog industry fertilizer? 22 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, we are not in a position to answer that. That's not the purpose 24 25 of -- the purpose of our review is not to answer

1 those types of questions. In fact, I am not sure 2 that we can answer them. 3 MR. KLEINSASSER: Well, some people 4 have the answers. It's half a percent. So who does the polluting? 5 6 THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry? 7 MR. KLEINSASSER: Who does the polluting if it is only half a percent? 8 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, I am not sure if 10 it is half a percent. But as Mr. Mackie said, we 11 all contribute to it in many ways. Agriculture 12 contributes to it in some ways. The City of 13 Winnipeg, through their sewage treatment, 14 contributes to it in ways. The use of phosphorus 15 detergents is a significant contributor. There are many contributors to the phosphorus and 16 17 nitrogen problems in our waters and, in particular, in Lake Winnipeg. But it's not any 18 one single one. 19 20 And we're only playing a small part in 21 trying to find the answers to that. The Lake 22 Winnipeg Stewardship Board, which has done an 23 awful lot of research specifically in respect of 24 Lake Winnipeg, has done a lot of research and 25 found a lot of those answers and is working

1 towards cleaning up Lake Winnipeg.

2 But agriculture is a part. It's not a major part, but it is a part. And as Mr. Mackie 3 4 said, and others have said before him, we all 5 should be playing or doing what we can to clean up 6 all of our waters in Manitoba. 7 MR. KLEINSASSER: That's strange to pick on 1 percent and the 99 percent it seems 8 9 there is little done about it. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, I mean, I 11 can't -- I can't speak for why the Province put in place the moratorium. That wasn't my decision. 12 13 We were asked, after the moratorium was put in 14 place, to look at issues relating to the 15 sustainable -- the environmental sustainability of the hog industry. We're not -- our role is not to 16 17 find a solution to Lake Winnipeg. Our role is to determine if the 18 19 regulatory regime that is in place in Manitoba now 20 will ensure that the hog industry can continue in an environmentally sustainable manner. 21 22 Sir, did you want to make a 23 presentation? MR. R. HOFER: Yes, sir. When 24 25 homesteaders settled the west --

1 THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like to come 2 up to a mike? Well, you could sit at this one or this one up here. We do like to have a record. 3 4 And that's so when we come to review what we've 5 heard, we have a record of it. Could you 6 introduce yourself, please, sir? 7 MR. R. HOFER: My name is Robert 8 Hofer, Ninette, Manitoba. I am from the Wellwood 9 Colony. I have a few questions, sir. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, you can ask your questions. I can't promise that I can answer 11 12 them. 13 MR. R. HOFER: When our homesteaders 14 or forefathers settled the west, they broke up a 15 lot of natural resources. The first thing that happened was massive erosion of our soils, okay? 16 A second batch of homesteaders now are what we 17 call settlers or homesteaders that settle around 18 our lakes, which are our best natural resources 19 20 and our rivers. The first thing they do when some 21 cottager buys some property, he clears outs the 22 trees, moves some soil around and builds himself a 23 house, stirs natural resources in probably the 24 most extreme way anybody else does. 25 And then we have all of the natural

1 soils erode into the lakes, okay, into the rivers. 2 And as soon as you feed clear water with soils, 3 you breed or set up an area for algae to grow, or 4 anything to grow, because you are fertilizing the 5 water with soil that can grow. And to me, that's 6 our biggest problem is erosion. 7 All of the nutrients from millions of 8 years of trees and growth wash into the lakes because the surrounding area around where all of 9 10 the cabins are built is being disturbed. And that 11 topsoil has been washed into the lakes. And it 12 feeds algae and it's full of phosphate, period. 13 All soils are full of phosphates because soils 14 come from broken up matter of trees, leaves, 15 whatever grows in this world. And it's not fair to blame the 16

17 farmers. Somebody should look into people that 18 live in the cities and want to come out in the 19 country. You know, they don't realize what they 20 are doing to the environment. And I don't think 21 the scientists do, too, because they are looking 22 in their own area. They are always looking for a 23 scapegoat, and guess who it is.

24 THE CHAIRMAN: Well, I am not really25 here to debate with you. But I think, in

fairness, the scientists have looked at cottage developments around lakes and urban developments around lakes as part of the problem. But that isn't what we, the Clean Environment Commission, were asked to look at. We were asked to look at the environmental sustainability of hog production.

8 MR. R. HOFER: It's very sad that our 9 science is down to par and we are all doing a good job. And we're not polluting our own wells. We 10 depend on them more than the city people do 11 12 because we haven't got the distilling system like 13 the cities do to clean the water. So we are 14 stewards of the land, and we are very careful. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: I have no doubt that the vast majority of farmers are good stewards. 16 17 And at the end of this review, we may well be able to say that definitively in our advice to the 18 19 minister. 20 MR. R. HOFER: That's all I have. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you for your 22 comments. 23 Does anybody else have a presentation

24 they would like to make this evening? Could you 25 introduce yourself?

1 MR. PENNER: My name is Calvin Penner. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, Calvin? 3 THE WITNESS: Calvin Penner. 4 CALVIN PENNER, having been sworn, presents as 5 follows: 6 MR. PENNER: Okay. I just wanted to 7 add my two cents. And I guess come at it from the 8 perspective of what we're doing on our farm. We 9 are a family farm. We farm near the Town of 10 Argyle, which isn't very far from here. In 1970 11 my father started this farm as a small grain and hog operation. 12 13 Today we have a 400 sow, farrow to 14 finish, and farm 500-acres of crop land. We have 15 three families living and working on this site, and our goal is to have a successful farm 16 17 operation. And I would like to address the issue 18 19 of the sustainability of the hog industry from our 20 farm's perspective and the measures that we take 21 to protect our environment. To start off, we have 22 a government certified manure storage lagoon, 23 engineered and constructed in 1994, in accordance, 24 at the time, with the new environmental standards. And every year, since 1994, it has been inspected 25

1 by Manitoba Conservation and is maintained

2 according to their requests.

3 Also, we have participated in the 4 Manitoba Manure Management Plan and have been part of this Environmental Program since 2000, which 5 consists of soil testing twice a year, checking 6 7 nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, sulphur levels. And the manure is tested for these nitrogens --8 9 for these nutrients to determine the application 10 level according to the Manitoba Manure Regulation Guidelines. All fields that we have are mapped. 11 And environmentally sensitive areas are noted, 12 13 such as low spots, sinkholes and yard sites for 14 perimeters. We do this to observe proper 15 set-backs according to the Manitoba guidelines. The manure application is done by professional 16 17 applicators, and the use of hose injection method is used to limit the smell and the loss of 18 19 nutrients.

20 We also monitor well water annually, 21 testing for E. coli, coliform and nitrate levels, 22 and these are submitted for review to Manitoba 23 Conservation.

Also, deads are handled according toManitoba Conservation regulations. Because we are

1 over 500 animal units, we do not bury our deads. 2 We store them in cold storage and recycle them through Rosser with a once a week pick-up. The 3 4 issue of smell is addressed by covering our lagoon 5 with straw and treed shelter belts around the yard to reduce odour. We also dispose of hazardous 6 7 materials, such as needles and sharps, through 8 proper avenues, such as our vet clinic. 9 Conservation inspects our farm 10 annually, following up on the Manure Management 11 Plan, the lagoon, methods of handling deads, soil 12 tests and water tests. We also participate in a CQA program since 19 -- no, sorry, since 2003. 13 14 And we are checked annually for the humane 15 treatment of the swine, the health of the animal, 16 the barn and equipment integrity and safety. And 17 feed records are checked properly for the use of medications. And if medications are used, they 18 19 are done by precipitation, and this is all done 20 through our local vet. All medications are used 21 at the bare minimum. In 2006, we completed an Environmental 22 23 Plan Workshop, which helped us to reassess our 24 previous environmental program and make modifications where it was needed. 25

Just in conclusion, I would like to say that since the time we have built our lagoon and participated in the Manure Management Program, we have not received any deficiencies from Conservation or any complaints from our neighbours.

7 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Penner. 8 It sounds like you are doing a very good job at 9 being a steward of the land around your farm. Can 10 you tell me, do you think that all of the 11 requirements, do you feel that all of the 12 requirements placed upon you are too onerous or do 13 you think they are fair?

14 MR. PENNER: Probably if you had have 15 asked me ten years ago, I would have said they were too onerous. But looking at them in today's 16 17 perspective, I think they are fair. I think that we've adjusted to being able to do them, and I 18 19 have no problem with them. I see that they are justified and I know what, you know, the purpose 20 21 is behind. Them and we do agree with them and do 22 our due diligence to uphold them.

23 THE CHAIRMAN: And this might not be a 24 fair question, but do you know is all of the 25 diligence that you're doing, is that typical of

1 the farmers you know?

2 MR. PENNER: I would say yes. I would say the lion share of producers are doing the best 3 4 they can. I wouldn't venture to say all, but I bet you almost all. 5 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Wayne? 7 MR. MOTHERAL: A clarification point, and I have previously farmed. And I still have a 8 9 farm, but I don't have any animals on my farm. 10 What is the C2A program? 11 MR. PENNER: C.Q.A., it's the Canadian Quality Assurance program. 12 13 MR. MOTHERAL: Oh, C.Q.A., I'm sorry. 14 I thought C2A was some kind of chemical. I'm 15 sorry, my mistake, thank you. And, yes, I commend 16 you for coming and giving your presentation. 17 Sometimes these aren't easy things to do in a 18 public forum. And you need to encourage others to do the same. Thank you. 19 20 MR. PENNER: Okay. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, 22 Mr. Penner. Thanks for coming out this evening. 23 Anybody else? Last chance for this part of the world, for Stonewall, anyway, last chance. Okay. 24 I would like to thank you all for coming out this 25

1	evening. I would particularly like to thank those
2	who made presentations this afternoon and this
3	evening. All of these presentations will help us
4	in our deliberations and the report that we have
5	to make to the minister later on this year. Thank
6	you and good evening.
7	(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 8:20 P.M.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	
5	
6	I, LISA REID, court reporter, in the Province of
7	Manitoba, do hereby certify the foregoing pages
8	are a true and correct transcript of my Stenotype
9	notes as taken by me at the time and place
10	hereinbefore stated.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	Lisa Reid
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	