

From: [REDACTED]
To: [+WPG725 - Clean Environment Commission](#)
Subject: Sio Silica
Date: March 21, 2023 9:12:31 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION: ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

I concur with Dennis LeNeveu. "Sio Silica's science is inadequate. The default position must be that they prove the project is safe. " The Hearing must not proceed until all the issues resolved in this compilation of disputes concerning the DLN-IRc requests for clarification have been resolved."

Otherwise, who pays for cataclysmic failure? No amount of money can replace the aquifers and all they support. As proven in Fukushima, the Gulf of Mexico and Walkerton, whether we have invited them in or struggled to keep them out, we may have to pay. Innocent or acquiescent, we may find there is no mitigation for the destruction of aquifers that once supplied drinking water to thousands.

Uncompromised aquifers result in healthy water for perpetuity. Please protect this priceless resources for today and for the future. Say NO to Sio Silica's proposal at this time.

Janice Innes

Sent from [REDACTED]