

From: [REDACTED]
To: [+WPG725 - Clean Environment Commission](#)
Subject: Submission
Date: March 23, 2023 10:16:00 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION: ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

To all concerned,

Our family is fortunate enough to drink clean water every day directly from one of the aquifers in question. What concerns me about this project is that the impact to our underground water system will be **irreversible**.

If the aquifers are contaminated, what will the company do to compensate those of us whose water is now not of good quality to drink?

Furthermore, I echo what I heard one presenter ask at the Beausejour hearing, has any consideration been given to the likelihood of more extreme drought and flooding events in light of the known effects of climate change?

My question in this regard is: how much thought has been given to generations to come?

100 years from now when the company is long finished the extraction that they set out to do, what benefit will there be to future generations?

I understand that there is some question as to how much and in what ways this project will affect the aquifers in this area, but one point that is not disputable is that there **will be** some impact. I do not want to be remembered as the one who sat silently as our water system was under threat.

I urge the CEC to recommend that this project be stopped in light of the potential long term contamination of our water source.

I would also urge the Commission to consider how this proposed project fits into the work of reconciliation. As was stated in the opening remarks of the hearings, we gather on treaty land as people committed to the work of reconciliation. Taking good care of treaty land is the responsibility of us all.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Redekopp