

From: Jim
Sent: May-30-17 3:03 AM
To: Johnson, Cathy (SD)
Subject: CEC presentation

First of all thanks to the CEC for the chance for us to voice our concerns on the proposed MMTP as landowners . As you may know I am a member of the Southeast Stakeholders Coalition , a group of concerned citizens from the RM's of Springfield ,Tache ,St Anne and Labroqurie.

Our group started of as Tache Coailition in 2014 when Hydro proposed the alternate routes in round 2 ,we all had attended the various open houses in round 1 filled out forms that hydro had supplied to us to voice our concerns about the placement of Mmtp In our area .SSC was formed in 2015, to include ALL MUNICIPALITIES We had all read all the information in hydro brochures about how they wanted our input to help select a preferred route which minimizes impact on people and the environment.

You can imagine our outrage when we found out hydro had moved the proposed Mmtp off a route 1/2 a mile west of hwy 12 to 1 1/2 miles east of hwy 12 putting it through one of the most populated areas in Tache! Hydro moved Mmtp from my farmland west of hwy 12 to my bush land where my wife and I have lived since 2002. We have spent the last 35 years working on this property and in 2002 we finally built a house on this property . In 2007 we entered a partnership with Seine Rat River Conservation to do a water retention project on our 160 acres along Fish Creek We have since signed a Conservation Agreement with Manitoba Habitat Heritage Corporation that will protect our land to PERPETUITY We have donated our land to protect it I have submitted letters exhibit a and b that my wife and I have written to then CEO of hydro Scott Thompson ,members our government ,news reporters and other members of hydro .I also included a letter exhibit c my then 9 year old grand-daughter wrote to hydro after we explained to her what hydro had planned for our land ! Since hydro was unable to use our land for their Mmtp corridor because of the conservation agreement a different route was selected farther to the east

I have included 3 letters from hydro ,exhibit d ,the letters dated October 28 2013 and 2014 03 31 state "to help us select a preferred route that minimizes impact on people and the environment , we want to hear from you " ,on the letter dated 2015 04 21 there is NO mention of a "route that minimizes impact on people and the environment " Why ? Was it because that in round 3 that was no longer a priority ? Was it because they found a way to mitigate the impact on people ? Or was it because they could us the power of expropriation ,like they did on bipole to make the problem go away ?

Since May of 2014 Our group has been collecting names of people in opposition of the placement of Mmtp on the current route Exhibit e presented to rm of Tache Exhibit e and f presented to MB hydro at meeting at Taylor Exhibit g 20 page petition presented to the then opposition P C party which was read in ledge in 2015

Our committee has worked closely with our municipal councils since 2014 and have received letters from all councils agreeing that the placement of Mmtp on the preferred route will have a long lasting NEGATIVE IMPACT on all municipalities and residences involved ! Exhibit h letters from Tache strongly objecting this preferred route selection,5 pages Exhibit I letters from RM's of stuartburn ,Labroquire ,Springfield ,st Anne and Piney all stating that a more easterly route would be least intrusive to all our municipalities. And finally,but most important exhibit j letters from the RM of Reynolds indicating the municipality would welcome Mmtp since there are no existing or proposed residential development and no agricultural lands ! Exhibit k letter dated April 28 2015 This letter states that up to the end of April 2015 ,hydro was still considering utilizing fire guard 13 as a possible route even though the 2 most easterly routes were eliminated .

No houses , no farms,and a municapility who has no objection to Mmtp ,you would think this would be a no brainier ,what is the real story? I could go on for another hour but I think I will let our consul and our expert witness do what they do best . We all have a responsibility to one another as ratepayers and more importantly Manitobans to work together to come up with a amicable solution to this project !

I have been involved with this project longer than anyone on our committee
,since Fall of 2013 ,I have been involved with the bipole project since 2014
,seeking information on the whole political motivated fiasco that bipole has become,
we all must remember that Mmtp was planned at the same time bipole was
,by basically the same people with the same corporate values

I have attended almost all the open houses on Mmtp since 2013 ,I have attended
as many meetings concerning bipole as I could ,consulted with bipoleiii coalition on HYDROS approach to bio- security ,or lack of bio-security !
I have seen first hand on what lengths hydro will go to push these projects through !

I have camped out in a frozen field in March of 2016 with the people of bipole
when we took a stand on the lack of Bio-security in Mitchell Manitoba .
The landowners effectively shut the drill rig down for lack of bio-security
for the last week of the drilling season ,because hydro refused to steam clean
a auger used to drill holes for towers ,I am sure this is going to be one of
their "lessons learned "from bipole

SSC has realized things are not always as they seem ,this is why we became
interveners ,we are the only private landowner group participating in this
inquiry and some how it seems we are being left out of the loop .
We have participated in all the workshops offered ,we have attended every session of this inquiry in an effort to play catch up with the rest of the
pack .I have noticed a certain dynamic evolving in this inquiry and I think it
has always been there ,but it becoming more obvious every day.

Being private landowners has an upside ,but as I am learning it also has a
downside !

Being a private landowner means there comes a time when you may have to fight
for your right to keep your land .
This is one of those times ,we have been told many times our rights can and
may be taken away As I mentioned before we all have the duty to do what is
best for all Manitobans Thank s to the CEC panel for the chance to participate

in this process ,I hope my presentation gave you a insight into what the private landowners are going through All my exhibits will be on paper copy

Thank you
Jim Teleglow Vice President SSC

From: Jim
Sent: May-30-17 2:23 PM
To: Johnson, Cathy (SD)
Subject: Addition to CEC presentation

I would like to add to the reference of exhibit g the 20 page petition that was read in the ledge in 2015 was ALSO presented to Manitoba hydro at an open house in 2015 .
Hydro staff has full knowledge of all our petitions .
If our petitions did not make it into the data base of objections ,then we have a very serious problem of how ,or even if our concerns were ever a concern to MB Hydro !
How was all the data collected at all the open houses used to justify the placement of Mmtp in a residential area ?
It seems to me hydro has not taken our main concern seriously ,if this line is allowed to proceed on the present route ,we will be exposed to whatever happens on this line for the life of the line ,and not just an hour at a time like some other people will be exposed !
If we had a choice to stay away ,we would !
Thank you,
Jim Teleglow